



## POSITIVE SOLUTIONS TO SCHRÖDINGER-TYPE SINGULAR $p$ -LAPLACIAN PROBLEMS WITH INFINITE SEMIPOSITONE BEHAVIOR

Mahdi CHOUBIN<sup>1</sup>, Sayyed Hashem RASOULI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Razi University, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Babol Noshirvani University of Technology, Faculty of Basic Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Babol, Iran

Corresponding author: Mahdi CHOUBIN, E-mail: m.choubin@razi.ac.ir

E-mail: s.h.rasouli@nit.ac.ir

**Abstract.** This paper establishes the existence of positive solutions to a singular  $p$ -Laplacian Schrödinger-type equation exhibiting infinite semipositone structure:

$$-\Delta_p u + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda \frac{f(u)}{u^\alpha}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$  denotes the  $p$ -Laplacian operator ( $p > 1$ ),  $\lambda > 0$  is a parameter,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a smooth bounded domain. The nonlinearity  $f \in C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$  satisfies  $f(0) < 0$  and exhibits asymptotic behavior characterized by  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(s)}{s^\alpha} = -\infty$ , inducing a strong singularity at the origin. The potential  $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  is allowed to change sign, adding indefinite structure to the problem. By developing a refined sub- and supersolution method adapted to the degenerate nature of the  $p$ -Laplacian, we prove the existence of positive solutions  $u_\lambda \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  for sufficiently large  $\lambda$ .

**Keywords:** Singular Schrödinger-type equation, sub- and supersolution, infinite semipositone.

*Mathematics Subject Classification (MSC2020):* 35J66, 35J92.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

The study of semipositone problems, where the nonlinear term  $f(u)$  is negative at  $u = 0$ , has played a crucial role in the development of nonlinear PDE theory. These problems have been a cornerstone of the field since their foundational treatment by Lions [1], who highlighted the challenges arising from the negativity of  $f$  at zero, a feature that disrupts classical monotonicity arguments and complicates the construction of subsolutions. Early advancements for the Laplacian operator, particularly in bounded domains with Dirichlet boundary conditions, were pioneered by Ko [2], who established existence results for Schrödinger-type equations  $-\Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda f(u)/u^\alpha$  using sub- and supersolution methods. Prior to this, significant contributions had been made by Ambrosetti et al. [3] and Castro et al. [4] who expanded the theory to include multiplicity results and mixed boundary conditions, while Rabinowitz [5] developed variational frameworks for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Despite these strides, the  $p$ -Laplacian case—critical in modeling non-Newtonian fluids and quasilinear diffusion—remains underexplored, particularly for infinite semipositone structures where  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} f(s)/s^\alpha = -\infty$ .

Recent studies have addressed specific aspects of  $p$ -Laplacian semipositone problems: Aranda and Godoy [6] proved multiplicity for small  $\lambda$  in convex domains via bifurcation theory; Chu et al. [7] established uniqueness of radial solutions for large  $\lambda$  in balls; and Wang and Suo [8] derived infinitely many solutions for singular-critical growth terms using variational methods. However, the interplay between the  $p$ -Laplacian's degeneracy

( $p \neq 2$ ), singular nonlinearities, and sign-changing potentials  $V(x)$  remains unresolved. Ko's seminal work [2] on the Laplacian case ( $p = 2$ ) provides a critical foundation, yet the nonlinear growth and weakened regularity of the  $p$ -Laplacian demand novel adaptations of classical tools.

We address this gap by analyzing the  $p$ -Laplacian infinite semipositone problem:

$$-\Delta_p u + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda \frac{f(u)}{u^\alpha}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , and  $f \in C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$  satisfies  $f(0) < 0$  and the following hypotheses:

(H1)  $f(s) \leq As^\gamma$  for  $s \geq 0$ , where  $\alpha \leq \gamma < p - 1 + \alpha$ ;

(H2)  $f(s) \geq Bs^\beta$  for  $s \gg 1$ , with  $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{p}(1 - \alpha)(p - 1 + \alpha)$ ;

Also the potential function  $V$  is required to satisfy:

(H3) There exists a positive constant  $c_V$  such that the potential is bounded below by  $V(x) \geq -c_V$ , with this lower bound strictly greater than  $-\|e\|_\infty^{1-p}$ , where  $e$  denotes the unique positive solution to the  $p$ -Laplacian problem  $-\Delta_p e = 1$  in  $\Omega$  with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Furthermore, the constant  $c_1$  from the Poincaré-type inequality:

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \leq c_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

must satisfy the coercivity condition  $1 - c_V c_1 > 0$ .

The central objective of our investigation is to establish the following existence result for the singular  $p$ -Laplacian problem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Under hypotheses (H1)–(H3), problem (1) admits a positive solution  $u_\lambda \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  for  $\lambda \gg 1$ .*

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 develops the necessary technical tools, and Section 3 presents the proof of Theorem 1.

## 2. FOUNDATIONAL TOOLS AND TECHNICAL FRAMEWORK

This section establishes the essential mathematical infrastructure for analyzing our  $p$ -Laplacian problem. We begin by formalizing the critical concepts of sub- and supersolutions, then develop the necessary existence theory through three key lemmas that form the backbone of our subsequent analysis.

*Definition 1 (Subsolution/Supersolution).* A function  $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  is a subsolution of (1) if it satisfies the differential inequality  $-\Delta_p \psi + V(x)|\psi|^{p-2}\psi \leq \lambda f(\psi)/\psi^\alpha$  pointwise in  $\Omega$ , while being positive in  $\Omega$  and equal to zero on  $\partial\Omega$ . The supersolution  $Z$  satisfies the reverse inequality with analogous boundary behavior.

**LEMMA 1** [9–11, Existence via sub- and supersolution Pair]. *Given an ordered pair  $\psi \leq Z$  of sub- and supersolutions for (1), there exists at least one solution  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  satisfying  $\psi \leq u \leq Z$  throughout  $\Omega$ .*

**LEMMA 2 (Auxiliary Problem Solvability).** *Under hypothesis (H3), the boundary value problem*

$$-\Delta_p \xi + V(x)|\xi|^{p-2}\xi = 1, \quad \xi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (2)$$

*admits a positive solution  $\xi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $\xi(x) > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and with strictly negative normal derivative on  $\partial\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* From (H3), it holds that  $c_V \|e\|_\infty^{p-1} < 1$ , which implies that there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $1 - c_V \|e\|_\infty^{p-1} > \frac{1}{K}$ . Let  $Z = Ke$ . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p Z + V(x)|Z|^{p-2}Z &= K(-\Delta_p e + V(x)|e|^{p-2}e) = K(1 + V(x)|e|^{p-2}e) \\ &\geq K(1 - c_V \|e\|_\infty^{p-1}) \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

in  $\Omega$  and  $Z = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Hence,  $Z$  is a positive supersolution of (2). Note that  $\psi \equiv 0$  is a subsolution of (2) but not a solution. By the subsolution-supersolution method, there exists a solution  $\xi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  such that  $0 \leq \xi \leq Z$  in  $\Omega$ .

Now we claim that  $\xi > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \eta} < 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $\xi(x_0) = 0$ . From the first equation of (2), we see that:

$$1 = -\Delta_p \xi(x_0) + V(x_0)|\xi(x_0)|^{p-2}\xi(x_0) \leq 0,$$

since  $V$  is bounded, which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\xi > 0$  in  $\Omega$ . By the Hopf boundary lemma, we find that  $\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \eta} < 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 3 (Principal Eigenvalue Positivity).** *Under hypothesis (H3), the eigenvalue problem  $-\Delta_p \phi + V|\phi|^{p-2}\phi = \lambda \phi$  with Dirichlet conditions has a smallest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 > 0$  with associated positive eigenfunction  $\phi_1$ .*

*Proof.* The proof proceeds via variational methods and contradiction.

The principal eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  is defined as:

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{\substack{\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ \phi \neq 0}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla \phi|^p dx + \int_\Omega V(x)|\phi|^p dx}{\int_\Omega |\phi|^p dx}.$$

The Poincaré inequality and boundedness of  $V$  ensure the functional is coercive and weakly lower semicontinuous.

Let  $\{\phi_n\}$  be a minimizing sequence normalized by  $\|\phi_n\|_{L^p} = 1$ . By reflexivity of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , a subsequence converges weakly to  $\phi_1 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Weak lower semicontinuity yields:

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla \phi_1|^p dx + \int_\Omega V(x)|\phi_1|^p dx \leq \lambda_1. \quad (3)$$

Thus,  $\phi_1$  minimizes the Rayleigh quotient and satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla \phi_1|^{p-2} \nabla \phi_1 \cdot \nabla \psi dx + \int_\Omega V(x)|\phi_1|^{p-2} \phi_1 \psi dx = \lambda_1 \int_\Omega |\phi_1|^{p-2} \phi_1 \psi dx,$$

for all  $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

By the strong maximum principle for the  $p$ -Laplacian, non-negative solutions are either identically zero or strictly positive. Since  $\phi_1$  minimizes  $\mathcal{R}(\phi)$ , it cannot vanish identically. Hence,  $\phi_1 > 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

We assume, for contradiction, that  $\lambda_1 \leq 0$ . Then, by (3):

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla \phi_1|^p dx + \int_\Omega V(x)|\phi_1|^p dx \leq 0.$$

Since  $1 - c_1 c_V > 0$  and  $\int_\Omega V(x)|\phi_1|^p dx \geq -c_V \int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx$ , it follows that:

$$c_1 \int_\Omega |\nabla \phi_1|^p dx < \int_\Omega |\phi_1|^p dx,$$

this leads to a contradiction. Therefore,  $\lambda_1 > 0$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 4 (Singular Problem Solvability). *Under hypothesis (H3), the singular problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p \zeta + V(x)|\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta = \frac{1}{\zeta^\alpha}, & x \in \Omega, \\ \zeta = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

admits a positive solution  $\zeta \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  with negative normal derivative on  $\partial\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Define the energy functional  $E : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  associated with (4):

$$E(\zeta) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^p dx - \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha} \int_{\Omega} (\zeta^+)^{1-\alpha} dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} V(x)|\zeta|^p dx,$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\zeta^+ = \max(\zeta, 0)$ . Using the Poincaré inequality  $\|\zeta\|_{L^p} \leq c_1 \|\nabla \zeta\|_{L^p}$  and the boundedness of  $V(x)$  from (H3), we derive:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\zeta) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla \zeta\|_{L^p}^p - \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha} \|\zeta\|_{L^{1-\alpha}}^{1-\alpha} - \frac{c_V}{p} \|\zeta\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\geq \frac{1-c_V c_1}{p} \|\nabla \zeta\|_{L^p}^p - \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha} \|\zeta\|_{L^{1-\alpha}}^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

and using the Poincaré inequality  $\|\zeta\|_{L^p} \leq c_1 \|\nabla \zeta\|_{L^p}$ , we deduce:

$$E(\zeta) \geq \frac{1}{p} (1 - c_V c_1) \|\nabla \zeta\|_{L^p}^p - \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha} \|\zeta\|_{L^{1-\alpha}}^{1-\alpha}.$$

Since  $1 - c_V c_1 > 0$ , we conclude that  $E(\zeta) \geq \frac{1}{p} (1 - c_V c_1) \|\nabla \zeta\|_{L^p}^p \geq 0$ , and therefore  $E(\zeta)$  is coercive and weakly lower semicontinuous on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . By the Direct Method in the Calculus of Variations,  $E$  attains its global minimum at some  $\zeta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

Let  $\phi_1 > 0$  denote the normalized first eigenfunction of the  $p$ -Laplacian with  $\|\phi_1\|_{L^p} = 1$ . For small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , evaluate  $E(\varepsilon\phi_1)$ :

$$E(\varepsilon\phi_1) = \frac{\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^p dx - \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \phi_1^{1-\alpha} dx + \frac{\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} V(x)|\phi_1|^p dx.$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} V(x)|\phi_1|^p dx = \lambda_1 \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} |\phi_1|^p dx}_{=1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^p dx,$$

we conclude:

$$E(\varepsilon\phi_1) = \frac{\varepsilon^p \lambda_1}{p} - \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \phi_1^{1-\alpha} dx.$$

Given  $1 - \alpha < p$ , the singular term  $-\frac{\lambda \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \phi_1^{1-\alpha} dx$  dominates as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , forcing  $E(\varepsilon\phi_1) < 0$ .

Since  $E(0) = 0 > E(\varepsilon\phi_1)$ , it follows that  $\zeta = 0$  cannot be the global minimizer of  $E(\zeta)$ . Therefore,  $\zeta \neq 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

Decompose  $\zeta = \zeta^+ - \zeta^-$ . Since  $E(|\zeta|) \leq E(\zeta)$  and equality holds only if  $\zeta^- = 0$  a.e., the minimizer must satisfy  $\zeta \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . If  $\zeta^+ = 0$ , then  $E(\zeta) \geq 0$ , contradicting  $E(\varepsilon\phi_1) < 0$ . Hence,  $\zeta > 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

Define  $\tilde{\zeta} = \zeta - \xi \|\xi\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}$ , where  $\xi$  solves equation (2). Suppose  $\tilde{\Omega} = \{x \in \Omega : \tilde{\zeta} < 0\} \neq \emptyset$ .

Evaluating the  $p$ -Laplacian differential operator at  $\tilde{\zeta}$  gives:

$$-\Delta_p \tilde{\zeta} = -\Delta_p \zeta + \|\xi\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} (-\Delta_p \xi).$$

Using the original equations for  $\zeta$  and  $\xi$ , compute:

$$-\Delta_p \tilde{\zeta} = \left( \frac{1}{\zeta^\alpha} - V(x) |\zeta|^{p-2} \zeta \right) + \|\xi\|_\infty^{-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} (1 - \|V\|_\infty |\xi|^{p-2} \xi).$$

Thus, for any  $x \in \tilde{\Omega}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p \tilde{\zeta} &= (\|V\|_\infty - V(x)) |\zeta|^{p-2} \zeta + \|V\|_\infty \left( \|\xi\|_\infty^{-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} |\xi|^{p-2} \xi - |\zeta|^{p-2} \zeta \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\zeta^\alpha} - \|\xi\|_\infty^{-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\zeta^\alpha} - \|\xi\|_\infty^{-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \\ &\geq \xi^{-\alpha} \|\xi\|_\infty^{\frac{\alpha^2}{1+\alpha}} - \|\xi\|_\infty^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{1+\alpha}} \\ &\geq \|\xi\|_\infty^{-\alpha} \|\xi\|_\infty^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} - \|\xi\|_\infty^{-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, applying the Maximum Principle for  $\tilde{\zeta}$ , since  $-\Delta_p \tilde{\zeta} \geq 0$  in  $\tilde{\Omega}$  and  $\tilde{\zeta}(x) < 0$  in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ , the Maximum Principle implies that  $\tilde{\zeta}$  cannot achieve a negative minimum inside  $\tilde{\Omega}$  unless  $\tilde{\zeta}(x) = 0$  on the boundary of  $\tilde{\Omega}$ .

This leads to a contradiction, as we assumed that  $\tilde{\zeta}(x) < 0$  in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ , and the only way this could be consistent with the Maximum Principle is if  $\tilde{\zeta}(x) = 0$  on the boundary of  $\tilde{\Omega}$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $\zeta(x) < \xi(x) \|\xi\|_\infty^{-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}$ .

Thus,  $\tilde{\Omega} = \emptyset$ , and therefore:

$$\zeta(x) \geq \xi(x) \|\xi\|_\infty^{-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Since  $\xi > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \eta} < 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , it follows that  $\zeta(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \eta} < 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We present a comprehensive proof of Theorem 1 through meticulous construction of ordered sub- and supersolutions. The proof contains three principal components: (I) precise subsolution construction, (II) supersolution development with compatibility analysis, and (III) application of the sub- and supersolution principle with regularity considerations.

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta > 0$  be the unique positive solution to the singular boundary value problem (4), whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 4. Define the normalized function:

$$w(x) := \frac{\zeta(x)}{\|\zeta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} \quad \text{satisfying} \quad \begin{cases} \|w\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 1 \\ -\Delta_p w + V|w|^{p-2}w = \frac{1}{\|\zeta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p-1+\alpha}} w^{-\alpha} \end{cases}$$

Given the positivity of  $w$  in  $\Omega$  and the boundary conditions, there exist  $\delta, m > 0$  such that in  $\Omega_\delta := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \delta\}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\left( \frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \left[ \gamma |\nabla w|^p - \left( c_v \delta_0 + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty}^{-(p-1+\alpha)} \right) w^{1-\alpha} \right] \geq m, \quad (5)$$

where  $\gamma := \frac{(1-\alpha)(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}$  and  $\delta_0 := 1 - \left( \frac{p-1+\alpha}{p} \right)^{p-1}$ . Moreover, there exists  $\mu \in (0, 1)$  such that:

$$\mu \leq w(x) \leq 1 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta.$$

Now, define the subsolution candidate through the ansatz:

$$\psi(x) := \lambda^{\frac{r}{p-1}} w(x)^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}, \quad r \in \left( \frac{p-1}{p-1+\alpha}, \frac{p-1}{p-1+\alpha-\beta} \right).$$

The gradient structure reveals:

$$|\nabla \psi|^{p-2} \nabla \psi = \lambda^r \left( \frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} w^\gamma |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w.$$

Through detailed computation using the  $p$ -Laplacian's nonlinear structure:

$$-\Delta_p \psi = \lambda^r \left( \frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} [w^\gamma \Delta_p w + \gamma w^{\gamma-1} |\nabla w|^p].$$

Substituting  $w$ 's governing equation and hypothesis (H3), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p \psi + V |\psi|^{p-2} \psi &= -\lambda^r \left( \frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[ w^\gamma \left( V |w|^{p-2} w - \frac{1}{\|\zeta\|_\infty^{p-1+\alpha}} \frac{1}{w^\alpha} \right) + \gamma w^{\gamma-1} |\nabla w|^p \right] \\ &= -\lambda^r \left( \frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} w^{\gamma-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \gamma |\nabla w|^p - \frac{1}{\|\zeta\|_\infty^{p-1+\alpha}} w^{1-\alpha} + V \left( 1 - \left( \frac{p-1+\alpha}{p} \right)^{p-1} \right) w^p \right] \\ &\leq -\lambda^r \left( \frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} w^{\gamma-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \gamma |\nabla w|^p - \frac{1}{\|\zeta\|_\infty^{p-1+\alpha}} w^{1-\alpha} - c_v \delta_0 w^p \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $w \leq 1$ , we have  $w^p \leq w^{1-\alpha}$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p \psi + V |\psi|^{p-2} \psi &\leq -\lambda^r \left( \frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} w^{\gamma-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \gamma |\nabla w|^p - \left( c_v \delta_0 + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty}^{-(p-1+\alpha)} \right) w^{1-\alpha} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Given that  $r > \frac{p-1}{p-1+\alpha}$ , it immediately follows that  $1 - r - \frac{r\alpha}{p-1} < 0$ . Thus, in  $\bar{\Omega}_\delta$ , relation (5) implies that for  $\lambda \gg 1$ :

$$-\left( \frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \left[ \gamma |\nabla w|^p - \left( c_v \delta_0 + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty}^{-(p-1+\alpha)} \right) w^{1-\alpha} \right] \leq -m \leq \lambda^{1-r-\frac{r\alpha}{p-1}} f_{\min},$$

where  $f_{\min} := \min_{s \geq 0} f(s) < 0$ . Therefore, we obtain in  $\bar{\Omega}_\delta$ :

$$-\Delta_p \psi + V |\psi|^{p-2} \psi \leq \lambda \frac{f_{\min}}{\left( \lambda^{\frac{r}{p-1}} w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^\alpha} \leq \lambda \frac{f(\psi)}{\psi^\alpha}. \quad (7)$$

In the domain  $\Omega \setminus \bar{\Omega}_\delta$ , we know that  $w \geq \mu > 0$ . According to hypothesis (H2), we can assert the following

inequality for sufficiently large  $\lambda$ :

$$f\left(\lambda^{\frac{r}{p-1}} w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\right) \geq B\left(\lambda^{\frac{r}{p-1}} w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\right)^\beta, \quad (8)$$

where  $\lambda \gg 1$ . This leads us to the following bound, noting that the condition  $1 + \frac{r(\beta-\alpha)}{p-1} - \frac{r}{p-1} > 0$  holds:

$$\left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} \left(c_v \delta_0 + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty}^{-(p-1+\alpha)}\right) \leq B\lambda^{1+\frac{r(\beta-\alpha)}{p-1}-\frac{r}{p-1}}.$$

To proceed, we apply the condition (H2), which implies  $p\beta - p + 1 - 2\alpha + \alpha p + \alpha^2 < 0$ . Additionally, since  $\mu \leq w \leq 1$ , we derive the following inequality:

$$\left(w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\right)^{\beta-\alpha-1} w^{\frac{\alpha^2+2\alpha(p-1)+1}{p-1+\alpha}} = w^{\frac{p\beta-p+1-2\alpha+\alpha p+\alpha^2}{p-1+\alpha}} \geq 1.$$

This result leads to the conclusion that:

$$B\lambda^{1+\frac{r(\beta-\alpha)}{p-1}-\frac{r}{p-1}} \leq B\lambda^{1+\frac{r(\beta-\alpha)}{p-1}-\frac{r}{p-1}} \left(w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\right)^{\beta-\alpha-1} w^{\frac{\alpha^2+2\alpha(p-1)+1}{p-1+\alpha}}.$$

Consequently, we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\frac{r}{p-1}} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} \left(c_v \delta_0 + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty}^{-(p-1+\alpha)}\right) w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}-\frac{\alpha^2+2\alpha(p-1)+1}{p-1+\alpha}} \\ \leq B\lambda^{1+\frac{r(\beta-\alpha)}{p-1}} \left(w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\right)^{\beta-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By employing an estimate in  $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\delta$  and neglecting the non-dominant term  $\gamma|\nabla w|^p$ , inequality (6) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p \psi + V|\psi|^{p-2}\psi \leq \lambda^{\frac{r}{p-1}} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} \\ \times \left(c_v \delta_0 + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty}^{-(p-1+\alpha)}\right) w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}-\frac{\alpha^2+2\alpha(p-1)+1}{p-1+\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

By equations (9) and (10), we deduce that in the region  $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\delta$ :

$$-\Delta_p \psi + V|\psi|^{p-2}\psi \leq B\lambda^{1+\frac{r(\beta-\alpha)}{p-1}} \left(w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\right)^{\beta-\alpha}.$$

From this expression and equation (8), we obtain the final estimate in the region  $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_\delta$ :

$$-\Delta_p \psi + V|\psi|^{p-2}\psi \leq \lambda \frac{B\left(\lambda^{\frac{r}{p-1}} w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\right)^\beta}{\left(\lambda^{\frac{r}{p-1}} w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\right)^\alpha} \leq \lambda \frac{f(\psi)}{\psi^\alpha}. \quad (11)$$

The function  $\psi$ , constructed from  $w$ , aligns with the boundary requirements. Consequently, from equations (7) and (11), it follows that  $\psi$  serves as a positive subsolution to equation (1) in the domain  $\Omega$  for large values of  $\lambda$ .

Now, we construct the supersolution  $Z$  using the solution  $\xi$  of the auxiliary problem (2), while ensuring compatibility with the subsolution  $\psi = \lambda^{\frac{r}{p-1}} w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}$ . By assumption (H1), we have  $p-1+\alpha-\gamma > 0$  and

$\gamma - \alpha > 0$ . Define the supersolution  $Z(x) = M_\lambda \xi(x)$ , where

$$M_\lambda = \left( \lambda A \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\gamma-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1-\gamma+\alpha}}.$$

Using  $\xi \leq \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ , this reduces to:

$$M_\lambda^{p-1} = \lambda A M_\lambda^{\gamma-\alpha} \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\gamma-\alpha} \geq \lambda \frac{A(M_\lambda \xi)^\gamma}{(M_\lambda \xi)^\alpha}.$$

By (H1),  $f(Z) \leq AZ^\gamma$ . This yields:

$$-\Delta_p Z + V(x)|Z|^{p-2}Z = M_\lambda^{p-1} \geq \lambda \frac{A(M_\lambda \xi)^\gamma}{(M_\lambda \xi)^\alpha} \geq \lambda \frac{f(Z)}{Z^\alpha}.$$

The function  $Z = M_\lambda \xi$  inherits the strict positivity of  $\xi$  in  $\Omega$  and vanishes on  $\partial\Omega$ . Therefore,  $Z$  serves as a positive supersolution to equation (1) in the domain  $\Omega$ .

For  $\lambda \gg 1$ ,  $M_\lambda$  dominates the subsolution  $\psi = \lambda^{\frac{r}{p-1}} w^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}$ , as the exponent of  $\lambda$  in  $M_\lambda$  satisfies:

$$\frac{1}{p-1-\gamma+\alpha} > \frac{r}{p-1},$$

by the interval  $r \in \left( \frac{p-1}{p-1+\alpha}, \frac{p-1}{p-1+\alpha-\beta} \right)$  and (H2). Consequently,  $Z \geq \psi$  in  $\Omega$  for sufficiently large  $\lambda$ .

By the sub- and supersolution method, there exists a positive solution  $u_\lambda \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  to (1) satisfying  $\psi \leq u_\lambda \leq Z$  in  $\Omega$  for  $\lambda \gg 1$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] Lions PL. On the existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations. *SIAM Rev.* 1982; 24: 441–467. DOI: 10.1137/1024101.
- [2] Ko E. Existence of a positive solution to infinite semipositone problems. *East Asian Math. J.* 2024; 40(3): 319–28.
- [3] Ambrosetti A, Arcoya D, Buffoni B. Positive solutions for some semipositone problems via bifurcation theory. *Differ. Integral Equ.* 1994: 655-663.
- [4] Castro A, de Figueredo DG, Lopera E. Existence of positive solutions for a semipositone  $p$ -Laplacian problem. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A Math.* 2016 Jun; 146(3): 475–482.
- [5] Rabinowitz PH. On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 1992; 43: 270–291.
- [6] Aranda C, Godoy T. Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for a singular problem associated with the  $p$ -Laplacian. *Electronic J. Differ. Equ. (EJDE)*. 2004: 132.
- [7] Chu K, Hai D, Shivaji R. Uniqueness of positive radial solutions for infinite semipositone  $p$ -Laplacian problems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications.* 2019 Apr 1; 472(1): 510–525.
- [8] Wang C, Suo H. Infinitely many positive solutions for  $p$ -Laplacian equations with singular and critical growth terms. *Bound. Value Probl.* 2024: 141.
- [9] Cui S. Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for singular semilinear elliptic boundary value problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* 2000; 41: 149–176. DOI: 10.1016/S0362-546X(98)00271-5.
- [10] Lee EK, Shivaji R, Ye J. Classes of infinite semipositone systems. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A Math.* 2009; 139: 853–865.
- [11] Zhang Z. On a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 1995; 194: 103–113.

*Received June 10, 2025*