A FREE-WAKE AERODYNAMIC MODEL FOR HELICOPTER ROTORS

Horia DUMITRESCU^{*}, Florin FRUNZULICĂ^{**}

* PhD, Professor, Institute of Statistics and Applied Mathematics, Bucharest, Romania ** Lecturer, PhD, Department of Aerospace Engineering, University "POLITEHNICA" of Bucharest, Romania Corresponding author: Florin FRUNZULICĂ, E-mail: ffrunzi@yahoo.com

The accurate computation of the induced velocity on helicopter rotor plane is a prerequisite for the precise evaluation of the angle of attack distribution along the rotor blades, which leads to improved air loads prediction for aerodynamics and aeroacustics design and performance analysis. The Vortex Lattice Method (VLM) provides a transparent investigation concerning the role of various physical parameters which influence the aerodynamic problem of rotor downwash calculation. This paper presents a method for the calculation of the nonuniform induced downwash of a helicopter rotor using the vortex ring model for a thin lifting surface coupled with a free-wake model.

Key words: Helicopter rotor; induced velocity; Vortex Lattice Method; free-wake model.

1. INTRODUCTION

During the last few years, considerable research effort has been made with respect to the various aspects of rotors. In terms of modeling, there is no doubt that significant progress has been achieved during the last ten years. This progress was mainly based on the existing experience of other engineering fields. As regards the design of helicopter rotors a number of methods for structural, aerodynamic an aeroacoustic analysis used in aeronautical engineering have been applied. However, in many cases only simply models were used. This is true especially as far as Complete Design Tools are concerned. Of course, in order to devise a flexible and practical design tool, simple models constitute to some extent an obligatory choice. However, the choice of simple models means that only approximate results can be obtained. Therefore we need to determine their limitations.

On the other hand during the last few years, within the numerous activities, a number of more elaborate models has been developed. In most cases the corresponding work was related to the analysis of only one aspect of the whole design problem of rotor (e.g. advanced aerodynamic models were applied to rigid rotors and for steady inflow conditions). Though we cannot expect that the advanced models will be applied to practical design problems at least in the near future, we can use them in order to validate and improve the simple models.

The collection of the a fore mentioned problems defines the setting of a complete design tool summarized in the following diagram.



Because the unsteady aerodynamic model is the starting step in aeroelastic and aeroacoustic studies our attention will be concentrated in the next section on the development of the aerodynamic model. In the present work, we adopted the vortex lattice method (VLM) because of simplicity and easily program implementation.

2. BASIC ASUMPTIONS

For the aerodynamic model, we have adopted the following assumptions:

- 1. The rotor blades are regarded as thin lifting surface.
- 2. The resultant velocity on the blade is subsonic.
- 3. Compressibility effect is considered by relating a pressure coefficient C_p with an incompressible

pressure coefficient C_{p0} at a given subsonic Mach number by Prandtl-Glauert rule:

$$C_{p} = C_{p0} / \sqrt{1 - M_{\infty}^{2}}$$
(1)

Equation (1) relates an incompressible flow over a given two-dimensional profile to a subsonic compressible flow over the same profile.

4. The elastic displacements of the blades are negletected (the aerodynamic model is calibrated using assumption that the blades are rigid). Each blade has fixed the angle of attack α_{h} and precone angle β_{h} .

3. VORTEX RING MODEL FOR A THIN LIFTING SURFACE

We have choised for the numerical simulation of lifting surfaces, the aerodynamic model with vortex rings distributed over the median surface of the blades (fig. 1). The leading segment of the vortex ring is placed at the panel quarter chord line and the collocation point is at the center of the panel's three-quarter chord line. This choice is justified by the fact that the velocity induced by a distribution of vortices is the same with the one given by a vortex placed at ¹/₄ chord line, with the calculating point considered at ³/₄ of the chord line (valid in the case of flat plate)[1].



Figure 1. Vortex ring model for a thin lifting surface $(x_b y_b z_b$ -blade coordinate system, *TE*-trailing edge, *LE*-leading edge, *W*-wake).

The local velocity (\vec{V}_{p}) for each collocation point is a combination of the self-induced velocity $(\vec{V}_{ind,P})$, the kinematic velocity $(\vec{V}_{k,P}(t))$ due the motion of the blade, and the wake-induced velocity $(\vec{V}_{w,P})$:

$$\vec{V}_{P} = \vec{V}_{k,P}(t) + \vec{V}_{ind,P} + \vec{V}_{w,P} = \vec{V}_{k,P}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\vec{V}_{ind,P}(t, \Gamma_{i,j}) \right)_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\vec{V}_{w,P}(t, \Gamma_{i,j}) \right)_{i,j}$$
(2)

The intensity $(\Gamma_{i,j})$ of the vortex rings is found imposing the tangential flow condition on the wing surface:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\vec{V}_{ind,P}\left(t,\Gamma_{i,j}\right)\right)_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{M}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\vec{V}_{w,P}\left(t,\Gamma_{i,j}\right)\right)_{i,j}\right) \cdot \vec{n}_{P} = -\vec{V}_{k,P}\left(t\right) \cdot \vec{n}_{P}$$
(3)

Applying this relation or every collocation point (k=MxN collocation points), one obtains a linear algebraic system of equations with the unknowns Γ_k , $k = 1...M \times N$. This system can be solved using an appropriate algorithm.

The vortices generated at the trailing edge of each blade maintain their intensity constant in time, and are transported with a velocity equal to the local velocity of the air flow, such that the theorem of Kelvin will be satisfied:

$$\frac{D\Gamma}{Dt} = \frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial t} + \left(\vec{V} \cdot \nabla\right)\Gamma = 0 \tag{4}$$

The shape of the wake is given by the condition:

$$\vec{V} \cdot \vec{\gamma}_W = 0 \tag{4}$$

i.e. the wake is force free.

The time-step should be chosen such that the vortex generated at the leading edge should not be propagated over a distance greater than the smallest dimension of the panel shape at the trailing edge (in order to avoid the degeneration of the propagated vortex rings, especially at the blade tips).

The wake geometry is complete (fig.2a). But, towards the blade tip, the vortices which spring from trailing edge roll in space around a curve line generate by the blade tip. In this case is numerically efficient to use a new model for wake at blade tip which it replace the rollup vortices with a curvilinear vortex (fig.2b).



Figure 2: (a) Complete wake development and (b) simplified wake model at blade tip

At far distance from panel, using equivalence between the vortex ring model and the dublet with uniform intensity over the same panel, it is possible to replace the exact formula for the induced velocity by the vortex ring (the Biot-Savart formula)

$$\vec{V}_{P} = \frac{\Gamma}{4\pi} \oint_{c} \frac{\vec{r} \times d\vec{r}}{r^{3}} = \frac{\Gamma}{4\pi} \iint_{S} \left(\frac{3(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{n}).\vec{r}}{r^{5}} - \frac{\vec{n}}{r^{3}} \right) d\sigma$$
(6)

with simplified formula

$$\vec{V}_{P} = \frac{\Gamma \cdot A}{4\pi r_{0}^{3}} \left(\frac{3(\vec{r}_{0} \cdot \vec{n}).\vec{r}_{0}}{r_{0}^{2}} - \vec{n} \right)$$
(7)

where A, \vec{n} and $\vec{r_0}$ represent: the panel area, the normal to panel and the distance between collocation point and the weight center of the panel. The formula (7) is valid for $r_0 \ge (3...4) \cdot d$, where d is a reference length of the panel.

4. VORTEX WAKE MODELLING

It is commonly agreed that the key to an accurate calculation of the rotor aerodynamic behaviour is the correct modeling of the rotor wake. There are two main approaches to the problem of wake modeling:

1. The first method is known as *the prescribed wake* or *rigid wake*. According to this method the geometry of the wake is known a priori, which implies that the velocity field, or rather an approximation to it, has been assumed. Once the wake geometry has been prescribed, the corresponding induced-velocity and circulation distributions along the blade can be calculated. The geometry of the wake is determined by using different kinds of assumptions, while in most of the cases these assumptions are based on experimental evidence [2-4].

2. The second method is the *free-wake* analysis [5-6]. In this method an initial geometry of the vortex wake is assumed. This wake is regarded as being composed of a large number of discrete vortex elements, and these elements are allowed to convect in the velocity field they create. Provided the numerical method employed is convergent, the vortex elements will move until they take up positions which are consistent with the velocity field. As might be expected, the computer requirements for such calculations are prodigious, which makes this kind of analysis very expensive. This is the reason why some investigators have modeled the rotor wake with two or three different regions: near, intermediate and far wake. At each region the computations are done in a way which is appropriate to that region. This approach causes a reduction in the computational effort.

The present free-wake model is based on the time-marching method where motion begins from an impulsive start vith the subsequent generation of a vortex wake, modeled by a equence of discrete shed at equal time intervals. Thus, for steady-state motion, the force and moment responses are asymptotically achieved.

Vortex model

It is know that the Biot-Savart law for induced velocity produces a singularity when $r \rightarrow 0$. Also, the induced velocities at points very close to the vortex will lead at unrealistically large induced velocities. These numerically aspects can create non-physical perturbations to the local angle of attack, unusually large wake induced velocities at blade tip with effect over tip vortex geometry, large distortion of wake, etc. A direct consequence is the instability of the solution.

In the present work, a viscous core model has been used to avoid such numerical perturbations. The experiments that have been conducted to measure the cores of rotary and fixed wing tip vortices have demonstrated that in real flows, tip vortices have cores with finite radii [7-8]. Because this fact, the selection of a finite core vortex model in the numerical schemes must be approached cautiously.



Figure 3. Tangential velocity profile

Vortex models used in free-wake analysis are defined in terms of their tangential velocity profiles. Thus, the inner "viscous" region usually consists of a "solid-body" rotation core and the outer region simulates the potential vortex profile (fig.3).

One series of general velocity profiles for tangential velocity in a two-dimensional cross-sectional plane (rectilinear vortex)[7,9] is expressed by the formula:

$$v_{\theta}\left(r\right) = \frac{\Gamma \cdot r}{2\pi \left(r_{c}^{2n} + r^{2n}\right)^{1/n}} \tag{8}$$

where *r* is the radial distance from the center of the vortex. For $n \to \infty$, the Rankine vortex profile is obtained and for n = 1, the Scully velocity profile is recoverd [10]. In ref.[7,9], the authors have found that for n = 2 the model is physically most representative for actual vortex profiles. It can be clearly seen that the Rankine velocity profile is discontinous at the boundary between the inner region and the outer region (fig.4), while the values n = 1 and n = 2 assure a smooth, continous transition.



Figure 4. Non-dimensional tangential velocity profiles

Another observation is necessary: the induced velocities depend of the vortex core radius. In the far wake, it is possible that distance between the vortex and a collocation point can be very small and can result over-distorted local wake structure, and also lead to oscilations in the evolving wake. In ref. [11], the authors propose a model with larger tip core radius for older vortex elements, in this way reducing the intensity of local interaction between the filaments. The model uses the Lamb-Osen vortex profile [12]:

$$v_{\theta}(r,t) = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi r} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4v t}\right) \right)$$
(9)

where the exponential term represents the viscous decay of the vortex with time due to the kinematic viscosity, v, of the fluid. The variation of radius core, r_c , in time can be determinate by calculating the maxima of eq.(9). Thus, the variation of r_c can be written as [13-14]:

$$r_c \cong 1.12\sqrt{4v\,\delta t} \tag{10}$$

where δ is an experimentally determined decay coefficient. The purpose of this coefficient is to increase the rate at which the vortex core grows in time.

In this stage of the program development, the equations (9) and (10) are not yet implemented.

Induced velocity at far distance

At far distance from panel, using equivalence between the vortex ring model and the doublet with uniform intensity over the same panel, it is possible to replace the exact formula for the induced velocity by the vortex ring (the Biot-Savart formula)

$$\vec{V}_{P} = \frac{\Gamma}{4\pi} \oint_{c} \frac{\vec{r} \times d\vec{r}}{r^{3}} = \frac{\Gamma}{4\pi} \iint_{S} \left(\frac{3(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{n}).\vec{r}}{r^{5}} - \frac{\vec{n}}{r^{3}} \right) d\sigma$$
(11)

with simplified formula

$$\vec{V}_{P} = \frac{\Gamma \cdot A}{4\pi r_{0}^{3}} \left(\frac{3(\vec{r}_{0} \cdot \vec{n}).\vec{r}_{0}}{r_{0}^{2}} - \vec{n} \right)$$
(12)

where A, \vec{n} and $\vec{r_0}$ represent: the panel area, the normal to panel and the distance between collocation point and the weight center of the panel. The formula (12) is valid for $r_0 \ge (3...4) \cdot d$, where d is a reference length of the panel.

5.COMPUTATIONAL PROCEDURE

The numerical analysis closely follows the concepts presented in the previous section. In all cases, variables are non-dimensionalized to provide economy in utilization of the computer codes. Velocities are normalized with $R\Omega$, distances with R, areas with R^2 , time with $1/\Omega$, and circulation with $R^2\Omega$. Force, torque, and power are non-dimensionalized as indicates in eq.(20).

The general procedure requires that calculations be made at small time increments until a periodic solution is obtained. Initially there is no wake structure and it is only as the wake develops sufficiently that a periodic solution is obtained. Based on experience it appears that the wake must be propagating three or four revolutions for a periodic solution to be achieved.

The computation is initialized by setting the bound vorticity in each blade panel to zero. The perturbation velocities at each blade panel are then calculated based on all of the vortex filaments in the flow using eq.(2). These velocities will initially be equal to zero since no wake structure exists and since the bound vorticity has been set equal to zero. The bound vorticity is then calculated for each panel using eq. (3) and the last calculated value of the induced velocity. This process is repeated in order to correct the predicted values of the induced velocities and bound velocities. This simple predictor-corector method appear to be adequate since the bound vortex rings do not cause large perturbation velocities at nearby blade panels due to their relative geometric orientation. The next step is the calculation of blade element performance (torque and power output). These values are output at this point along with induced velocities at each blade element. The next major step is to recalculate the position of all wake vortex elements using a simple convection equation $(d\vec{r} / dt = \vec{V})$. Time is incremented and a new set of shed vortices are created. If a revolution has been completed the rotor performance for the revolution is output. The process is repeated for the desired number of revolution of the rotor.

The pressure coefficient in a point of interest on the blade surface can be expressed as:

$$C_{p} = \frac{p - p_{\infty}}{\rho V_{\infty}^{2}/2} = 1 - \left(\frac{V}{V_{\infty}}\right)^{2} - \frac{2}{V_{\infty}^{2}} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}$$
(13)

For thin bodies the vorticity inside the bound surface is proportional to the velocity jump across that surface. In such situation it is useful to express the quantities in terms of relative values, as the difference between upper and lower surface values. The net-pressure coefficient assumes the form:

$$\Delta C_{p} = C_{p,l} - C_{p,u} = \left[\left(\frac{V}{V_{\infty}} \right)_{u}^{2} - \left(\frac{V}{V_{\infty}} \right)_{l}^{2} \right] + \frac{2}{V_{\infty}^{2}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right)_{u} - \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right)_{l} \right]$$
(14)

where u corresponds the upper surface and l corresponds the lower surface of the wing.

The pressure difference across the wing surface is given by:

$$\Delta p = p_l - p_u = \rho \left[\left(\frac{V^2}{2} \right)_u - \left(\frac{V^2}{2} \right)_l + \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right)_u - \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right)_l \right]$$
(15)

The velocity \vec{V} could be broken up on the wing's surface according to two directions $\vec{\tau}_i$ (a direction tangent chordwise to the wing's surface) and $\vec{\tau}_j$ (a direction tangent spanwise to the wing's surface):

$$\pm \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau_i} = \pm \frac{\gamma}{2} \approx \pm \frac{\Gamma_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta c_{i,j}}, \qquad \pm \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau_j} \approx \pm \frac{\Gamma_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i,j-1}}{2\Delta b_{i,j}}$$
(16)

where the "+" sign corresponds to the upper surface and the "-" sign corresponds to the lower surface, and $\Delta c_{i,j}$ and $\Delta b_{i,j}$ are the panel lengths in the *i*th and *j*th directions. The $\vec{\tau}_i$ and $\vec{\tau}_j$ are defined to be on the (i, j) panel. For a vortex ring, the bond between the variation of the potential function by time and the variation of the circulation by time is:

$$\pm \frac{\partial \Phi_{i,j}}{\partial t} = \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\Gamma_{i,j}}{2} \right)$$
(17)

Hence, the pressure difference between the upper and the lower surface is given by:

$$\Delta p_{i,j} = \rho \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \left(u_k(t) + u_w \right) \vec{i} + \left(v_k(t) + v_w \right) \vec{j} + \left(w_k(t) + w_w(t) \right) \vec{k} \end{bmatrix}_{i,j} \cdot \left\{ \cdot \left[\frac{\Gamma_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i-1,j}}{\Delta c_{i,j}} \vec{\tau}_i + \frac{\Gamma_{i,j} - \Gamma_{i,j-1}}{\Delta b_{i,j}} \vec{\tau}_j \right] + \frac{\partial \Gamma_{i,j}}{\partial t} \right\}$$
(18)

The force acting upon the (i, j) element is obtained:

$$\Delta \vec{F}_{i,j} = -\left(\Delta p_{i,j} \cdot \Delta S_{i,j}\right) \cdot \vec{n}_{i,j} \tag{19}$$

The projection of these forces in the reference system attached to the rotor axis allows calculation of thrust and torque of the rotor. The thrust, torque and power coefficients are defined as nondimensional parameters of the rotor as follows:

$$C_{T} = \frac{T}{\rho \pi R^{4} \Omega^{2}}, C_{Q} = \frac{Q}{\rho \pi R^{5} \Omega^{2}}, C_{P} = \frac{P}{\rho \pi R^{4} \Omega^{3}}$$
(20)

6. RESULTS

Figure 5 shows the wake development in two situation: (a) ascending flight with velocity $V_{\infty Z} = -1.75 \, m/s$ and (b) forward flight with $V_{\infty} = 42 \, m/s$ (8^0 tilt axis of rotor). In case (a), the neglect of development a large core radius for older vortex elements conducts at distorted local wake structure. The beginning of rotor motion was set impulsively. Because the time for a complete wake development is too large, the process was stopped after five revolutions. In the future, we intend to "split" the wake in two regions: the near region where the wake is treated numerically complete, and a far region where the wake is treated numerically simplified.



Figure 5. The wake development: (a) ascending flight and (b) forward flight. The rotor has two blades with following characteristics: 3.5 m blade tip radius, 0.3 m hub radius, 3⁰ conical angle. The each blade is modeled with a rigid flat plate with 4⁰ geometric angle of attack, and its are fixed at 35% of blade chord (elastic axis of blade).

For the case test number two, we consider a two blades rotor (B = 2) in hover with characteristics: solidity $Bc/(\pi R) = 0.0637$, tip Mach number $R\Omega/a_0 = 0.19$, pitch angle $\beta = 8^0$, and constant chord distribution. The each blade is modeled with a thin lifting surface (rigid flat plate) discretized in 7 points in chord direction and 13 points in radial direction. Figure 6 shows computed and experimental nondimensional lift distribution along blade.

The numerical results obtained by VLM ($C_T = 0.00391$) lie closer to the experimental data [15] ($C_{T,exp} = 0.00380$) because of more realistic modeling of the free-wake development. These results are obtained for an axial wake development equal with $1.6 \cdot R$ related at rotor plane.



Figure 6. Nondimensional lift distribution along the blade (y/R =nondimensional radius)

7. CONCLUSIONS

The primary objective of this paper has been to describe the implementation of a computational procedure for helicopter rotor downwash calculations, which utilizes the Vortex Lattice Method for free-wake modelling.

The formulation of rotor wake discretization and the resulting induced downwash prediction were analyzed. The major features of the rotor blade lifting surface aerodynamic model have been outlined. Several aspects of rotor wake simulation, such as tip vortex roll up process and vortex core modeling included in the presented methodology were discussed in some detail.

An integrated computer code has been developed applying the presented procedure. Since is based on a flexible coupling between different modules, it becomes a useful tool for the aerodynamic study and optimization of helicopter rotors.

The presented computational methodology is under further development regarding more sophisticated models for blade aerodynamic and dynamic characteristics as well as optimization techniques for wake geometry calculations.

REFERENCES

1. KATZ, J, PLOTKIN, A: Low-Speed AerodynamicsCambridge University Press 2001

2. Miller, R.H.: On the Computation of Airloads Acting on Rotor Blades in Forward Flight, Journal of the American Helicopter Society, Vol. 7, No. 2, April1962, pp.56-66

3. Landgrebe, A.J.: An Analytical and Experimental Investigation of Helicopter Rotor Performance and Wake Geometry Characteristics, USAAM-RDL TR 71-24, June 1971

4. Egolf, T. A., Landgrebe, A. J.: *Helicopter Rotor Wake Geometry and its Influence in Forward Flight*, Vol. I - Generalized Wake Geometry and Wake Efect on Rotor Airloads and Performance, NASA CR3726, Oct. 1983

5. Quackenbush, T. R., Bliss, D. B., Wachspress, D. A: *Computational Analysis of Hover Performance Using a New Free Wake Method*, Presented at the Second International Conference on Rotorcraft Basic Research, College Park, MD, Feb. 16-18, 1988

6. Miller, W. O., Bliss, D. B.: Direct Periodic Solutions of Rotor Free Wake Calculations, Journal of the American Helicopter Society, Vol. 38, No. 2, April 1993, pp. 53-60

7. Bagai, A., Leishman, J. G.: Flow Visualization of Compressible VortexStructures using Density Gradient Techniques, Experiments in Fluids, 15,1993, pp. 431-442

8. Norman, T. R., Light, J. S.: Rotor Tip Vortex Geometry Measurements Using the Wide-Field Shadowgraph Technique, Journal of the American Helicopter Society, Vol. 32, No. 2, April 1987, pp. 40-50

9. Vatistas, G. H., Kozel, V., Mih, W. C.: A Simpler Model for Concentrated Vortices, Experiments in Fluids, 11, 1991, pp. 73-76

10. Scully, M. P.: Computation of Helicopter Rotor Wake Geometry and Its Influence on Rotor Harmonic Airloads, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, ASRL TR 178-1, March 1975

11. Bliss, D. B., Quackenbush, T. R., Bilanin, A. J.: A New Methodology for Helicopter Free Wake Analysis, Presented at the 39th Annual National Forum of the American Helicopter Society, St. Louis, MO, May 9-11, 1983

12. Lamb, Sir Horace, Hydrodynamics, 6th Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1932, pp. 592-593, 668-669

13. Johnson, G. M.: Researches on the Propagation and Decay of Vortex Rings, ARL 70-0093, June, 1970

14. Ogawa, A., Vortex Flow, CRC Series on Fine Particle Science and Technology, CRC Press Inc., 1993

15. Meyer, J.R., Falabella, G.: An investigation of the experimental aerodynamic loading on a model helicopter rotor blade, NACA TN 2953, May 1953

Received December 18, 2003