



## BIHARMONIC SLANT SUBMANIFOLDS IN SPACE FORMS

Hafiz Haris JAVED, Najma Abdul REHMAN

COMSATS University Islamabad, Sahiwal Campus, Sahiwal 57000, Pakistan

Corresponding author: Najma Abdul REHMAN, E-mail: najma\_ar@hotmail.com

**Abstract.** In this research work, bi-harmonic slant sub-manifolds in space forms have been studied. Bi-harmonic maps are critical points of the bi-energy functional and are of great importance due to both analytical and geometrical characteristics. After the study of Riemannian Manifolds with complex and contact structure and s-space form structure along with slant sub-manifolds theory, some significant developments related to bi-harmonic slant submanifolds in space forms have been established. Manifolds with constant sectional curvature is known as space form. As s-space form is the generalization of complex and contact structure, we get generalized results. Different aspects of results have discussed.

**Keywords:** isometric immersion, complex structure, contact structure, generalized s-space form, invariant and anti-invariant sub-manifolds, slant sub-manifold, curvature tensor, biharmonic maps, sub-manifold theory.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (MSC2020):** 53C40, 53C25.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Theory of bi-harmonic maps has great importance in various fields of differential geometry. The theory of bi-harmonic maps is an ancient and rich subject. They have been studied since 1862 by Maxwell and Airy to describe a mathematical model of elasticity. It has its roots in 3- $D$  Euclidean space, analysis of curves and surfaces. Due to their analytic and geometric effects, bi-harmonic maps have become an attractive and important field of research. In the form of their Riemannian curvature, invariants, anti-invariant and slant sub-manifolds [4, 21].

Sub-manifolds play a fundamental role in differential geometry, providing a frame work for studying curved spaces and enabling the development of powerful mathematical tools. They are essential in understanding the geometry of higher-dimensional spaces and are a key ingredient in various branches of mathematics and physics. Calabi, initiated the study of real and complex sub-manifolds of complex structure manifolds [5]. After this many authors started research on sub-manifolds of almost contact metric manifolds known as odd dimensional structure manifolds analogue to complex structure manifolds that are of even dimension.

A slant sub-manifold is a sub-manifold whose tangent spaces make a constant angle with a given vector field. Slant sub-manifolds are relevant in complex analysis and complex differential geometry. They provide a way to study the interplay between complex structure and sub-manifolds, leading to a rich geometric theory.

Slant immersion into almost contact metric manifold was first presented by A. Lotta in 1988. Later on, further expansion of the results for contact slant sub-manifolds in Sasakian and in Kenmotsue space forms were established in [1, 19].

The study of bi-harmonic slant sub-manifolds in space forms involves investigating their geometric properties, such as their curvature, shape and deformation behaviour. Researchers are interested in understanding the interplay between the bi-harmonic and slant properties and how they influence the overall geometry of the sub-manifold.

Blair introduced manifolds with  $f$ -structure named as  $s$ -manifolds that are the generalization of complex and contact structures. For further detail of  $s$ -space form see [21]. A space form is a completely Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature. In present research work we will study slant sub-manifolds regarding space forms within the context of bi-harmonic maps and will establish significant generalized results.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we explore some well-known conditions concerning bi-harmonic slant sub-manifold for complex manifold, almost contact metric manifold and S-Manifold.

### 2.1. Complex manifold

Let  $N$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $M$  be an slant sub-manifold with almost complex structure  $f$  and almost metric  $h$  which satisfy the following conditions

$$f^2 = -Id, \quad h(fZ_1, fZ_2) = h(Z_1, Z_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla f = 0$$

for any  $Z_1, Z_2 \in TM$ . Where  $\nabla$  denote the covariant derivative with respect to Levi-Civita connection. An isometric immersion  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is called holomorphic if, for any point  $x$  in  $M$ , we have  $f(T_x M) = T_x M$  where  $T_x M$  denotes the tangent space of  $M$  at  $x$ . If we have  $f(T_x M) \subseteq T_x^\perp M$  for each  $x \in M$ , where  $T_x^\perp M$  denote the normal space of  $M$  in  $N$  at  $x$ .

For any vector  $Z_1$  tangent to  $M$ , we put

$$fZ_1 = \mathcal{T}Z_1 + \mathcal{N}Z_1$$

where  $\mathcal{T}Z_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}Z_1$  denote the tangential and normal components of  $fZ_1$  respectively. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is an endomorphism of the tangent bundle  $TM$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  is normal bundle valued 1-form on  $TM$ . For each non-zero vector  $Z_1$  tangent to  $M$  at  $x$  the angle  $\theta(Z_1)$  between  $fZ_1$  and  $T_x M$  is called the Wirtinger angle of  $Z_1$ . In the following, we call an immersion  $f : M \rightarrow N$  a general slant immersion if the Wirtinger angle  $\theta(Z_1)$  is constant. Holomorphic and totally real immersion are general slant immersions with Wirtinger angle  $\theta$  equal to 0 and  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . A general slant immersion which is not holomorphic is simply called slant immersion. A slant immersion is said to be proper if it is not totally real.

A complex manifold  $N$  is called a complex space form and is denoted by  $N(c)$  if it has constant  $f$ -sectional curvature  $c$ . The curvature tensor  $R$  of a complex space form  $N(c)$  is stated as

$$R(Z_1, Z_2)Z_3 = \frac{c}{4} \{h(Z_2, Z_3)Z_1 - h(Z_1, Z_3)Z_2 + h(Z_1, fZ_3)fZ_2 - h(Z_2, fZ_3)fZ_1 + 2h(Z_1, fZ_2)fZ_3\}$$

for any vector fields  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3$  on  $M$  [25].

### 2.2. Sasakian manifold

Let  $N$  be a  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $M$  be an slant sub-manifold with almost contact structure  $f$ , almost metric  $h$  and a global vector field  $\xi$  (structure vector field) such that, if  $\eta$  is the dual 1-form of  $\xi$  which satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} f^2 Z_1 &= -Z_1 + \eta(Z_1)\xi, & h(Z_1, \xi) &= \eta(Z_1) \\ h(fZ_1, fZ_2) &= h(Z_1, Z_2) - \eta(Z_1)\eta(Z_2) \end{aligned}$$

For any  $Z_1, Z_2 \in TM$ . In this case,

$$h(fZ_1, Z_2) + h(Z_1, fZ_2) = 0,$$

for any  $Z_1, Z_2 \in TM$ . Let  $f$  denote the 2-form in  $M$  given by  $f(Z_1, Z_2) = h(Z_1, fZ_2)$  for all  $Z_1, Z_2 \in TM$ . The 2-form  $f$  is called the fundamental 2-form in  $M$ .

For any  $Z_1 \in TM$ , we write

$$fZ_1 = \mathcal{T}Z_1 + \mathcal{N}Z_1$$

where  $\mathcal{T}Z_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}Z_1$  denote the tangential and normal components of  $fZ_1$  respectively. Similarly, for any  $V \in T^\perp M$ , we have

$$fV = tV + nV$$

where  $tV$  and  $nV$  denote the tangential and normal components of  $fV$  respectively.

The submanifold  $M$  is said to be invariant if normal component is identically zero, that is  $fZ_1$  is tangent to  $M$ , for any  $Z_1 \in T_x M$ . On the other hand,  $M$  is said to be an anti-invariant submanifold if  $T$  is identically zero, that is  $fZ_1$  is normal to  $M$ , for any  $Z_1 \in T_x M$ . Next, for each nonzero vector  $Z_1 \in T_x M$ , such that  $Z_1$  is not proportional to  $\xi$ , we denote by  $\theta(Z_1)$  the angle between  $fZ_1$  and  $T_x M$ . Then,  $M$  is said to be slant if the angle  $\theta(Z_1)$  is a constant. Invariant and anti-invariant immersions are slant immersions with slant angle  $\theta(Z_1) = 0$  and  $\theta(Z_1) = \frac{\pi}{2}$  respectively. A slant immersion which is not invariant nor anti-invariant is called a proper slant immersion.

Further the slant submanifold  $M$  of an almost contact metric manifold  $(N, h)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h(TZ_1, TZ_2) &= \cos^2 \theta (h(Z_1, Z_2) - \eta(Z_1)\eta(Z_2)) \\ h(NZ_1, NZ_2) &= \sin^2 \theta (h(Z_1, Z_2) - \eta(Z_1)\eta(Z_2)), \end{aligned}$$

for  $Z_1, Z_2 \in TM$ .

A Sasakian manifold  $N(\xi, \eta, h)$  is said to be a Sasakian space form is denoted by  $N(c)$  if it has a constant  $f$ -sectional curvature  $c(Z_1 \wedge fZ_1)$ , where  $c(Z_1 \wedge fZ_1)$  denotes the sectional curvature of the section spanned by the unit vector field  $Z_1$ , orthogonal to  $\xi$  and  $fZ_1$ . The curvature tensor  $R$  of a Sasakian space form  $N(c)$  is stated as

$$\begin{aligned} R(Z_1, Z_2)Z_3 &= \frac{c+3}{4} \{h(Z_2, Z_3)Z_1 - h(Z_1, Z_3)Z_2\} + \frac{c-1}{4} \{h(Z_1, fZ_3)fZ_2 - h(Z_2, fZ_3)fZ_1 + 2h(Z_1, fZ_2)fZ_3\} \\ &\quad + \frac{c-1}{4} \{\eta(Z_1)\eta(Z_3)Z_2 - \eta(Z_2)\eta(Z_3)Z_1 + h(Z_1, Z_3)\eta(Z_2)\xi - h(Z_2, Z_3)\eta(Z_1)\xi\} \end{aligned}$$

For any vector fields  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3$  on  $M$  [25].

### 2.3. Generalized S-manifold

Let  $f: (M, h) \rightarrow (N, g)$  be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds and  $(N, g)$  be a Riemannian  $(2n+s)$ -dimensional manifold and express the vector fields with Lie algebra in  $N$  by  $TN$  and is defined as a metric  $f$ -manifold if there is a  $(1, 1)$  tensor field  $f$ ,  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s$  as a structure vector fields and  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s$  are  $s$  1-forms on  $TN$  so that:

$$\begin{aligned} f^2 Z_1 &= -Z_1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta_\alpha(Z_1) \xi_\alpha, \quad h(Z_1, \xi_\alpha) = \eta_\alpha(Z_1), \\ f \xi_\alpha &= 0, \quad \eta_\alpha \circ f = 0 \\ h(fZ_1, fZ_2) &= h(Z_1, Z_2) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta_\alpha(Z_1) \eta_\alpha(Z_2), \end{aligned}$$

for  $Z_1, Z_2 \in TN$  and  $\alpha = 1, \dots, s$ .

Let  $F$  be the fundamental 2-form in  $TN$  given by  $F(Z_1, Z_2) = h(Z_1, fZ_2)$  for any  $Z_1, Z_2 \in TN$ .

Let a  $(2n+s)$ -dimensional slant submanifold  $M$  is isometrically immersed in  $N$ . We denote the Lie algebra of tangent vector fields by  $TN$  and  $T^\perp N$  shows the set of all those vector fields that are normal to  $N$ , such that

$$fZ_1 = \mathcal{T}Z_1 + \mathcal{N}Z_1$$

where  $\mathcal{T}Z_1$  ( and resp.  $\mathcal{N}Z_1$ ) denotes tangential (and resp. normal) component of  $fZ_1$ .

If the normal component  $\mathcal{N}$  vanishes identically then the sub-manifold  $M$  is said to be invariant, i.e., if  $fZ_1 \in TN$ , for any  $Z_1 \in TN$  and if the tangential component  $\mathcal{T}$  vanishes identically then  $M$  is said to be anti-invariant, i.e.,  $fZ_1 \in T^\perp N$ , for any  $Z_1 \in TN$ .

Consider the vector fields  $\xi_\alpha$ , where  $\alpha = 1, \dots, s$  are tangent to  $M$ . Then, the orthogonal distribution to  $\xi_\alpha$ , in  $TM$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}$ . Hence,  $TM = \mathcal{D} \oplus \xi_\alpha$  is considered to be orthogonal direct decomposition.

We define the Wirtinger angle  $\theta(Z_1)$  for any non-zero vector field  $Z_1$  which is in between  $fZ_1$  and  $TN$ , where  $Z_1$  is not proportional to  $\xi_\alpha$ .

If the Wirtinger angle  $\theta(Z_1)$  is a constant then the sub-manifold  $M$  is said to be  $\theta$ -slant sub-manifold. The Wirtinger angle  $\theta$  of a slant immersion is known as slant angle. The sub-manifold  $M$  is said to be invariant (resp. anti invariant) if the slant angle  $\theta = 0$  (resp.  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ). A slant immersion is known as proper slant immersion if  $\theta \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

The curvature tensor  $R$  of a  $s$ -space form  $N(c)$  is stated as

$$\begin{aligned} R(Z_1, Z_2)Z_3 = & \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \{ -f^2 Z_1 \eta_\alpha(Z_2) \eta_\beta(Z_3) - h(fZ_1, fZ_3) \eta_\alpha(Z_2) \xi_\beta \\ & + h(fZ_2, fZ_3) \eta_\alpha(Z_1) \xi_\beta + f^2 Z_2 \eta_\alpha(Z_1) \eta_\beta(Z_3) \} \\ & + \frac{1}{4}(c+3s) \sum_{i=1}^{2n+s} \{ -f^2 Z_1 h(fZ_2, fZ_3) + f^2 Z_2 h(fZ_1, fZ_3) \} \\ & + \frac{1}{4}(c-s) \sum_{i=1}^{2n+s} \{ -fZ_1 h(Z_2, fZ_3) + fZ_2 h(Z_1, fZ_3) + 2fZ_3 h(Z_1, fZ_2) \} \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3$  on  $N$  [25].

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $(N^{2n+s}(c), f, h)$  be a  $s$ -space form. Then  $M^{2m+s}$  is biharmonic slant sub-manifold of  $N^{2n+s}(c)$  if

$$(2m+s) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+s} \left\{ -\nabla_{e_k}(A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) \right\} + \frac{c-s}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m+s} \{ 3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i) \} \right] = 0$$

$$(2m+s) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+s} \left\{ -B(e_k, A_H e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}^\perp H \right\} + Hs + \frac{c+3s}{4} \{ 2mH \} - \frac{c-s}{4} \cos \theta fH \right] = 0$$

*Proof.* Consider orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}$  on submanifold  $M^{2m+s}$ , structure vector fields  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_s$  are tangent to  $M^{2m+s}$ . For  $M^{2m+s}$  to be biharmonic submanifold we have

$$(2m+s)\bar{\Delta}H - (2m+s)\text{trace}(R^N(e_i, H)e_i) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$\text{trace}(R^N(e_i, H)e_i)$  can be computed for slant submanifold as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2m+s} R(e_i, H)e_i = -Hs - \frac{c+3s}{4} \{2mH\} + \frac{c-s}{4} \cos \theta fH - \frac{c-s}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m+s} \{3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i)\}.$$

For isometric immersion

$$\bar{\Delta}H = \sum_{k=1}^{2m+s} \left\{ \nabla_{e_k} \nabla_{e_k} H - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k} H \right\}$$

Since

$$\nabla_{e_k} H = -A_H e_k + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H,$$

we can write

$$\nabla_{e_k} \nabla_{e_k} H = -\nabla_{e_k} (A_H e_k) - B(e_k, A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H$$

and

$$\nabla_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k} H = -A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) + \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k}^\perp H$$

From (1), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (2m+s) \sum_{k=1}^{2m+s} \left\{ -\nabla_{e_k} (A_H e_k) - B(e_k, A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H + A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k}^\perp H \right\} \\ + (2m+s) \left\{ Hs + \frac{c+3s}{4} \{2mH\} - \frac{c-s}{4} \cos \theta fH + \frac{c-s}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m+s} \{3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i)\} \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking tangential and normal components, we get (i) and (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} (2m+s) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+s} \left\{ -\nabla_{e_k} (A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) \right\} + \frac{c-s}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m+s} \{3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i)\} \right] = 0 \\ (2m+s) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+s} \left\{ -B(e_k, A_H e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k}^\perp H \right\} + Hs + \frac{c+3s}{4} \{2mH\} - \frac{c-s}{4} \cos \theta fH \right] = 0 \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**COROLLARY 1.** Let  $M^{2m+s}$  be bi-harmonic slant sub-manifold of  $N(c)$  of dimension  $(2n+s)$  with  $s$ -structure  $f$ . Then the invariant immersions are slant immersion if

$$\begin{aligned} (2m+s) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+s} \left\{ -\nabla_{e_k} (A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) \right\} + \frac{c-s}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m+s} \{3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i)\} \right] = 0 \\ (2m+s) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+s} \left\{ -B(e_k, A_H e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k}^\perp H \right\} + Hs + \frac{c+3s}{4} \{2mH\} - \frac{c-s}{4} fH \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Put  $\theta = 0$  in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the required outcome. □

**COROLLARY 2.** Let  $M^{2m+s}$  be bi-harmonic slant sub-manifold of  $N(c)$  of dimension  $(2n+s)$  with  $s$ -structure  $f$ . Then the anti-invariant immersions are slant immersion if

$$(2m+s) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+s} \left\{ -\nabla_{e_k}(A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) \right\} + \frac{c-s}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m+s} \{3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i)\} \right] = 0$$

$$(2m+s) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+s} \left\{ -B(e_k, A_H e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp e_k}^\perp H \right\} + Hs + \frac{c+3s}{4} \{2mH\} \right] = 0$$

*Proof.* Put  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the required outcome.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.** Let  $M^{2m}$  be bi-harmonic slant sub-manifold of even dimensional structure manifold  $N(c)$  of dimension  $(2n)$  with complex structure  $f$ . Then the slant immersion is bi-harmonic if

$$(2m) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \left\{ -\nabla_{e_k}(A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) \right\} + \frac{c}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \{3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i)\} \right] = 0$$

$$(2m) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \left\{ -B(e_k, A_H e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp e_k}^\perp H \right\} + \frac{c}{4} \{2mH\} - \frac{c}{4} \{\cos \theta fH\} \right] = 0$$

*Proof.* Put  $s = 0$  in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the required outcome.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Let  $M^{2m}$  be bi-harmonic slant sub-manifold of even dimensional structure manifold  $N(c)$  of dimension  $(2n)$  with complex structure  $f$ . Then invariant immersions are slant immersion if

$$(2m) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \left\{ -\nabla_{e_k}(A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) \right\} + \frac{c}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \{3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i)\} \right] = 0$$

$$(2m) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \left\{ -B(e_k, A_H e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp e_k}^\perp H \right\} + \frac{c}{4} \{2mH\} - \frac{c}{4} fH \right] = 0$$

*Proof.* Put  $\theta = 0$  in Corollary 3.3, we obtain the required outcome.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Let  $M^{2m}$  be bi-harmonic slant sub-manifold of even dimensional structure manifold  $N(c)$  of dimension  $(2n)$  with complex structure  $f$ . Then anti-invariant immersions are slant immersion if

$$(2m) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \left\{ -\nabla_{e_k}(A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) \right\} + \frac{c}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \{3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i)\} \right] = 0$$

$$(2m) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \left\{ -B(e_k, A_H e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp e_k}^\perp H \right\} + \frac{c}{4} \{2mH\} \right] = 0$$

*Proof.* Put  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  in Corollary 3.3, we obtain the required outcome.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.** Let  $M^{2m+1}$  be bi-harmonic slant sub-manifold of odd dimensional structure manifold  $N(c)$  of dimension  $(2n+1)$  with contact structure  $f$ . Then slant immersion is bi-harmonic if

$$(2m+1) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} \left\{ -\nabla_{e_k}(A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) \right\} + \frac{c-1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m+1} \{3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i)\} \right] = 0$$

$$(2m+1) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} \left\{ -B(e_k, A_H e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp e_k}^\perp H \right\} + H + \frac{c+3}{4} \{2mH\} - \frac{c-1}{4} \{\cos \theta fH\} \right] = 0$$

*Proof.* Put  $s = 1$  in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the required outcome.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** Let  $M^{2m+1}$  be bi-harmonic slant sub-manifold of odd dimensional structure manifold  $N(c)$  of dimension  $(2n+1)$  with contact structure  $f$ . Then invariant immersions are slant immersion if

$$(2m+1) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} \left\{ -\nabla_{e_k}(A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) \right\} + \frac{c-1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m+1} \{3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i)\} \right] = 0$$

$$(2m+1) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} \left\{ -B(e_k, A_H e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}^\perp H \right\} + H + \frac{c+3}{4} \{2mH\} - \frac{c-1}{4} fH \right] = 0$$

*Proof.* Put  $\theta = 0$  in Corollary 3.4, we obtain the required outcome.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** Let  $M^{2m+1}$  be bi-harmonic slant sub-manifold of odd dimensional structure manifold  $N(c)$  of dimension  $(2n+1)$  with contact structure  $f$ . Then anti-invariant immersions are slant immersion if

$$(2m+1) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} \left\{ -\nabla_{e_k}(A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) \right\} + \frac{c-1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m+1} \{3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i)\} \right] = 0$$

$$(2m+1) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} \left\{ -B(e_k, A_H e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}^\perp H \right\} + H + \frac{c+3}{4} \{2mH\} \right] = 0$$

*Proof.* Put  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  in Corollary 3.4, we obtain the required outcome.  $\square$

In next theorem, we derive the second fundamental form expression for slant immersion but it changes only for anti-invariant case and as we get the result for  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $(N^{2n+s}(c), f, h)$  be a  $s$ -Space form. Then  $M^{2m+s}$  is biharmonic slant sub-manifold of  $N^{2n+s}(c)$  with non-zero constant mean curvature  $H$ . Then  $M$  is proper biharmonic if and only if

$$\|B\|^2 = \left\{ s + \frac{c+3s}{4}(2m) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos \theta = 0 \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

or equivalently, if the scalar curvature of  $M$  satisfies,

$$Scal_M = 4ms + \frac{c+3s}{4} \{4m^2 - 2m\} + \frac{c-s}{4} \{\cos^2 \theta\} - s + 9H^2$$

*Proof.* As  $M$  is slant sub-manifold, by Theorem 1,  $M$  is biharmonic if and only if

$$(2m+s) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+s} \left\{ -\nabla_{e_k}(A_H e_k) + A_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}(e_k) + A_H(\nabla_{e_k} e_k) \right\} + \frac{c-s}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2m+s} \{3(te_i + ne_i)h(H, ne_i)\} \right] = 0$$

$$(2m+s) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{2m+s} \left\{ -B(e_k, A_H e_k) + \nabla_{e_k}^\perp \nabla_{e_k}^\perp H - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k}^\perp H}^\perp H \right\} + Hs + \frac{c+3s}{4} \{2mH\} - \frac{c-s}{4} \cos \theta fH \right] = 0$$

The first equation is satisfied when  $h(H, ne_i) = 0$  and also  $M$  has constant mean curvature. Then the second equation becomes,

$$\text{tr}(B(\cdot, A_H \cdot)) = \left\{ s + \frac{c+3s}{4} \{2m\} \right\} H - \frac{c-s}{4} \{\cos \theta fH\}$$

Moreover, for sub-manifold,  $A_H = HA$ , which suggests,

$$\text{tr}(B(\cdot, A_H \cdot)) = H \text{tr}(B(\cdot, A \cdot)) = H\|B\|^2$$

Since  $H$  is non-zero constant, we get the desired identity

$$H\|B\|^2 = \left\{ s + \frac{c+3s}{4}\{2m\} \right\} H - \frac{c-s}{4}\{\cos\theta fH\}$$

By comparing

$$\|B\|^2 = \left\{ s + \frac{c+3s}{4}\{2m\} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos\theta = 0 \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

The Gauss equation gives us the second equivalency:

$$\text{Scal}_M = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m+s} h(R(Z_i, Z_j)Z_j, Z_i) - \|B\|^2 + 9H^2$$

Now based on the curvature tensor expression

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m+s} h(R^N(Z_i, Z_j)Z_j, Z_i) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m+s} \{h(fZ_i, fZ_i)\eta_\alpha(Z_j)\eta_\beta(Z_j) - h(fZ_i, fZ_j)\eta_\alpha(Z_j)\eta_\beta(Z_i) \\ &\quad + h(fZ_j, fZ_j)\eta_\alpha(Z_i)\eta_\beta(Z_i) - h(fZ_j, fZ_i)\eta_\alpha(Z_i)\eta_\beta(Z_j)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{c+3s}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m+s} \{h(fZ_i, fZ_i)h(fZ_j, fZ_j) - h(fZ_i, fZ_j)h(fZ_j, fZ_i)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{c-s}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m+s} \{h(Z_i, fZ_i)h(Z_j, fZ_j) - h(Z_i, fZ_j)h(Z_j, fZ_i) - 2h(Z_i, fZ_j)h(Z_j, fZ_i)\} \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m+s} h(R^N(Z_i, Z_j)Z_j, Z_i) &= 4ms + \frac{c+3s}{4}\{4m^2\} + \frac{c-s}{4}\{\cos^2\theta\} \\ \text{Scal}_M &= 4ms + \frac{c+3s}{4}\{4m^2\} + \frac{c-s}{4}\{\cos^2\theta\} - s - \frac{c+3s}{4}\{2m\} + 9H^2 \end{aligned}$$

Taking component along  $fH$ , put  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$

$$\text{Scal}_M = 4ms + \frac{c+3s}{4}\{4m^2 - 2m\} - s + 9H^2$$

Consequently, we conclude that  $M$  is appropriate bi-harmonic iff,

$$\|B\|^2 = \left\{ s + \frac{c+3s}{4}(2m) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos\theta = 0 \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

that is iff,

$$\text{Scal}_M = 4ms + \frac{c+3s}{4}\{4m^2 - 2m\} - s + 9H^2$$

□

**COROLLARY 5.** Let  $M^{2m}$  be bi-harmonic slant sub-manifold of even dimensional structure manifold  $N(c)$  of dimension  $(2n)$  with complex structure  $f$  and having mean curvature  $H$  which is non-zero constant. Then  $M$  is proper bi-harmonic iff

$$\|B\|^2 = \left\{ \frac{c}{4}(2m) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos\theta = 0 \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

or equivalently, if the scalar curvature of  $M$  fulfills,

$$\text{Scal}_M = \frac{c}{4}\{4m^2 - 2m\} + 9H^2$$



*Proof.* Put  $s = 0$  in Theorem 3.2. we obtain the required outcome.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 6.** *Let  $M^{2m+1}$  be bi-harmonic slant sub-manifold of odd dimensional structure manifold  $N(c)$  of dimension  $(2n+1)$  with contact structure  $f$  and having mean curvature  $H$  which is non-zero constant. Consequently,  $M$  is proper bi-harmonic iff*

$$\|B\|^2 = \left\{ 1 + \frac{c+3}{4}\{2m\} \right\}, \quad \cos \theta = 0 \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

or equivalently, if the scalar curvature of  $M$  fulfills

$$\text{Scal}_M = 4m + \frac{c+3}{4}\{4m^2 - 2m\} - 1 + 9H^2$$

*Proof.* Put  $s = 1$  in Theorem 3.2, we obtain the required outcome.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Alegre P, Blair DE, Carriazo A. Generalized Sasakian-space-forms. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*. 2004;141:157–183.
- [2] Blair DE. *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston; 2010.
- [3] Boeckx E, Gherghe C. Harmonic maps and cosymplectic manifolds. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*. 2004;76(1):75–92.
- [4] Balmuş A, Montaldo S, Oniciuc C. Biharmonic maps between warped product manifolds. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*. 2007;57(2):449–466.
- [5] Balmuş A, Oniciuc C. Some remarks on the biharmonic submanifolds of  $S^3$  and their stability. *Annals of the Alexandru Ioan Cuza University, Mathematics*. 2005;51(1):171–190.
- [6] Chinea D. Harmonicity on maps between almost contact metric manifolds. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*. 2010;126(4):352–365.
- [7] Cabrerizo JL, Carriazo A, Fernandez LM, Fernandez M. Slant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*. 2010;42(1):125–138.
- [8] Chen BY. Slant immersions. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*. 1990;41(1):135–147.
- [9] Eells J, Sampson JH. Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds. *American Journal of Mathematics*. 1964;86(1):109–160.
- [10] Eells J, Lemaire L. Another report on harmonic maps. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*. 1988;20(5):385–524.
- [11] Gherghe C, Kenmotsu K. Energy minimizer maps on C-manifolds. *Differential Geometry and Its Applications*. 2004;21(1):55–63.
- [12] Jiang GY. 2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A*. 1986;7:389–402.
- [13] Gherghe C. Harmonicity on cosymplectic manifolds. *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*. 2010;40(6):1875–1885.
- [14] Gherghe C, Ianuş S, Pastore AM. CR-manifolds, harmonic maps and stability. *Journal of Geometry*. 2001;71(1):42–53.
- [15] Karadag HB, Atçeken M. Invariant submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds. *Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications*. 2007;12(1):68–75.
- [16] Lichnerowicz A. Applications harmoniques et variétés kähleriennes: Conferenza tenuta il 14 aprile 1969. *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano*. 1969;39:186–195.
- [17] Maeta S, Urakawa H. Biharmonic Lagrangian submanifolds in Kähler manifolds. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*. 2013;55(2):465–480.
- [18] Meschiari M, Rawnsley JH, Salamon, S, Rawnsley JH. F-structures, F-twistor spaces and harmonic maps. In: *Geometry Seminar “Luigi Bianchi” II-1984: Lectures given at the Scuola Normale Superiore*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 1989, pp. 85–159.
- [19] Roth J, Upadhyay A. Biharmonic submanifolds of generalized space forms. *Differential Geometry and its Applications*. 2017;50: 88–104.
- [20] Rehman NA. Harmonic maps on Kenmotsu manifolds. *Analele științifice ale Universității “Ovidius” Constanța. Seria Matematică*. 2013;21(3):197–208.
- [21] Rehman NA, Bari M. Biharmonic maps into S-space forms. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 2018;11(1):150–159.
- [22] Rehman NA. Harmonic maps and stability on Lorentzian para Sasakian manifolds. *Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, Series A* 2022;23(2):101–106.
- [23] Tripathi MM, Kim JS, Kim SB. Mean curvature and shape operator of slant immersions in a Sasakian space form. *Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications* 2002;7(1):101–112.
- [24] Urakawa H. *Calculus of variations and harmonic maps*. American Math.; 1993.
- [25] Yano K, Kon M. *Structures on manifolds, vol. 3. Series in Pure Math*. Singapore: World Scientific; 1984.

Received January 31, 2024