

A RESULT ON $P_{\geq 3}$ -FACTOR UNIFORM GRAPHS

Sizhong ZHOU¹, Zhiren SUN², Fan YANG³

¹ Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, School of Science, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212100, China

² Nanjing Normal University, School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210046, China

³ Nanjing Technology University, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing, Jiangsu 211816, China

Corresponding author: Sizhong ZHOU, E-mail: zsz_cumt@163.com

Abstract. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, and let G be a graph. A $P_{\geq k}$ -factor of a graph G is a spanning subgraph F of G such that each component of F is a path of order at least k . A graph G is a $P_{\geq k}$ -factor uniform graph if G has a $P_{\geq k}$ -factor including e_1 and excluding e_2 for any two distinct edges e_1 and e_2 of G . In this article, we verify that a 3-edge-connected graph G is a $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform graph if its sun toughness $s(G) > 1$. Furthermore, we show that the two conditions on edge-connectivity and sun toughness are sharp.

Key words: graph; edge-connectivity; sun toughness; $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor; $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform graph.

1. INTRODUCTION

We deal with only finite, undirected and simple graphs, which have neither loops nor multiple edges. Let G be a graph. We denote by $V(G)$, $E(G)$ and $I(G)$ the vertex set, the edge set and the isolated vertex set of G , respectively, and write $i(G) = |I(G)|$. For any $v \in V(G)$, we use $d_G(v)$ to denote the degree of v in G . For any $X \subseteq V(G)$, $G[X]$ is a subgraph induced by X of G with $V(G[X]) = X$ and $E(G[X]) = \{uv \in E(G) : u, v \in X\}$, and write $G - X = G[V(G) \setminus X]$. For any $E' \subseteq E(G)$, we denote by $G - E'$ the subgraph obtained from G by deleting E' . A vertex subset X of G is independent if no two vertices in X are adjacent to each other. The number of connected components of G is denoted by $\omega(G)$. A path on n vertices is denoted by P_n and a complete graph on n vertices is denoted by K_n . Given two graphs G_1 and G_2 , we use $G_1 \vee G_2$ to denote the graph obtained from $G_1 \cup G_2$ by adding all the edges joining a vertex of G_1 to a vertex of G_2 .

Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. A spanning subgraph F of a graph G is called a $P_{\geq k}$ -factor of G if each component of F is a path of order at least k . A graph G is called a $P_{\geq k}$ -factor covered graph if for any $e \in E(G)$, G has a $P_{\geq k}$ -factor including e .

Wang [1] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a bipartite graph having a $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor. Kaneko [2] characterized a graph with a $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor, which is a generalization of Wang's result. Kano, Katona and Király [3] gave a simple proof of Kaneko's result. Zhang and Zhou [4] first defined the concept of a $P_{\geq k}$ -factor covered graph, and then showed a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be a $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graph. Zhou [5] obtained a new result on the existence of $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graphs. Gao, Wang and Chen [6] improved Zhou's previous result on $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graphs. Zhou [7], Zhou, Sun and Liu [8] gave some results on path factors with given properties in graphs. Zhou and Sun [9] showed a binding number condition for a graph to be $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform. Some other results on graph factors see [10–26].

A graph R is called a factor-critical graph if $R - \{v\}$ admits a perfect matching for every $v \in V(R)$. A graph H is defined as a sun if $H = K_1$, $H = K_2$ or H is the corona of a factor-critical graph R with order at least three, i.e., H is obtained from R by adding a new vertex $w = w(v)$ together with a new edge vw for any

$v \in V(R)$. A big sun means a sun with order at least 6. We use $\text{sun}(G)$ to denote the number of sun components of G . Kaneko [2] put forward a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of $P_{\geq 3}$ -factors in graphs. Zhang and Zhou [4] generalized this result and obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graphs.

THEOREM 1 ([2]). *A graph G has a $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor if and only if*

$$\text{sun}(G - X) \leq 2|X|$$

for all $X \subseteq V(G)$.

THEOREM 2. ([4]). *A connected graph G is a $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graph if and only if*

$$\text{sun}(G - X) \leq 2|X| - \varepsilon(X)$$

for any vertex subset X of G , where $\varepsilon(X)$ is defined as follows:

$$\varepsilon(X) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } X \text{ is not an independent set;} \\ 1, & \text{if } X \text{ is a nonempty independent set and } G - X \text{ admits} \\ & \text{a non-sun component;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We introduce a new parameter, i.e., sun toughness, which is denoted by $s(G)$. The sun toughness $s(G)$ of a graph G was defined as follows:

$$s(G) = \min \left\{ \frac{|X|}{\text{sun}(G - X)} : X \subseteq V(G), \text{sun}(G - X) \geq 2 \right\},$$

if G is not complete; otherwise, $s(G) = +\infty$.

A graph G is defined as a $P_{\geq k}$ -factor uniform graph if G admits a $P_{\geq k}$ -factor containing e_1 and excluding e_2 for any two distinct edges e_1 and e_2 of G , which is an extension of the concept of a $P_{\geq k}$ -factor covered graph. In this paper, we investigate the $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform graph and obtain a sun toughness condition for the existence of $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform graphs.

THEOREM 3. *Let G be a 3-edge-connected graph. Then G is a $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform graph if its sun toughness $s(G) > 1$.*

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Proof of Theorem 3. Since G is 3-edge-connected, we have $|V(G)| \geq 4$. If G is a complete graph, then it is easily seen that G is a $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform graph by $|V(G)| \geq 4$. Next, we consider that G is a non-complete graph.

Note that G is 3-edge-connected. Thus, we know that $G' = G - e$ is connected for all $e = xy \in E(G)$. In order to justify Theorem 3, we only need to verify that G' is $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered. On the contrary, suppose that G' is not $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered. Then it follows from Theorem 2 that there exists some vertex subset X of G' such that

$$\text{sun}(G' - X) \geq 2|X| - \varepsilon(X) + 1. \quad (1)$$

CLAIM 1. $|X| = 2$.

Proof. If $|X| = 0$, then it follows from (1) that

$$\text{sun}(G') \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

Since G is 3-edge-connected and $G' = G - e$, we have

$$\text{sun}(G') \leq \omega(G') = 1. \quad (3)$$

According to (2) and (3), we get

$$\text{sun}(G') = \omega(G') = 1.$$

Note that $|V(G')| = |V(G)| \geq 4$. Therefore, $G' \neq K_1$ and $G' \neq K_2$. Thus, G' is a big sun. Obviously, there are at least three vertices with degree 1 in G' , and so there is at least one vertex with degree 1 in $G = G' + e$. This contradicts that G is 3-edge-connected.

If $|X| = 1$, then by (1) and $\varepsilon(X) \leq 1$ we get $\text{sun}(G' - X) \geq 2$. Let C be any sun component of G' . If $C = K_1$, then for $x \in V(C)$ we have $d_{G'}(x) = 0$, and so $d_G(x) \leq 2$ by $|X| = 1$ and $G = G' + e$. This contradicts that G is 3-edge-connected. If $C = K_2$ or C is a big sun component of G' , then there exist at least two vertices u and v with $d_{G'}(u) = d_{G'}(v) = 1$. Combining this with $|X| = 1$ and $G = G' + e$, it is easily seen that $d_G(u) \leq 2$ or $d_G(v) \leq 2$. This contradicts that G is 3-edge-connected.

If $|X| \geq 3$, then by (1) and $\varepsilon(X) \leq 2$ we obtain $\text{sun}(G' - X) \geq 2|X| - \varepsilon(X) + 1 \geq 2|X| - 1 \geq 5$. Combining this with $\text{sun}(G' - X) \leq \text{sun}(G - X) + 2$, we have $\text{sun}(G - X) \geq 3$. Using the definition of $s(G)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s(G) &\leq \frac{|X|}{\text{sun}(G - X)} \leq \frac{|X|}{\text{sun}(G' - X) - 2} \\ &\leq \frac{|X|}{2|X| - 3} \leq \frac{3}{6 - 3} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts that $s(G) > 1$. Therefore, $|X| = 2$. Claim 1 is justified. \square

In light of (1), $\varepsilon(X) \leq |X|$ and Claim 1, we obtain

$$\text{sun}(G' - X) \geq 2|X| - \varepsilon(X) + 1 \geq |X| + 1 = 3. \quad (4)$$

It follows from (4) and $G' = G - e$ that

$$3 \leq \text{sun}(G' - X) = \text{sun}(G - e - X) \leq \text{sun}(G - X) + 2, \quad (5)$$

which implies

$$\text{sun}(G - X) \geq 1.$$

Next, we consider two cases in light of the value of $\text{sun}(G - X)$.

Case 1. $\text{sun}(G - X) \geq 2$.

Using Claim 1, $s(G) > 1$ and the concept of $s(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &< s(G) \leq \frac{|X|}{\text{sun}(G - X)} \\ &\leq \frac{|X|}{2} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Case 2. $\text{sun}(G - X) = 1$.

We denote by C_1 the sun component of $G - X$. From (5), we get that $\text{sun}(G' - X) = 3$. Combining this with $G' = G - e$, we know that C_1 is also a sun component of $G' - X$, and we denote by C_2 and C_3 the other two sun components of $G' - X$. Obviously, one vertex of e belongs to $V(C_2)$ and the other vertex of e belongs to $V(C_3)$. Note that $e = xy$, and let $x \in V(C_2)$ and $y \in V(C_3)$.

Subcase 2.1. $C_2 \neq K_1$ or $C_3 \neq K_1$.

Without loss of generality, let $C_2 \neq K_1$. Then $C_2 = K_2$ or C_2 is a big sun.

If $C_2 = K_2$, then $\text{sun}(G - X \cup \{x\}) = \text{sun}(G' - X \cup \{x\}) = 3$. In view of $s(G) > 1$, Claim 1 and the concept of $s(G)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < s(G) &\leq \frac{|X \cup \{x\}|}{\text{sun}(G - X \cup \{x\})} \\ &= \frac{|X| + 1}{3} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

If C_2 is a big sun. Then we write R_0 for the factor-critical graph in C_2 . Thus, $d_{C_2}(u) = 1$ for any $u \in V(C_2) \setminus V(R_0)$ and $|V(R_0)| = \frac{|V(C_2)|}{2} \geq 3$. Note that $y \in V(C_3)$. If $x \in V(R_0)$, then we have

$$\text{sun}(G - X \cup \{x\}) = \text{sun}(G' - X \cup \{x\}) = 3.$$

In terms of Claim 1, $s(G) > 1$ and the concept of $s(G)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < s(G) &\leq \frac{|X \cup \{x\}|}{\text{sun}(G - X \cup \{x\})} \\ &= \frac{1 + |X|}{3} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. If $x \in V(C_2) \setminus V(R_0)$, then $\exists x_0 \in V(R_0)$ such that $xx_0 \in E(C_2)$. Thus, we obtain

$$\text{sun}(G - X \cup (V(R_0) \setminus \{x_0\}) \cup \{x\}) = \text{sun}(G' - X \cup (V(R_0) \setminus \{x_0\}) \cup \{x\}) = |V(R_0)| + 2.$$

Combining this with Claim 1 and the concept of $s(G)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} s(G) &\leq \frac{|X \cup (V(R_0) \setminus \{x_0\}) \cup \{x\}|}{\text{sun}(G - X \cup (V(R_0) \setminus \{x_0\}) \cup \{x\})} \\ &= \frac{|X| + |V(R_0)|}{|V(R_0)| + 2} = \frac{2 + |V(R_0)|}{|V(R_0)| + 2} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts that $s(G) > 1$.

Subcase 2.2 $C_2 = K_1$ and $C_3 = K_1$.

Apparently, $C_2 \cup C_3 + e = K_2$, which is a sun component of $G - X$. Thus, $\text{sun}(G - X) = 2$. This contradicts that $\text{sun}(G - X) = 1$. Theorem 3 is testified. \square

3. REMARKS

Remark 1. We point out here that the sun toughness condition stated in Theorem 3 is sharp, that is, we cannot replace $s(G) > 1$ by $s(G) \geq 1$. Let $G = H \vee (K_2 \cup P_4)$, where $H = K_2$ and $P_4 = v_0v_1v_2v_3$. We easily calculate that $s(G) = \frac{|V(H) \cup \{v_1\}|}{\text{sun}(G - V(H) \cup \{v_1\})} = 1$ and G is 3-edge-connected. We write $e = v_1v_2$ and $G' = G - e$. Set $X = V(H) \subseteq V(G')$. Then $\varepsilon(X) = 2$ and $\text{sun}(G' - X) = 3 > 2 = 2|X| - \varepsilon(X)$. Using Theorem 2, G' is not $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered, and so G is not $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform.

Remark 2. Now, we show that the edge-connectivity in Theorem 3 is sharp, that is, we cannot replace 3-edge-connected by 2-edge-connected. Let $G = K_1 \vee (K_2 \cup K_4)$. We easily see that G is 2-edge-connected and $s(G) = \frac{3}{2} > 1$. Let $G' = G - e$ for $e \in E(K_2)$. We choose $X = V(K_1)$, and so $\varepsilon(X) = 1$. Thus, we have $\text{sun}(G' - X) = 2 > 1 = 2|X| - \varepsilon(X)$. In light of Theorem 2, G' is not $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered, and so G is not $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the referees and editors for reading this paper carefully and presenting some critical comments which leads to a number of improvements. This work is supported by 333 Project of Jiangsu Province and Six Big Talent Peak of Jiangsu Province, China (Grant No. JY-022).

REFERENCES

1. H. WANG, *Path factors of bipartite graphs*, Journal of Graph Theory, **18**, pp. 161–167, 1994.
2. A. KANEKO, *A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a path factor every component of which is a path of length at least two*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, **88**, pp. 195–218, 2003.
3. M. KANO, G. Y. KATONA, Z. KIRÁLY, *Packing paths of length at least two*, Discrete Mathematics, **283**, pp. 129–135, 2004.
4. H. ZHANG, S. ZHOU, *Characterizations for $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor and $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graphs*, Discrete Mathematics, **309**, pp. 2067–2076, 2009.
5. S. ZHOU, *Some results about component factors in graphs*, RAIRO-Operations Research, **53**, pp. 723–730, 2019.
6. W. GAO, W. WANG, Y. CHEN, *Tight bounds for the existence of path factors in network vulnerability parameter settings*, International Journal of Intelligent Systems, **36**, pp. 1133–1158, 2021.
7. S. ZHOU, *Some results on path-factor critical avoidable graphs*, Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory, DOI: 10.7151/dmgt.2364
8. S. ZHOU, Z. SUN, H. LIU, *On $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor deleted graphs*, Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica-English Series, **38**, pp. 178–186, 2022, DOI: 10.1007/s10255-022-1053-0
9. S. ZHOU, Z. SUN, *Binding number conditions for $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor and $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform graphs*, Discrete Mathematics, **343**, article 111715, 2020, DOI: 10.1016/j.disc.2019.111715
10. L. XIONG, *Characterization of forbidden subgraphs for the existence of even factors in a graph*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, **223**, pp. 135–139, 2017.
11. R. CYMER, M. KANO, *Generalizations of Marriage Theorem for degree factors*, Graphs and Combinatorics, **32**, pp. 2315–2322, 2016.
12. W. GAO, J. GUIRAO, H. WU, *Two tight independent set conditions for fractional (g, f, m) -deleted graphs systems*, Qualitative Theory of Dynamical Systems, **17**, pp. 231–243, 2018.
13. W. GAO, W. WANG, *Degree sum condition for fractional ID - k -factor-critical graphs*, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, **18**, pp. 751–758, 2017.
14. X. LV, *A degree condition for fractional (g, f, n) -critical covered graphs*, AIMS Mathematics, **5**, pp. 872–878, 2020.
15. S. ZHOU, *A result on fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphs*, Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica-English Series, **37**, pp. 657–664, 2021.
16. S. ZHOU, T. ZHANG, Z. XU, *Subgraphs with orthogonal factorizations in graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, **286**, pp. 29–34, 2020.
17. Z. SUN, S. ZHOU, *A generalization of orthogonal factorizations in digraphs*, Information Processing Letters, **132**, pp. 49–54, 2018.
18. S. ZHOU, *Remarks on path factors in graphs*, RAIRO-Operations Research, **54**, pp. 1827–1834, 2020.
19. S. ZHOU, Q. BIAN, Q. PAN, *Path factors in subgraphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, DOI: 10.1016/j.dam.2021.04.012
20. S. WANG, W. ZHANG, *Research on fractional critical covered graphs*, Problems of Information Transmission, **56**, pp. 270–277, 2020.
21. S. WANG, W. ZHANG, *On k -orthogonal factorizations in networks*, RAIRO-Operations Research, **55**, pp. 969–977, 2021.
22. S. ZHOU, *Binding numbers and restricted fractional (g, f) -factors in graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, **305**, pp. 350–356, 2021.
23. S. ZHOU, H. LIU, Y. XU, *A note on fractional ID - $[a, b]$ -factor-critical covered graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, DOI: 10.1016/j.dam.2021.03.004

24. S. ZHOU, Q. BIAN, Z. SUN, *Two sufficient conditions for component factors in graphs*, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, DOI: 10.7151/dmgt.2401
25. S. ZHOU, *A neighborhood union condition for fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphs*, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, DOI: 10.1016/j.dam.2021.05.022
26. S. ZHOU, J. XU, L. XU, *Component factors and binding number conditions in graphs*, *AIMS Mathematics*, **6**, pp. 12460–12470, 2021.

Received January 12, 2020