Volume 26, Number 4/2025, pp. 359-366

DOI: 10.59277/PRA-SER.A.26.4.04

SPECTRAL RADIUS AND PATH-FACTOR CRITICAL GRAPHS

Sufang WANG¹, Wei ZHANG²

 ¹ Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, School of Public Management, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212100, China
 ² Wenzhou University of Technologogy, School of Economics and Management, Wenzhou, Zhejiang 325000, China

Corresponding author: Wei ZHANG, E-mail: zw_wzu@163.com; Sufang WANG, E-mail: wangsufangjust@163.com

Abstract. A $P_{\geq d}$ -factor of a graph G is a spanning subgraph F of G such that every component of F is a path of order at least d ($d \geq 2$). A graph G is called a ($P_{\geq d}, k$)-factor critical graph if after deleting any k vertices of G the remaining graph of G contains a $P_{\geq d}$ -factor. Let $\rho(G)$ denote the spectral radius of G. In this paper, we first provide a characterization for a graph to be ($P_{\geq 2}, k$)-factor critical; then we prove that an n-vertex connected graph G is a ($P_{\geq 2}, k$)-factor critical graph unless $G = K_k \vee (K_{n-k-1} \cup K_1)$ if $\rho(G) \geq \rho(K_k \vee (K_{n-k-1} \cup K_1))$, where k and n are two positive integers with $n \geq k+2$.

Keywords: graph, spectral radius, $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor, $(P_{\geq 2}, k)$ -factor critical graph. (2020) *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 05C50, 05C70, 05C38, 90B99

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we deal only with finite and undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Let G be a graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). The order of a graph G is the number n = |V(G)| of its vertices. The number of isolated vertices in G is denoted by i(G). For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, we use G[S] to denote the subgraph of G induced by S, and write $G - S = G[V(G) \setminus S]$. Let G_1 and G_2 be two disjoint graphs. The union $G_1 \cup G_2$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$. The join $G_1 \vee G_2$ denotes the graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv : u \in V(G_1), v \in V(G_2)\}$. For a graph G and an integer $k \ge 2$, let kG denote the disjoint union of k copies of G. Let P_n and K_n denote the path and the complete graph of order n, respectively.

Suppose that the vertex set of G is $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. The adjacency matrix $A(G) = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ of G is a (0,1)-matrix in which the entry $a_{ij} = 1$ if and only if v_i and v_j are adjacent. Note that A(G) is a real nonnegative symmetric matrix. Hence, its eigenvalues are real, which can be arranged in nonincreasing order as $\lambda_1(G) \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(G)$. In particular, the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1(G)$ is called the adjacency spectral radius (or spectral radius, for short) of G, written as $\rho(G)$.

A path-factor is a spanning subgraph of a graph G in which every component is a path of order at least 2. Let \mathscr{H} be a set of connected graphs. A spanning subgraph H of a graph G is called an \mathscr{H} -factor if each component of H is isomorphic to a member of \mathscr{H} . An \mathscr{H} -factor is also referred as a component factor. Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer. A $\{P_d, P_{d+1}, \ldots\}$ -factor is simply denoted by a $P_{\geq d}$ -factor. Note that a perfect matching can be regarded as a $\{P_2\}$ -factor. A graph G is called a $(P_{\geq d}, k)$ -factor critical graph if after deleting any k vertices of G the remaining graph of G contains a $P_{\geq d}$ -factor. In fact, a $(P_{\geq d}, 0)$ -factor critical graph G is equivalent to G having a $P_{\geq d}$ -factor.

In mathematical literature, the study on component factors attracted much attention. Amahashi and Kano [1] provided a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph having a $\{K_{1,j}: 1 \le j \le k\}$ -factor, where $k \ge 2$ is an integer. Kano, Lu and Yu [8] proved that a graph G has a $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, K_5\}$ -factor if $i(G-S) \le \frac{|S|}{2}$ holds for every $S \subseteq V(G)$. Zhou [24], Zhou, Xu and Sun [31] obtained some sufficient conditions for graphs having component factors. Kano and Saito [9] investigated the existence of $\{K_{1,j}: k \le j \le 2k\}$ -factors in graphs. Las Vergnas [10] claimed a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to contain a $P_{\ge 2}$ -factor. Kaneko [7] showed a characterization for a graph with a $P_{\ge 3}$ -factor. Dai, Hang, Zhang, Zhang and Wang [4] gave some degree conditions for the existence of $\{P_2, P_5\}$ -factors in graphs. Dai [3], Liu [12], Liu and Pan [13], Zhou, Sun and Liu [28], Wu [21] obtained some results on the existence of $P_{\ge 3}$ -factors in graphs. Zhou [23] got a binding number condition for the existence of $\{P_{\ge 3}, k\}$ -factor critical graphs. More results on graph factors and factor critical graphs were found in [17–19, 25, 26, 30, 33].

Many researchers [5,14,15,20,34] investigated some interesting spectral properties of A(G). O [16], Zhou, Sun and Zhang [29], Zhou and Zhang [32] established some connections between spectral radius and a $\{P_2\}$ -factor in a connected graph. Li and Miao [11], Zhou, Zhang and Sun [35], Zhou, Sun and Liu [27] showed some spectral conditions for connected graphs to contain $P_{\geq 2}$ -factors. In this paper, we study the existence of a $(P_{\geq 2},k)$ -factor critical graph, and provide a sufficient condition for the existence of a $(P_{\geq 2},k)$ -factor critical graph by using spectral radius.

THEOREM 1.1. Let k and n be two positive integers with $n \ge k + 2$. If G is an n-vertex connected graph with $\rho(G) \ge \rho(K_k \lor (K_{n-k-1} \cup K_1))$, then G is a $(P_{\ge 2}, k)$ -factor critical graph unless $G = K_k \lor (K_{n-k-1} \cup K_1)$.

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

In this section, we provide several necessary preliminary lemmas, which are used to verify the main results in this paper.

LEMMA 2.1 (Brouwer and Haemers [2]). Let H be a subgraph of a connected graph G. Then

$$\rho(G) \ge \rho(H)$$

with equality if and only if G = H.

Let M be an $n \times n$ real matrix, and let $X = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Given a partition $\pi : X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \cdots \cup X_r$, the matrix M can be correspondingly partitioned as

$$M = \left(egin{array}{cccc} M_{11} & M_{12} & \cdots & M_{1r} \ M_{21} & M_{22} & \cdots & M_{2r} \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ M_{r1} & M_{r2} & \cdots & M_{rr} \end{array}
ight),$$

where M_{ij} denotes the submatrix (block) of M formed by rows in X_i and the columns in X_j . The quotient matrix of M with respect to π is defined by the $r \times r$ matrix $B_{\pi} = (b_{ij})$, where b_{ij} denotes the average value of all row sums of M_{ij} . The above partition π is equitable if every block M_{ij} of M has constant row sum b_{ij} .

LEMMA 2.2 (You, Yang, So and Xi [22]). Let M be a real symmetric matrix with an equitable partition π , and let B_{π} be the corresponding quotient matrix. Then every eigenvalue of B_{π} is an eigenvalue of M. Furthermore, if M is nonnegative, then the largest eigenvalues of M and B_{π} are equal.

LEMMA 2.3 (Haemers [6]). Let M be a Hermitian matrix of order s, and let N be a principal submatrix of M of order t. If $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ and $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n$ are the eigenvalues of M and N, respectively. then $\lambda_i \geq \mu_i \geq \lambda_{s-t+i}$ for $i=1,2,\ldots,t$.

Las Vergnas [10] provided a characterization for a graph with a $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor.

LEMMA 2.4 (Las Vergnas [10]). A graph G contains a P>2-factor if and only if

$$i(G-S) \leq 2|S|$$

for any subset S of V(G).

Using Lemma 2.4, we verify the following result.

Lemma 2.5. A graph G is a $(P_{>2},k)$ -factor critical graph if and only if

$$i(G-S) \le 2|S| - 2k$$

for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \ge k$.

Proof. Suppose $U \subseteq S \subseteq V(G)$ where |U| = k, and $S' = S \setminus U$ and G' = G - U. Then G' - S' = G - S. Suppose first that G is $(P_{\geq 2}, k)$ -factor critical. Then G' = G - U contains a $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor, and so $i(G' - S') \leq 2|S'|$ by Lemma 2.4. Combining this with $S' = S \setminus U$ and G' - S' = G - S, we conclude

$$i(G-S) = i(G'-S') \le 2|S'| = 2|S| - 2k$$

for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \ge k$.

Suppose conversely that $i(G-S) \le 2|S| - 2k$ for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \ge k$. Together with $U \subseteq S \subseteq V(G)$, |U| = k, $S' = S \setminus U$, G' = G - U and G' - S' = G - S, we obtain

$$i(G'-S') = i(G-S) \le 2|S|-2k = 2|S \setminus U| = 2|S'|$$

for any $S' \subseteq V(G')$. From Lemma 2.4, G' = G - U contains a $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor, and so G is a $(P_{\geq 2}, k)$ -factor critical graph. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose, to the contrary, that G is not $(P_{\geq 2}, k)$ -factor critical. By Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$i(G-S) > 2|S| - 2k + 1$$

for some subset S of V(G) with $|S| \ge k$. Let |S| = s. Then G is a spanning subgraph of $G_1 = K_s \lor (K_{n_1} \cup (2s - 2k + 1)K_1)$ for some nonnegative integer n_1 with $n_1 = n - 3s + 2k - 1$. According to Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\rho(G) \le \rho(G_1) \tag{1}$$

with equality if and only if $G = G_1$. Let $G_* = K_k \vee (K_{n-k-1} \cup K_1)$. In light of the partition $V(G_*) = V(K_k) \cup V(K_{n-k-1}) \cup V(K_1)$, the quotient matrix of $A(G_*)$ is equal to

$$B_* = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} k-1 & n-k-1 & 1\\ k & n-k-2 & 0\\ k & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

Then the characteristic polynomial of B_* is

$$\varphi_{B_*}(x) = x^3 - (n-3)x^2 - (n+k-2)x + k(n-k-2).$$

Notice that the partition $V(G_*) = V(K_k) \cup V(K_{n-k-1}) \cup V(K_1)$ is equitable. By Lemma 2.2, the largest root, say ρ_* , of $\varphi_{B_*}(x) = 0$ is equal to $\rho(G_*)$. In what follows, we show that $\rho(G_1) \leq \rho(G_*)$ with equality if and only if $G_1 = G_*$.

Obviously, $G_1 = G_*$ if s = k. Hence, it suffices to verify that $\rho(G_1) < \rho(G_*)$ for $s \ge k + 1$. The following proof will be divided into three cases.

Case 1. $n_1 = 0$, that is, n = 3s - 2k + 1.

In this case, $G_1 = K_s \vee (2s - 2k + 1)K_1$. In terms of the partition $V(G_1) = V(K_s) \cup V((2s - 2k + 1)K_1)$, the quotient matrix of $A(G_1)$ is

$$B_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} s-1 & 2s-2k+1 \\ s & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

Then the characteristic polynomial of B_1 is

$$\varphi_{B_1}(x) = x^2 - (s-1)x - s(2s-2k+1).$$

Notice that the partition $V(G_1) = V(K_s) \cup V((2s-2k+1)K_1)$ is equitable. In view of Lemma 2.2, the largest root, say ρ_1 , of $\varphi_{B_1}(x) = 0$ equals $\rho(G_1)$.

Note that n = 3s - 2k + 1 and $\varphi_{B_1}(\rho_1) = 0$. By plugging the value ρ_1 into x of $\varphi_{B_*}(x) - x\varphi_{B_1}(x)$, we get

$$\varphi_{B_*}(\rho_1) = \varphi_{B_*}(\rho_1) - \rho_1 \varphi_{B_1}(\rho_1)$$

= $-(2s - 2k - 1)\rho_1^2 + (2s^2 - 2ks - 2s + k + 1)\rho_1 + k(3s - 3k - 1).$

Since $\rho_1 = \frac{s-1+\sqrt{(s-1)^2+4s(2s-2k+1)}}{2} = \frac{s-1+\sqrt{9s^2-8ks+2s+1}}{2}$, we obtain

$$\varphi_{B_*}(\rho_1) = -(2s - 2k - 1)\rho_1^2 + (2s^2 - 2ks - 2s + k + 1)\rho_1 + k(3s - 3k - 1)
= \frac{s - k}{2}(-8s^2 + (8k + 1)s + 6k + 1 + \sqrt{9s^2 - 8ks + 2s + 1}).$$
(2)

CLAIM 1. $8s^2 - (8k+1)s - 6k - 1 > \sqrt{9s^2 - 8ks + 2s + 1}$ for $s \ge k + 1$. Proof. Write $M_1 = 8s^2 - (8k+1)s - 6k - 1$ and $N_1 = \sqrt{9s^2 - 8ks + 2s + 1}$, where $s \ge k + 1$. Then

$$M_1^2 - N_1^2 = 64s^4 - 16(8k+1)s^3 + (64k^2 - 80k - 24)s^2 + (96k^2 + 36k)s + 36k^2 + 12k.$$
 (3)

Let $f_1(x) = 64x^4 - 16(8k+1)x^3 + (64k^2 - 80k - 24)x^2 + (96k^2 + 36k)x + 36k^2 + 12k$ be a real function in x with $x \in [k+1, +\infty)$. Then we have

$$f_1'(x) = 256x^3 - 48(8k+1)x^2 + 2(64k^2 - 80k - 24)x + 96k^2 + 36k$$

and

$$f_1''(x) = 768x^2 - 96(8k+1)x + 2(64k^2 - 80k - 24).$$

Notice that

$$\frac{96(8k+1)}{2 \times 768} = \frac{8k+1}{16} < k+1 \le s.$$

Then $f_1''(x)$ is increasing in the interval $[k+1, +\infty)$, and so

$$f_1''(x) \ge f_1''(k+1) = 128k^2 + 512k + 624 > 0$$

for $x \ge k+1$, which implies that $f'_1(x)$ is increasing in the interval $[k+1, +\infty)$. Thus, we conclude

$$f_1'(x) \ge f_1'(k+1) = 16k^2 + 116k + 160 > 0$$

for $x \ge k+1$, which yields that $f_1(x)$ is increasing in the interval $[k+1,+\infty)$. Recall that $s \ge k+1$. Then we obtain

$$f_1(s) > f_1(k+1) = 24 > 0$$

for $s \ge k+1$. Combining this with (3), we get $M_1 > N_1$ for $s \ge k+1$. Claim 1 is verified.

According to (2), Claim 1 and $s \ge k+1$, we have $\varphi_{B_*}(\rho_1) < 0$, which leads to $\rho(G_1) = \rho_1 < \rho_* = \rho(G_*)$.

Case 2. $n_1 = 1$, that is, n = 3s - 2k + 2.

In this case, $G_1 = K_s \vee (2s - 2k + 2)K_1$. By virtue of the partition $V(G_1) = V(K_s) \cup V((2s - 2k + 2)K_1)$, the quotient matrix of $A(G_1)$ is

$$B_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} s-1 & 2s-2k+2 \\ s & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

Then the characteristic polynomial of B_2 equals

$$\varphi_{B_2}(x) = x^2 - (s-1)x - s(2s-2k+2).$$

Obviously, the partition $V(G_1) = V(K_s) \cup V((2s-2k+2)K_1)$ is equitable. According to Lemma 2.2, the largest root, say $\rho_2 = \frac{s-1+\sqrt{9s^2-8ks+6s+1}}{2}$, of $\varphi_{B_2}(x) = 0$ equals $\rho(G_1)$.

Note that n = 3s - 2k + 2 and $\varphi_{B_2}(\rho_2) = 0$. By plugging the value ρ_2 into x of $\varphi_{B_*}(x) - x\varphi_{B_2}(x)$, we possess

$$\varphi_{B_*}(\rho_2) = \varphi_{B_*}(\rho_2) - \rho_2 \varphi_{B_2}(\rho_2)
= (s-k)(-2\rho_2^2 + (2s-1)\rho_2 + 3k)
= \frac{s-k}{2}(-8s^2 + (8k-7)s + 6k - 1 + \sqrt{9s^2 - 8ks + 6s + 1}).$$
(4)

CLAIM 2. $8s^2 - (8k - 7)s - 6k + 1 > \sqrt{9s^2 - 8ks + 6s + 1}$ for $s \ge k + 1$. Proof. Let $M_2 = 8s^2 - (8k - 7)s - 6k + 1$ and $N_2 = \sqrt{9s^2 - 8ks + 6s + 1}$, where $s \ge k + 1$. Then

$$M_2^2 - N_2^2 = 64s^4 - 16(8k - 7)s^3 + (64k^2 - 208k + 56)s^2 + (96k^2 - 92k + 8)s + 36k^2 - 12k.$$
 (5)

Let $f_2(x) = 64x^4 - 16(8k - 7)x^3 + (64k^2 - 208k + 56)x^2 + (96k^2 - 92k + 8)x + 36k^2 - 12k$ be a real function in x, where $x \in [k + 1, +\infty)$. By a direct computation, we get

$$f_2'(x) = 256x^3 - 48(8k - 7)x^2 + 2(64k^2 - 208k + 56)x + 96k^2 - 92k + 8$$

and

$$f_2''(x) = 768x^2 - 96(8k - 7)x + 2(64k^2 - 208k + 56).$$

Note that

$$\frac{96(8k-7)}{2\times768} = \frac{8k-7}{16} < k+1 \le s.$$

Then $f_2''(x)$ is increasing in the interval $[k+1, +\infty)$, and so

$$f_2''(x) \ge f_2''(k+1) = 128k^2 + 1024k + 1552 > 0$$

for $x \ge k+1$. Obviously, $f_2'(x)$ is increasing in the interval $[k+1, +\infty)$, and so

$$f_2'(x) \ge f_2'(k+1) = 144k^2 + 660k + 712 > 0$$

for $x \ge k+1$, which implies that $f_2(x)$ is increasing in the interval $[k+1, +\infty)$. Recall that $s \ge k+1$. Then we conclude

$$f_2(s) \ge f_2(k+1) = 80k^2 + 272k + 240 > 0$$

for $s \ge k+1$. Together with (5), we have $M_2 > N_2$ for $s \ge k+1$. This completes the proof of Claim 2. \square In terms of (4), Claim 2 and $s \ge k+1$, we obtain $\varphi_{B_*}(\rho_2) < 0$, which yields $\rho(G_1) = \rho_2 < \rho_* = \rho(G_*)$.

Case 3. $n_1 \ge 2$, that is, $n \ge 3s - 2k + 3$.

Recall that $G_1 = K_s \vee (K_{n_1} \cup (2s-2k+1)K_1)$, where $n_1 = n-3s+2k-1$. The quotient matrix of $A(G_1)$ according to the partition $V(G_1) = V(K_s) \cup V(K_{n-3s+2k-1}) \cup V((2s-2k+1)K_1)$ equals

$$B_3 = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} s-1 & n-3s+2k-1 & 2s-2k+1 \\ s & n-3s+2k-2 & 0 \\ s & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

By a simple computation, we conclude that the characteristic polynomial of B_3 is

$$\varphi_{B_3}(x) = x^3 - (n - 2s + 2k - 3)x^2 - (n + 2s^2 - 2ks - s + 2k - 2)x + s(2s - 2k + 1)(n - 3s + 2k - 2).$$

Since the partition $V(G_1) = V(K_s) \cup V(K_{n-3s+2k-1}) \cup V((2s-2k+1)K_1)$ is equitable, by Lemma 2.2, the largest root, say ρ_3 , of $\varphi_{B_3}(x) = 0$ equals $\rho(G_1)$. Let $\rho_3 = \rho(G_1) \ge \rho_4 \ge \rho_5$ be the three roots of $\varphi_{B_3}(x) = 0$ and Q = diag(s, n-3s+2k-1, 2s-2k+1). It is easy to check that

$$Q^{\frac{1}{2}}B_{3}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} s-1 & s^{\frac{1}{2}}(n-3s+2k-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} & s^{\frac{1}{2}}(2s-2k+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ s^{\frac{1}{2}}(n-3s+2k-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} & n-3s+2k-2 & 0 \\ s^{\frac{1}{2}}(2s-2k+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is symmetric, and also contains

$$\begin{pmatrix} n-3s+2k-2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

as its submatrix. Since $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}B_3Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and B_3 have the same eigenvalues, by the Cauchy Interlacing Theorem (see Lemma 2.3), we have

$$\rho_4 \le n - 3s + 2k - 2 < n - k - 2. \tag{6}$$

Note that K_{n-1} is a proper subgraph of $G_* = K_k \vee (K_{n-k-1} \cup K_1)$. According to Lemma 2.1, we conclude

$$\rho_* = \rho(G_*) > \rho(K_{n-1}) = n - 2 > n - k - 2 > \rho_4. \tag{7}$$

Note that $\varphi_{B_*}(\rho_*) = 0$. By plugging the value ρ_* into x of $\varphi_{B_3}(x) - \varphi_{B_*}(x)$, we obtain

$$\varphi_{B_3}(\rho_*) = \varphi_{B_3}(\rho_*) - \varphi_{B_*}(\rho_*)$$

$$= (s-k)(2\rho_*^2 - (2s-1)\rho_* + 2sn + n - 6s^2 + 4ks - 7s - k - 2).$$
(8)

Let $h(\rho_*) = 2\rho_*^2 - (2s-1)\rho_* + 2sn + n - 6s^2 + 4ks - 7s - k - 2$. Note that

$$\frac{2s-1}{4} < s+1 < 3s-2k+1 \le n-2 < \rho_*$$

by (7). Combining this with $s \ge k+1$ and $n \ge 3s-2k+3$, we obtain

$$h(\rho_*) > h(n-2)$$

$$= 2(n-2)^2 + 2n - 6s^2 + 4ks - 3s - k - 4$$

$$\ge 2(3s - 2k + 1)^2 + 2(3s - 2k + 3) - 6s^2 + 4ks - 3s - k - 4$$

$$= 12s^2 - (20k - 15)s + 8k^2 - 13k + 4$$

$$\geq 12(k+1)^2 - (20k-15)(k+1) + 8k^2 - 13k + 4$$

$$= 6k + 31$$

$$> 0.$$
(9)

It follows from (8), (9) and $s \ge k + 1$ that

$$\varphi_{B_3}(\rho_*) = (s-k)h(\rho_*) > 0.$$

As $\rho_4 < n-2 < \rho(G_*) = \rho_*$ (see (7)), we infer $\rho(G_1) = \rho_3 < \rho_* = \rho(G_*)$.

From Cases 1–3, we have $\rho(G_1) < \rho(G_*)$ for $s \ge k+1$. Thus, we conclude $\rho(G_1) \le \rho(G_*)$ with equality if and only if $G_1 = G_*$. Combining this with (1), we have $\rho(G) \le \rho(G_*)$ with equality if and only if $G = G_*$, where $G_* = K_k \lor (K_{n-k-1} \cup K_1)$. This contradicts the condition of Theorem 1.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Amahashi A, Kano M. On factors with given components. Discrete Mathematics. 1982; 42: 1-6.
- [2] Brouwer A, Haemers W. Spectra of graphs. Berlin: Springer: 2012.
- [3] Dai G. Toughness and isolated toughness conditions for path-factor critical covered graphs. RAIRO-Operations Research. 2023; 57: 847–856.
- [4] Dai G, Hang Y, Zhang X, Zhang Z, Wang W. Sufficient conditions for graphs with $\{P_2, P_5\}$ -factors. RAIRO-Operations Research. 2022; 56: 2895–2901.
- [5] Fiedler M, Nikiforov V. Spectral radius and Hamiltonicity of graphs. Linear Algebra and its Applications. 2010; 432: 2170–2173.
- [6] Haemers W. Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs. Linear Algebra and its Applications. 1995; 227: 593-616.
- [7] Kaneko A. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a path factor every component of which is a path of length at least two. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B. 2003; 88: 195–218.
- [8] Kano M, Lu H, Yu Q. Component factors with large components in graphs. Applied Mathematics Letters. 2010; 23: 385–389.
- [9] Kano M, Saito A. Star-factors with large components. Discrete Mathematics. 2012; 312: 2005–2008.
- [10] Las Vergnas M. An extension of Tutte's 1-factor theorem. Discrete Mathematics. 1978; 23: 241-255.
- [11] Li S, Miao S. Characterizing $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor and $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graphs with respect to the size or the spectral radius. Discrete Mathematics. 2021; 344(11): 112588.
- [12] Liu H. Sun toughness and path-factor uniform graphs. RAIRO-Operations Research. 2022; 56; 4057-4062.
- [13] Liu H, Pan X. Independence number and minimum degree for path-factor critical uniform graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics. 2024; 359: 153–158.
- [14] Liu M, Lai H, Das K. Spectral results on Hamiltonian problem, Discrete Mathematics. 2019; 342: 1718–1730.
- [15] Nikiforov V. A spectral condition for odd cycles in graphs. Linear Algebra and its Applications. 2008; 428: 1492–1498.
- [16] Suil O. Spectral radius and matchings in graphs. Linear Algebra and its Applications. 2021; 614: 316–324.
- [17] Wang S. Remarks on strong parity factors in graphs. Filomat. 2025; 39(13): 4579–4583.
- [18] Wang S, Zhang W. An A_{α} -spectral radius for a spanning tree with constrained leaf distance in a graph. Filomat. 2025; 39(2): 639–648.
- [19] Wu J. A sufficient condition for the existence of fractional (g, f, n)-critical covered graphs. Filomat. 2024; 38(6): 2177–2183.
- [20] Wu J. Characterizing spanning trees via the size or the spectral radius of graphs. Aequationes Mathematicae. 2024; 98(6): 1441–1455.
- [21] Wu J. Path-factor critical covered graphs and path-factor uniform graphs. RAIRO-Operations Research. 2022; 56(6): 4317–4325.
- [22] You L, Yang M, So W, Xi W. On the spectrum of an equitable quotient matrix and its application. Linear Algebra and its Applications. 2019; 577: 21–40.
- [23] Zhou S. Remarks on path factors in graphs. RAIRO-Operations Research. 2020; 54(6): 1827–1834.
- [24] Zhou S. Some spectral conditions for star-factors in bipartite graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics. 2025; 369: 124–130.
- [25] Zhou S. Toughness, fractional extendability and distance spectral radius in graphs. Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society. 2025; 62(3): 601–617. DOI: 10.4134/JKMS.j240047
- [26] Zhou S, Pan Q, Xu Y. A new result on orthogonal factorizations in networks. Filomat. 2024; 38(20): 7235–7244.
- [27] Zhou S, Sun Z, Liu H. Distance signless Laplacian spectral radius for the existence of path-factors in graphs. Aequationes Mathematicae. 2024; 98(3): 727–737.

- [28] Zhou S, Sun Z, Liu H. Some sufficient conditions for path-factor uniform graphs. Aequationes Mathematicae. 2023; 97(3): 489–500.
- [29] Zhou S, Sun Z, Zhang Y. Spectral radius and k-factor-critical graphs. Journal of Supercomputing. 2025; 81(3): 456.
- [30] Zhou S, Wu J. Spanning k-trees and distance spectral radius in graphs. Journal of Supercomputing. 2024; 80(16): 23357–23366.
- [31] Zhou S, Xu Y, Sun Z. Some results about star-factors in graphs. Contributions to Discrete Mathematics. 2024; 19(3): 154–162.
- [32] Zhou S, Zhang Y. Signless Laplacian spectral radius for a k-extendable graph. Filomat. 2025; 39(2): 649–657.
- [33] Zhou S, Zhang T, Liu H. Sufficient conditions for fractional k-extendable graphs. Filomat. 2025; 39(8): 2711–2724.
- [34] Zhou S, Zhang Y, Liu H. Some properties of (a,b,k)-critical graphs. Filomat. 2024; 38(16): 5885–5894.
- [35] Zhou S, Zhang Y, Sun Z. The A_{α} -spectral radius for path-factors in graphs. Discrete Mathematics. 2024; 347(5): 113940.

Received on March 20, 2025