

## ON THE TRANSCENDENCE OF THE TRACE FUNCTION

Victor ALEXANDRU

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Bucharest,  
Str. Academiei, nr. 14, Bucharest, Romania  
E-mail: vicalalex@al.math.unibuc.ro ; vralex@k.ro

The aim of this paper is to show that the results from [APZ2] on the “trace function”  $F(x, z)$ , namely the transcendence of this function over  $\mathbf{C}_p(Z)$ , are valid in more general framework. We prove also that, in some conditions, the derivative of the trace function  $F(x, z)$  is also transcendental over  $\mathbf{C}_p(Z)$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  the field of  $p$ -adic numbers and  $||$  the natural  $p$ -adic module of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Denote by  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  a fixed algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and also by  $||$  the unique extension of  $||$  to  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  and  $\mathbf{C}_p$  the completion of  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  with respect to  $||$  (see [APZ1]). Denote  $G = Gal(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p} | \mathbf{Q}_p)$  endowed with so called Krull topology. The group  $G$  is canonically identified to  $G = Gal_{cant}(\mathbf{C}_p | \mathbf{Q}_p)$  the group of all  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  – continuous automorphisms of  $\mathbf{C}_p$ . Usually we shall assume  $G = Gal_{cant}(\mathbf{C}_p | \mathbf{Q}_p)$ .

For an element  $x \in \mathbf{C}_p$ , denote  $H(x) = \{\sigma \in G | \sigma(x) = x\}$  and  $O(x) = \{\sigma(x) | \sigma \in G\}$ . According to [APZ1] the map  $\sigma \longrightarrow \sigma(x)$  defines a natural homeomorphism to  $\left(\frac{G}{H(x)}\right)_s$  endowed to quotient topology, on to  $O(x)$  endowed to topology induced by  $\mathbf{C}_p$ .

For any real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  let us denote  $H(x, \varepsilon) = \{\sigma \in G | |\sigma(x) - x| < \varepsilon\}$ . One has  $[G : H(x, \varepsilon)] < \infty$ , and  $\bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} H(x, \varepsilon) = H(x)$ . It is clear that if  $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ , then  $H(x, \varepsilon') \subseteq H(x, \varepsilon)$ . For any  $\sigma \in G$  denote  $B(\sigma(x), \varepsilon) = \{y \in O(x) \text{ such that } |\sigma(x) - y| < \varepsilon\}$  the “open ball” with radius  $\varepsilon$  in  $O(x)$ . Then  $N(x, \varepsilon) = [G : H(x, \varepsilon)]$  is just the number of all distinct open balls in  $O(x)$  which cover  $O(x)$ . Further, denote  $\overline{H}(x, \varepsilon) = \{\sigma \in G | |\sigma(x) - x| \leq \varepsilon\}$ ,  $I(x, \varepsilon) = [G : \overline{H}(x, \varepsilon)]$  and  $\overline{B}(\sigma(x), \varepsilon) = \{y \in \sigma(x) | |\sigma(x) - y| \leq \varepsilon\}$ , the “closed ball” of radius  $\varepsilon$  in  $O(x)$ .  $I(x, \varepsilon)$  is just the number of distinct closed boll of radius  $\varepsilon$  which covers  $O(x)$ .

According to (APZ2) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  denote  $\mu_x(B(x, \varepsilon)) = \frac{1}{N(x, \varepsilon)}$  the “Haar measure” induced by usual Haar measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  (normalized such that  $\mu(G) = 1$ ). Also denote  $\pi_x$  the “ $p$ -adic measure” (which

generally is not bounded) defined on balls  $B(x, \varepsilon)$ ,  $\bar{B}(x, \varepsilon)$  just as  $\mu_x$ , i.e.  $\frac{1}{N(x, \varepsilon)}$  is viewed as a  $p$ -adic number.

According to [APZ2] an element  $x \in C_p$  is called to be Lipschitzian if  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{|N(x, \varepsilon)|} = 0$ . For

example an element  $x = \lim a_n$ ,  $a_n \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  such that (\*)  $\frac{|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|}{\max(|d_n|, |d_{n+1}|)} \rightarrow 0$  where  $d_n = \deg \alpha_n$  is

Lipschitzian. Also in [APZ2] it is proved that Lipschitzian functions  $f : O(x) \rightarrow C_p$ , where  $x$  is a Lipschitzian element are integrable with respect to  $p$ -adic “measure”  $\pi_x$ . Particulary, for

$z \in C_p \cup \{\infty\} \setminus O(x)$ , the functions  $\frac{1}{1-zx}$ ,  $\frac{1}{z-x}$  are Lipschitzian, and one can speak that the functions

$F(x, z) = \int_{O(x)} \frac{1}{1-zt} d\pi_x(t)$ ,  $\bar{F}(x, z) = \int_{O(x)} \frac{1}{1-zt} d\pi_x(t)$  are analytical on  $z \in C_p \cup \{\infty\} \setminus O(x^{-1})$

(respectively on  $z \in C_p \cup \{\infty\} \setminus O(x)$ ). Moreover the elements of  $O(x^{-1})$  (respectively  $O(x)$ ) are the singular points of  $F(x, z)$  (respectively of  $\bar{F}(x, z)$ ). In [APZ2] the function  $F(x, z)$  is called “trace function” and the fact that the elements of  $O(x^{-1})$  are just the singular points for  $F(x, z)$  is proved only in the case where the element  $x$  verify the stronger condition (\*). In fact, in [APZ2] is proved that for an element  $x$  with condition (\*) there exists a sequence  $(z_n)_n$ ,  $z_n \rightarrow x^{-1}$  such that  $|F(x, z_n)| \rightarrow \infty$ . By this remark (which is proved in [APZ2], but not so easy) it follows that  $F(x, z)$  is transcendental over  $C_p(z)$  if  $x$  is transcendental over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Since the functions  $F(x, z)$  and  $\bar{F}(x, z)$  are related by the formula  $\bar{F}(x, z) = zF(x, \frac{1}{z})$ ,  $z \in C_p \cup \{\infty\} - O(x)$  there result that is enough to study the the behavior of one of there functions around of it singular points.

## 2. THE TRANSCENDENCE OF THE TRACE FUNCTION

The aim of this paper is to show that the results from [APZ2] with respect to the function  $F(x, z)$  when  $x$  is a (\*) element, are also valid in more general framework, namely, if for the element  $x$  is defined the “trace”.

$Tr(x) = \int_{O(x)} t d\pi_x(t)$  is defined (see (PVZ)) and get one additional assumption. Particulary this is

valid for all Lipschitzian points  $x$ .

In what follows we should denote by  $F(x, z) = \int_{O(x)} \frac{1}{z-t} d\pi_x(t)$ .

According to [APZ1] let  $\{\overline{\alpha_n}\}_n$  be a distinguished sequence of  $x$  (i.e.  $x = \lim \overline{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\overline{\alpha_n} \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ ) and denote  $D_n = \deg \overline{\alpha_n}$ , and  $\varepsilon_n = |x - \overline{\alpha_n}|$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . One has  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ .

According to [APZ2] and [PVZ], if  $(\varepsilon_n)_n$  is a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers with limit zero and if  $S_n$  is a systeme of representatives of left cosets of  $G$  modulo  $H(x, \varepsilon_n)$  or modulo  $\bar{H}(x, \varepsilon_n)$ ,

then one has:  $F(x, z) = \lim_n \frac{1}{d_n} \left[ \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{1}{z - \sigma(x)} \right]$  (where  $d_n = |S_n| = [G : H(x, \varepsilon_n)]$ ), the limit being

taken for any  $z \in C_p \cup \{\infty\} \setminus O(x)$ . Also it is clear that the convergence of the above limit is uniform on the complement of any neighbourhood of  $O(x)$ .

In what follows we shall use the following result proved in [APP]. If  $x$  is a Lipschitzian element, there exists a sequence  $(\alpha_n)_n$ ,  $\alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p[x]} \cap \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  such that  $\lim_n \alpha_n = x$ , and  $\frac{|x - \alpha_n|}{|d_n|} \rightarrow 0$  when  $d_n = \deg \mathbf{Q}_p(\alpha_n) = [G : H(x, \varepsilon_n)]$ , and  $\mathbf{Q}_p(\alpha_n) = \text{Fix}H(x, \varepsilon_n)$  (of course,  $\varepsilon_n \geq |x - \alpha_n|$  and  $\varepsilon_n = 0$ ). By this result, there result that  $F(x, z) = \lim_n \frac{1}{d_n} \left[ \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{1}{z - \sigma(\alpha_n)} \right] = \lim_n \frac{1}{d_n} \cdot \frac{f'_n(z)}{f^n(z)}$ , where  $f_n(x) = \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(\alpha_n)$ . Of course, one has  $d_n \mid d_{n+1}$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ .

It is clear that

$$H(x, \varepsilon_n) \supseteq H(\overline{\alpha_n}) = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(\overline{\alpha_n}) = \overline{\alpha_n}\},$$

and so  $\mathbf{Q}_p(\alpha_n) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}_p(\overline{\alpha_n})$  and thus  $d_n$  divides  $D_n$ ,  $D_n = d_n q_n$ . Let us denote  $\overline{f}_n(X)$  the minimal polynomial of  $\overline{\alpha_n}$  over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Also denote  $\overline{S}_n$  a system of representatives of left cosets of  $G$  with respect to  $H(\overline{\alpha_n})$ . One can assume  $S_n \subseteq \overline{S}_n$ . Moreover one can assume that the elements of  $\overline{S}_n$  are of the form  $\{\sigma_i g_i\}$ ,  $\sigma_i \in S_n$ , and  $g_j$  runs a system of left cosets of  $H(x, \varepsilon_n)$  with respect to  $H(\overline{\alpha_n})$  (remind that the number of these cosets is just  $q_n$ ). It is clear that  $B(x, \varepsilon_n) \cap O(x)$  contains just  $q_n$  conjugates of  $\overline{\alpha_n}$  of the form  $g(\overline{\alpha_n})$  where  $g$  belongs to the choosed system of left representatives of the  $H(x, \varepsilon_n)$  with respect to  $H(\overline{\alpha_n})$ .

By the above considerations one know that:  $F(x, z) = \lim_n \frac{1}{d_n} \left[ \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{1}{z - \sigma(\alpha_n)} \right]$ ,

$z \in C_p \cup \{\infty\} \setminus O(x)$ . Now one have:

**Remark.** One has:  $F(x, z) = \lim_n \frac{1}{D_n} \left[ \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{1}{z - \sigma(\overline{\alpha_n})} \right]$ ,  $z \in C_p \cup \{\infty\} \setminus O(x)$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, for any fixed  $z \in C_p \cup \{\infty\} \setminus O(x)$  and a suitable ball  $B(z, \delta)$  which do not intersect  $O(x)$ , and using the norm of uniform convergence on  $B(z, \delta)$  one has:

$$\left\| \frac{1}{d_n} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{1}{z - \sigma(\overline{\alpha_n})} \right) - \frac{1}{d_n} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{1}{z - \sigma(\alpha_n)} \right) \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{d_n} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{\sigma(g(\overline{\alpha_n})) - \sigma(\alpha_n)}{(z - \sigma(\overline{\alpha_n}))(z - \sigma(\alpha_n))} \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{|d_n|} \cdot \frac{1}{\delta^2} \rightarrow 0$$

when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Furthermore, one can write:

$$F(x, z) = \frac{1}{q_n} \cdot \sum_{\overline{g} \in H(x, \varepsilon_n)/H(\overline{\alpha_n})} \left( \lim_n \frac{1}{d_n} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{1}{z - \sigma(g(\overline{\alpha_n}))} \right) \right) = \lim_n \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \left( \frac{1}{z - \sigma(\overline{\alpha_n})} \right) = \lim_n \frac{1}{D_n} \cdot \frac{\overline{f}'_n(z)}{\overline{f}_n(z)}$$

By a repeated use of the algorithm of division with remainder there result that any polynomial  $g(x) \in \mathbf{Q}_p[x]$  can be uniquely written as:  $g(x) = \sum_{s \geq 0} a_s M_s(x)$  (finite sum) where  $\deg M_s(x) = s$ , and

$$M_s(x) = \overline{f_1}^{e_1}(x) \cdot \dots \cdot \overline{f_q}^{e_q}(x), \quad 0 \leq e_i \leq D_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, q, \quad 0 \leq e_i \leq D_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, q.$$

Moreover one has  $|g(x)| = \sup_{s \geq 0} |a_s M_s(x)|$ , see [APZ1.]

**Proposition 1.** One has:

$$\sup_n \left| \frac{\overline{f_n}'(x)}{\overline{f_n}(x)} \right| = \infty$$

**Proof.** Assume, that the Proposition is not true. Then there exist a real number  $k > 0$ , such that

$$\left| \frac{\overline{f_n}'(x)}{\overline{f_n}(x)} \right| < k, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1 \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \left| \overline{f_n}'(x) \right| < k \cdot \left| \overline{f_n}(x) \right|, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

But then one must have:  $|M_s'(x)| < k \cdot |M_s(x)|$  for all  $s \geq 1$ . Indeed,  $\frac{M_s'(x)}{M_s(x)}$  is a sum of terms of form

$\sum_{\sigma(x) \in S_{\alpha_i}} \frac{1}{x - \sigma(\alpha_i)}$ , where  $S_{\alpha_i}$  is the set of all conjugates of  $\overline{\alpha_i}$  over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Now since:

$$\left| \sum_{\sigma(x) \in S_{\alpha_i}} \frac{1}{x - \sigma(\alpha_i)} \right| = \left| \frac{\overline{f_i}'(x)}{\overline{f_i}(x)} \right| < k$$

then results  $\left| \frac{M_s'(x)}{M_s(x)} \right| < k, \quad s \geq 1$ .

Now let  $D : \mathbf{Q}_p[x] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p[x]$  the map defined by:  $D(P(x)) = P'(x)$ ,  $P(x) \in \mathbf{Q}_p[x]$ , when  $P'(x)$  means the “formal derivative”. One knows that  $D$  is a linear map and one has:  $D(P(x)Q(x)) = P(x)DQ(x) + Q(x)DP(x)$ . Since  $|M_s'(x)| < k \cdot |M_s(x)|$  for all  $s \geq 1$  then by previous Remark, there results that  $D$  is continuous, and so it can be extended by continuity to a map  $\tilde{D} : \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p[x]} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p[x]}$ , such that  $\tilde{D}(PH) = P\tilde{D}(H) + H\tilde{D}(P)$  for all  $P, H \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p[x]}$ .

Denote  $L = \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p[x]} \cap \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ . Since  $L_x$  is dens in  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p[x]}$ , then results that  $\tilde{D}$  is not identical, zero on  $L_x$ . Hence let  $\alpha \in L_x$  such that  $\tilde{D}(\alpha) \neq 0$ , and  $q(\mathbf{X}) = \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(\alpha)$ .

There one has:  $0 = \widetilde{D} q(\alpha) = q'(\alpha) \widetilde{D}(\alpha)$  and so  $q'(\alpha) = 0$ , a contradiction.

Hence one must have  $\sup_n \left| \frac{\overline{f_n}'(x)}{f_n(x)} \right| = \infty$ , as claimed.

Now using the same argument as in ([APZ2], pag 44) there results that if  $z$  is near by  $O(x)$ , the values of the function  $F(x, z) = \lim_n \frac{1}{d_n} \cdot \frac{\overline{f_n}'(x)}{f_n(x)}$ , can be as big as we want in absolute values. But then (see also [APZ2]) from this there results that the function  $F(x, z)$  is transcendental over  $\mathbf{C}_p[Z]$ .

**Theorem 1.** With the previous notation assume that  $|d_n| \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $F'(x, z)$  is not bounded where  $z$  is near by  $O(x)$ . Then  $F'(x, z)$  is a transcendental over  $\mathbf{C}_p[Z]$ . Moreover by transcendence of  $F'(x, z)$  follows the transcendency of  $F(x, z)$ .

**Proof.** One has:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, z) &= \lim_n \frac{1}{d_n} \cdot \left[ \frac{\overline{f_n}'(x)}{f_n(x)} \right]' = \lim_n \frac{1}{d_n} \cdot \left[ \frac{\overline{f_n}^{(2)}(z) \overline{f_n}(z) - (\overline{f_n}'(z))^2}{\overline{f_n}^2(z)} \right] = \\ &= \lim_n \left[ \frac{1}{d_n} \cdot \frac{\overline{f_n}^{(2)}(z)}{\overline{f_n}(z)} - \frac{1}{d_n} \cdot \left( \frac{\overline{f_n}'(z)}{\overline{f_n}(z)} \right)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $\frac{1}{d_n^2} \cdot \left( \frac{\overline{f_n}'(z)}{\overline{f_n}(z)} \right)^2 \longrightarrow F^2(x, z)$  it is clear that  $\frac{1}{d_n} \cdot \left( \frac{\overline{f_n}'(z)}{\overline{f_n}(z)} \right)^2 \rightarrow 0$  and so one

obtains:

$$F'(x, z) = \lim_n \frac{1}{d_n} \cdot \frac{\overline{f_n}^{(2)}(z)}{\overline{f_n}(z)}$$

On another part one has:

$$\left( \frac{\overline{f_n}'(x)}{f_n(x)} \right)' = \frac{\overline{f_n}^{(2)}(x)}{f_n(x)} - \left( \frac{\overline{f_n}'(x)}{f_n(x)} \right)^2$$

and so if would be a real number  $k > 0$  such that  $\left| \frac{\overline{f_n}'(x)}{f_n(x)} \right| < k$  and  $\left| \frac{\overline{f_n}^{-2}(x)}{f_n(x)} \right| < k$ , then one would

obtain:  $\left| \frac{\overline{f_n}'(x)}{f_n(x)} \right| < \sqrt{k}$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Now since this is not possible according to above conditions, one must have:

$$\sup_n \left| \frac{\overline{f_n}'(x)}{f_n(x)} \right| = \infty \text{ or } \sup_n \left| \frac{\overline{f_n}^{(2)}(x)}{f_n(x)} \right| = \infty.$$

But there results that  $F'(x, z)$  is not bounded around of  $O(x)$ , and so it is transcendental over  $\mathbf{C}_p[Z]$  see the arguments of [APZ2].

For the derivations of higher order one can obtains similar results.

## REFERENCES

1. [APZ1] V. Alexandru, N. Popescu, and A. Zaharescu, *On closed subfields of  $\mathbf{C}_p$* , J. Number Th. 68 (1998), 131-150
2. [APZ2] V. Alexandru, N. Popescu, and A. Zaharescu, *Traces on  $\mathbf{C}_p$* , J. Number Th. 88 (2001), 13-48
3. [APP] V. Alexandru, E. L. Popescu, and N. Popescu, *On the continuity of the trace*, Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, 2004 (to appear)
4. [Ar] E. Artin, *Algebraic Numbers and Algebraic Functions*, Gordon & Breach, New York, 1967
5. [B] D. Barsky, *Transformation de Cauchy  $p$ -adique et algèbre d'Iwasawa*, Math. Ann. 232 (1978) 267-272
6. [F.V.] J. Fresnel, and M. Van der Put, *Géométrie Analytique Rigide et Applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981
7. [Sch] W.H.Schikhof, *Ultrametric Calculus, An Introduction to  $p$ -adic Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1984

*Received December 22, 2004*