



## HOMOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF BANACH MODULES RELATED TO LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS

Mina GHASEMI, Mohammad Javad MEHDIPOUR

Shiraz University of Technology, Department of Mathematics,  
P. O. Box 71555-313, Shiraz, Iran

Corresponding author: Mohammad Javad MEHDIPOUR: mehdipour@sutech.ac.ir

**Abstract.** In this paper, we investigate some homological properties of  $M(G)_0^*$  and its dual  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$  as Banach left  $L^1(G)$ -module and characterize homological properties such as projectivity, injectivity and flatness for them in terms of  $G$ .

**Key words:** locally compact group, Banach module, projectivity, injectivity, flatness.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper,  $G$  denotes a locally compact group with a fixed left Haar measure  $m$  on  $G$ . Let  $L^1(G)$  denote the group algebra of  $G$  as defined in [6] endowed with the convolution product “ $*$ ” and the norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$ . Let also  $L^\infty(G)$  denote the usual Lebesgue space as defined in [6] equipped with the essential supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . We denote by  $L_0^\infty(G)$  the subspace of  $L^\infty(G)$  consisting of all functions  $f \in L^\infty(G)$  that for every positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there is a compact subset  $K$  of  $G$  for which  $\|f\chi_{G \setminus K}\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ , where  $\chi_{G \setminus K}$  denotes the characteristic function of  $G \setminus K$  on  $G$ . For an extensive study of  $L_0^\infty(G)$  see [7].

Let  $M(G)$  be the measure algebra of  $G$  as defined in [6]. It is well-known that  $M(G)$  is the dual space of  $C_0(G)$ , the space of all continuous functions on  $G$  vanishing at infinity. The space  $M(G)$  equipped with the convolution product “ $*$ ” and the total norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is a Banach algebra with the identity element  $\delta_e$ , the Dirac measure at the identity element  $e$  of  $G$ . Let  $M_a(G)$ ,  $M_d(G)$  and  $M_s(G)$  be the space of absolutely continuous, purely discontinuous and singular measures in  $M(G)$ , respectively. Then  $M(G)$  is the direct sum of them. We denote by  $M(G)_0^*$  the subspace of  $M(G)^*$  consisting of all functionals  $\lambda \in M(G)^*$  with the property that for every positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there exists a compact subset  $K$  of  $G$  for which  $|\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle| < \varepsilon$ , where  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,  $|\mu|(K) = 0$  and  $\|\mu\| = 1$ . Similar, we can define the spaces  $M_d(G)_0^*$  and  $M_s(G)_0^*$ . A linear functional  $F \in M(G)^{**}$  is said to have *compact carrier* if there exists a compact subset  $K \subseteq G$  satisfying

$$\langle F, \lambda \rangle = \langle F, \chi_K \lambda \rangle$$

for all  $\lambda \in M(G)^*$ . The closure of all linear functionals with compact carrier is denoted by  $M_G$ . One can prove that the restriction map is an isometric isomorphism from  $M_G$  onto  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$  and for every  $\mu \in M(G)$

$$\mu = \lim_{K \in \mathcal{K}, K \nearrow G} \mu_K,$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the set of all compact subsets of  $G$  ordered by upward inclusion and

$$\mu_K(E) = \mu(K \cap E)$$

for all Borel subsets of  $G$ . This shows that  $M(G)$  can be isometrically embedded into  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$ . Similarly, the concept of compact carrier for  $\lambda \in (M(G)_0^*)^*$  is defined. Then functionals in  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$  with compact carrier are dense in  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$ ; see [8].

For every  $\phi \in L^1(G)$  and  $\lambda \in M(G)_0^*$ , the functions  $\phi \cdot \lambda$  and  $\lambda \cdot \phi$  in  $M(G)_0^*$  are defined by

$$\langle \lambda \cdot \phi, \mu \rangle = \langle \lambda, \phi * \mu \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \phi \cdot \lambda, \mu \rangle = \langle \lambda, \mu * \phi \rangle$$

for all  $\mu \in M(G)$ . It is easy to see that

$$M(G)_0^* = M_d(G)_0^* \oplus_\infty L_0^\infty(G) \oplus_\infty M_s(G)_0^*.$$

Hence for every  $\lambda \in M(G)_0^*$ , we have

$$\lambda = \lambda_d + \lambda_0 + \lambda_s$$

for some  $\lambda_d \in M_d(G)_0^*$ ,  $\lambda_0 \in L_0^\infty(G)$  and  $\lambda_s \in M_s(G)_0^*$ . Note that if  $\mu \in M(G)$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ , then  $\mu * \phi \in L^1(G)$  and so

$$\langle \phi \cdot (\lambda_d + \lambda_s), \mu \rangle = \langle \lambda_d + \lambda_s, \mu * \phi \rangle = 0.$$

Thus  $\phi \cdot (\lambda_d + \lambda_s) = 0$  which implies that

$$\phi \cdot \lambda = \phi \cdot \lambda_0 = \lambda_0 * \tilde{\phi} \in C_0(G),$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \phi(x^{-1})$  for all  $x \in G$ , see [7]. Similarly,

$$\lambda \cdot \phi = \lambda_0 \cdot \phi = \frac{1}{\Delta} \tilde{\phi} * \lambda_0 \in C_0(G),$$

where  $\Delta$  denotes the modular function of  $G$ . So we can regard  $M(G)_0^*$  as a Banach  $L^1(G)$ -bimodule with the module actions defined by “ $\cdot$ ”. We also can prove that  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$  is the dual bimodule of the Banach  $L^1(G)$ -bimodule  $M(G)_0^*$  with the module operations defined by

$$\langle F \cdot \phi, \lambda \rangle = \langle F, \phi \cdot \lambda \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \phi \cdot F, \lambda \rangle = \langle F, \lambda \cdot \phi \rangle$$

for all  $F \in (M(G)_0^*)^*$ ,  $\lambda \in M(G)_0^*$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ .

For every  $H \in (M(G)_0^*)^*$  and  $\lambda \in M(G)_0^*$ , we define the bounded linear functional  $H\lambda \in M(G)^*$  by

$$\langle H\lambda, \mu \rangle = \langle H, \lambda\mu \rangle,$$

in which

$$\langle \lambda\mu, \nu \rangle = \langle \lambda, \mu * \nu \rangle$$

for all  $\mu, \nu \in M(G)$ . It is well-known from [8] that  $H\lambda \in M(G)_0^*$ . That is,  $M(G)_0^*$  is a left introverted subspace of  $M(G)^*$ . So the dual space  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$  of  $M(G)_0^*$  is a Banach algebra with the first Arens product “ $\diamond$ ” defined by

$$\langle F \diamond H, \lambda \rangle = \langle F, H\lambda \rangle,$$

for all  $F, H \in (M(G)_0^*)^*$  and  $\lambda \in M(G)_0^*$ . Note that

$$F \cdot \phi = F \diamond \phi \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \cdot F = \phi \diamond F$$

for all  $F \in (M(G)_0^*)^*$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ . For the details, we refer the readers to [8]. For every  $F \in (M(G)_0^*)^*$ , there exist  $F_d \in (M_d(G)_0^*)^*$ ,  $F_0 \in L_0^\infty(G)^*$  and  $F_s \in (M_s(G)_0^*)^*$  such that

$$F = F_d + F_0 + F_s.$$

Then for every  $\phi \in L^1(G)$  we have  $F \diamond \phi = F_0 \diamond \phi$  and  $\phi \diamond F = \phi \diamond F_0$ . Since  $L^1(G)$  is an ideal in  $L_0^\infty(G)^*$ , it follows that  $L^1(G)$  is an ideal in  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Banach algebra. We denote the categories of Banach left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules, of Banach right  $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules and of Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodules, by  $\mathfrak{A}$ -MOD, by MOD- $\mathfrak{A}$  and by  $\mathfrak{A}$ -MOD- $\mathfrak{A}$ , respectively. For  $E, F \in \mathfrak{A}$ -MOD, we reserve the symbol  ${}_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathcal{B}(E, F)$  for the set of all left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module homomorphisms in  $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$ , the set of all bounded operators from  $E$  into  $F$ . Note that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, E) \in \mathfrak{A}$ -MOD- $\mathfrak{A}$  with the module operations

$$(a \cdot T)(b) = T(ba) \quad \text{and} \quad (T \cdot a)(b) = T(ab)$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, E)$ . A left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module homomorphism  $T \in {}_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathcal{B}(E, F)$  is called *admissible* if  $T(E)$  is closed and  $\ker T$  and  $T(E)$  are complemented subspaces of  $E$  and  $F$ , respectively. Also,  $T$  is called a *retraction* if it has a right inverse in  ${}_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathcal{B}(F, E)$ .

Let us recall that an element  $P \in \mathfrak{A}$ -MOD is called *projective*, if for every  $E, F \in \mathfrak{A}$ -MOD, each admissible epimorphism  $\theta \in {}_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathcal{B}(E, F)$  and each  $\sigma \in {}_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathcal{B}(P, F)$ , there exists  $\tau \in {}_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathcal{B}(P, E)$  such that

$$\theta \circ \tau = \sigma.$$

The set of all projective Banach left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules is denoted by  $\mathfrak{A}$ -PMOD. One can define the concept of projectivity for Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodules. In the case where  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a projective  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule, it is called *biprojective*. Let also recall that an element  $I \in \mathfrak{A}$ -MOD is called *injective*, if for each  $E, F \in \mathfrak{A}$ -MOD, every admissible monomorphism  $\theta \in {}_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathcal{B}(E, F)$  and every  $\sigma \in {}_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathcal{B}(E, I)$ , there exists  $\tau \in {}_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathcal{B}(F, I)$  such that  $\tau \circ \theta = \sigma$ . The set of all injective Banach left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules is denoted by  $\mathfrak{A}$ -IMOD. Similarly, the set of all injective Banach right  $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules is denoted by IMOD- $\mathfrak{A}$ . An element  $E \in \mathfrak{A}$ -MOD is called *flat*, if  $E^* \in \text{IMOD-}\mathfrak{A}$ . The set of all flat Banach left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules is denoted by  $\mathfrak{A}$ -FMOD. A Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called *biflat* if it is a flat Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule.

Homological properties of Banach modules have been studied by several authors [1, 3–5, 9]. For example, Dales and Polyakov [3] studied homological properties of modules over group algebras. They gave necessary and sufficient conditions for some Banach left  $L^1(G)$ -modules to have homological properties such as projectivity, injectivity and flatness.

In this paper, we continue these investigations for Banach left  $L^1(G)$ -modules  $M(G)_0^*$  and  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$  introduced in [8]. We characterize the locally compact groups such that these Banach modules are, respectively, (bi)projective, injective and (bi)flat.

## 2. PROJECTIVITY OF $M(G)_0^*$ AND $(M(G)_0^*)^*$

We commence this section with the following result.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $M(G)_0^* \in L^1(G)$ -PMOD if and only if  $G$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $E, F \in L^1(G)$ -MOD,  $\theta \in {}_{L^1(G)}\mathcal{B}(E, F)$  be an admissible epimorphism and  $\sigma \in {}_{L^1(G)}\mathcal{B}(L_0^\infty(G), F)$ .

Let  $\pi : M(G)_0^* \rightarrow L_0^\infty(G)$  be the canonical projection map. If  $M(G)_0^* \in L^1(G)$ -PMOD, then there exists  $\tau \in {}_{L^1(G)}\mathcal{B}(M(G)_0^*, E)$  such that  $\theta \circ \tau = \sigma \circ \pi$ . It follows that

$$\theta \circ \tau \circ i = \sigma,$$

where  $i : L_0^\infty(G) \rightarrow M(G)_0^*$  is the canonical injection map. Therefore,  $L_0^\infty(G) \in L^1(G)$ -PMOD. A similar argument as given in Theorem 3.1 in [3] shows that  $G$  is compact. Thus,  $L^\infty(G) \in L^1(G)$ -PMOD. Invoke Theorem 3.3 in [3] to conclude that  $G$  is finite. The proof will be complete if we only note that  $M(G)_0^* = L^\infty(G)$  is a projective Banach left  $L^1(G)$ -module, when  $G$  is finite.  $\square$

We now investigate projectivity of  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$  as Banach left  $L^1(G)$ -module.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $(M(G)_0^*)^* \in L^1(G)$ -PMOD.
- (b)  $M(G) \in L^1(G)$ -PMOD.
- (c)  $G$  is discrete.

*Proof.* We define the map  $T : M(G) \rightarrow (M(G)_0^*)^*$  by  $\langle T(\mu), \lambda \rangle = \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle$  for all  $\mu \in M(G)$  and  $\lambda \in M(G)_0^*$ . Then for every  $f \in C_0(G)$  and  $\mu \in M(G)$  we have

$$\langle R \circ T(\mu), f \rangle = \langle T(\mu), f \rangle = \langle f, \mu \rangle,$$

where  $R : (M(G)_0^*)^* \rightarrow M(G)$  is the restriction map to  $C_0(G)$ . This shows that  $R$  is a retraction. So if  $(M(G)_0^*)^* \in L^1(G)$ -PMOD, then  $M(G) \in L^1(G)$ -PMOD. Hence (a) implies (b). Let  $F \in (M(G)_0^*)^*$  and  $\lambda \in M_d(G)_0^* \oplus_\infty M_s(G)_0^*$ . Then for every  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ , we have  $\lambda \cdot \phi = 0$  and so

$$\langle F \cdot \lambda, \phi \rangle = \langle F, \lambda \cdot \phi \rangle = 0.$$

If  $G$  is discrete, then  $\delta_e \in L^1(G)$  and  $L_0^\infty(G)^* = M(G)$ . So

$$\langle F, \lambda \rangle = \langle \delta_e \cdot F, \lambda \rangle = \langle \delta_e, F \cdot \lambda \rangle = \langle F \cdot \lambda, \delta_e \rangle = 0.$$

It follows that  $(M(G)_0^*)^* = M(G)$ . Hence

$$(M(G)_0^*)^* = L^1(G) = \ell^1(G)$$

and  $\ell^1(G)$  is a unital Banach algebra. Therefore,  $(M(G)_0^*)^* \in L^1(G)$ -PMOD. That is, (c) implies (a). By Theorem 2.6 in [3], (b) implies (c).  $\square$

### 3. INJECTIVITY OF $M(G)_0^*$ AND $(M(G)_0^*)^*$

An element  $E \in \mathfrak{A}$ -MOD is called *faithful* if  $\mathfrak{A} \cdot x \neq 0$  for all nonzero elements  $x \in E$ . Let us remark from Proposition 1.7 in [3] that if  $E \in \mathfrak{A}$ -mod is faithful, then  $E \in \mathfrak{A}$ -IMOD if and only if there exists a left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module homomorphism  $\rho : \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, E) \rightarrow E$  such that  $\rho \circ \Pi_E = id_E$ , where  $id_E$  is identity map on  $E$  and  $\Pi_E : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, E)$  is defined by  $\Pi_E(x)(a) = a \cdot x$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $M(G)_0^* \in L^1(G)$ -IMOD if and only if  $G$  is compact.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $G$  is compact. Then  $L^1(G)$  is amenable. So  $M(G)_0^* \in L^1(G)$ -IMOD; see for example Proposition 1.11 in [3].

For the converse, suppose that  $G$  is not compact. Then there exist a subset  $S$  and an open,  $\sigma$ -compact and non-compact subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  of  $G$  such that

$$G = \bigcup_{s \in S} s\mathfrak{H} = \bigcup_{s \in S} \mathfrak{H}s^{-1}.$$

Let  $m_{\mathfrak{H}}$  be the restriction of  $m$  to the family of Borel subsets of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{H}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and non-compact, we can choose sequences  $(K_i)$  and  $(C_i)$  of compact subsets of  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that  $K_i \subsetneq \text{int}K_{i+1}$ ,  $m_{\mathfrak{H}}(C_i) > 0$  and  $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$  whenever  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \neq j$ . We define  $Q_1 : \ell^\infty \rightarrow M(\mathfrak{H})^*$  by

$$Q_1((\alpha_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \chi_{C_i}$$

and  $Q_2 : M(\mathfrak{H})^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^1(\mathfrak{H}), C_0(\mathfrak{H}))$  by

$$Q_2(\lambda)(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pi_{M(\mathfrak{H})_0^*}(\chi_{K_i} \lambda)(\chi_{L_i} \phi),$$

where  $K_0 = \emptyset$ ,  $L_i = K_i \setminus K_{i-1}$  and

$$\langle \chi_{K_i} \lambda, \mu \rangle = \langle \lambda, \mu_{K_i} \rangle$$

for all  $\mu \in M(G)$ . Let  $\iota^*$  be the adjoint of the inclusion map  $\iota : L^1(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ . Take the nonzero element  $\lambda \in M(G)_0^*$ . Then for every  $\phi \in L^1(G)$  we have

$$\langle \iota^*(\lambda), \phi \rangle = \langle \lambda, \iota(\phi) \rangle = \langle \lambda, \phi \rangle.$$

This together with the fact that  $L^\infty(G) \in L^1(G)\text{-MOD}$  is faithful shows that  $M(G)_0^* \in L^1(G)\text{-MOD}$  is faithful. So, if  $M(G)_0^* \in L^1(G)\text{-IMOD}$ , then there exists a left  $L^1(G)$ -module homomorphism

$$\rho : \mathcal{B}(L^1(G), M(G)_0^*) \rightarrow M(G)_0^*$$

such that  $\rho \circ \Pi_{M(G)_0^*} = id_{M(G)_0^*}$ . We now define the map  $Q_3 : \mathcal{B}(L^1(G), C_0(G)) \rightarrow c_0$  by

$$Q_3(T) = \left( \frac{1}{m_{\mathfrak{H}}(C_i)} \int_{C_i} R \circ \rho(T) dm_{\mathfrak{H}} \right)_i,$$

where  $R$  is the natural map from  $M(G)_0^*$  to  $L^\infty(\mathfrak{H})$ . Let

$$Q : \mathcal{B}(L^1(\mathfrak{H}), C_0(\mathfrak{H})) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^1(G), C_0(G))$$

be the left  $L^1(\mathfrak{H})$ -module homomorphism used in the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [3]. We note that if  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , then

$$Q_2(\chi_K) - \Pi_{M(\mathfrak{H})_0^*}(\chi_K)$$

has compact support  $K$ ; i.e, for every  $\phi \in L^1(G)$  with  $\phi|_K = 0$ , we have

$$(Q_2(\chi_K) - \Pi_{M(\mathfrak{H})_0^*}(\chi_K))(\phi) = 0.$$

By the argument used in the proof of Lemma 3.5 in [3], it can be shown that

$$R \circ \rho \circ Q(Q_2(\chi_K)) = R \circ \rho \circ Q(\Pi_{M(\mathfrak{H})_0^*}(\chi_K)).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} R \circ \rho(Q(Q_2(\chi_K))) &= R \circ \rho \circ Q(\Pi_{M(\mathfrak{H})_0^*}(\chi_K)) \\ &= R \circ \rho \circ \Pi_{M(G)_0^*}(I(\chi_K)) \\ &= R \circ I(\chi_K) \\ &= \chi_K, \end{aligned}$$

where  $I : L^\infty(\mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow L^\infty(G)$  is the natural embedding. Hence

$$Q_3(Q(Q_2(\chi_K))) = \left( \frac{m_{\mathfrak{H}}(C_i \cap K)}{m_{\mathfrak{H}}(C_i)} \right)_i.$$

Set

$$Q_4 := Q_3 \circ Q \circ Q_2 \circ Q_1.$$

For  $(\alpha_i)_i \in c_0$ , we have

$$Q_4((\alpha_i)) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j Q_3(Q(Q_2(\chi_{C_j}))) = (\alpha_i)_i.$$

Hence  $Q_4$  is a projection from  $\ell^\infty$  onto  $c_0$ , which contradicts Theorem 0.1.16 in [5]. Therefore,  $G$  is compact, as claimed.  $\square$

Let us recall that a locally compact group  $G$  is called *amenable* if there is a left invariant mean on  $L^\infty(G)$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $M(G)_0^* \in L^1(G)$ -FMOD if and only if  $G$  is amenable.
- (ii)  $(M(G)_0^*)^* \in L^1(G)$ -FMOD.

*Proof.* It is shown in [3] that  $L^1(G)$  is always a flat Banach left  $L^1(G)$ -module and  $C_0(G) \in L^1(G)$ -FMOD if and only if  $G$  is amenable. Hence the theorem will be proved if we recall from [9] that  $E \in L^1(G)$ -FMOD if and only if  $L^1(G) \cdot E \in L^1(G)$ -FMOD.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 we present the following result.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $(M(G)_0^*)^* \in \text{IMOD-}L^1(G)$  if and only if  $G$  is amenable.*

In the following, let us remark from Theorem 2.9.65 in [2] that a Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is amenable if and only if  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a bounded approximate identity and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is biflat.

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $M(G)_0^*$  is biprojective if and only if  $G$  is compact.
- (ii)  $M(G)_0^*$  is always biflat.
- (iii) If  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$  is either biprojective or biflat, then  $G$  is amenable.

*Proof.* First note that  $M(G)^* = C_0(G)^{**}$  is a Banach algebra with respect to the first Arens product. For every  $\lambda \in M(G)^*$ , the involution  $\lambda$  is defined by

$$\langle \lambda^*, \nu \rangle = \overline{\langle \lambda, \bar{\nu} \rangle},$$

where  $\bar{\nu}(E) = \overline{\nu(E)}$ . It is easy to see that  $M(G)_0^*$  is closed with respect to the norm-topology of  $M(G)_0^*$  and the involution “\*”. Hence  $M(G)_0^*$  is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra.

It is well-known from [4] that a  $C^*$ -algebra of a locally compact group is biprojective if and only if  $G$  is compact. Hence (i) holds. For (ii), it suffices to note that  $M(G)_0^*$  is amenable; see Example 2.3.4 in [10]. Finally, if  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$  is biflat, then  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$  is amenable. Since  $L^1(G)$  is an ideal in  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$ , it follows that  $L^1(G)$  is amenable and so  $G$  is amenable. To complete the proof, we recall that every biprojective module is biflat.  $\square$

Let  $E \in L^1(G)$ -MOD. A functional  $\Lambda \in E^*$  is called *augmentation invariant* if every  $x \in E$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ , we have

$$\langle \Lambda, \phi \cdot x \rangle = \varphi_G(\phi) \langle \Lambda, x \rangle,$$

where  $\varphi_G : M(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by  $\varphi_G(\mu) = \mu(G)$ . In the case where  $\Lambda$  is a non-zero augmentation invariant functional in  $E^*$ , then  $E$  is said to be *augmentation invariant*.

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $M(G)_0^*$  is augmentation invariant if and only if  $G$  is compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $M(G)_0^*$  be augmentation invariant. Then there exists a non-zero functional  $\Lambda : M(G)_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\langle \Lambda, \phi \cdot \lambda \rangle = \varphi_G(\phi) \langle \Lambda, \lambda \rangle$$

for every  $\phi \in L^1(G)$  and  $\lambda \in M(G)_0^*$ . Choose an element  $\lambda \in M(G)_0^*$  with  $\langle \Lambda, \lambda \rangle \neq 0$  and a positive function with norm one in  $L^1(G)$ , say  $\phi$ . Then  $\phi \cdot \lambda \in C_0(G)$ . If  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  is the restriction map  $\Lambda$  to  $C_0(G)$ , then

$$\langle \tilde{\Lambda}, \phi \cdot \lambda \rangle = \langle \Lambda, \phi \cdot \lambda \rangle = \varphi_G(\phi) \langle \Lambda, \lambda \rangle = \langle \Lambda, \lambda \rangle.$$

Hence  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  is non-zero on  $C_0(G)$ . This shows that  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  is an augmentation invariant on  $C_0(G)$ . Form 17.19 (c) in [6] we see that  $G$  is compact. For the converse, we only need to note that if  $\iota : L^1(G) \rightarrow M(G)$  is the inclusion map and  $\Lambda \in L^\infty(G)^*$  is a augmentation invariant, then  $\iota^{**}(\Lambda)$  is a augmentation invariant for  $M(G)_0^*$ .  $\square$

We finish the paper with the following result.

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** *The Banach left  $L^1(G)$ -module  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$  is always augmentation invariant.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the set of all compact subsets of  $G$  ordered by upward inclusion. Then the bounded net  $(\chi_{K_\alpha})$  has a weak\* cluster point in  $(M(G)_0^*)^{**}$ , say  $\Lambda$ . Let  $F$  be a linear functional in  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$  with compact carrier  $K_{\alpha_0} \in \mathcal{K}$ . Then for every  $\phi \in L^1(G)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Lambda, \phi \cdot F \rangle &= \lim_\alpha \langle \chi_{K_\alpha}, \phi \cdot F \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle F, \chi_{K_\alpha} \cdot \phi \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle F, (\chi_{K_\alpha} \cdot \phi) \chi_{K_{\alpha_0}} \rangle \\ &= \varphi_G(\phi) \lim_\alpha \langle F, \chi_{K_\alpha} \rangle = \varphi_G(\phi) \langle \Lambda, F \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From this and Proposition 2.24 in [8] we see that  $\Lambda$  is an augmentation invariant functional for  $(M(G)_0^*)^*$ .  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the referee of the paper for invaluable comments.

## REFERENCES

1. F. ABTAHI, A. GHAFARPANAH, A. REJALI, *Projectivity of  $L^p(G, \omega)$  as a  $L^1(G)$ -module*, Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, Series A: Mathematics, Physics, Technical Sciences, Information Science, **16**, 2, pp. 130-137, 2015.
2. H.G. DALES, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, London Math. Soc. Monographs 24, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 2000.
3. H.G. DALES, M.E. POLYAKOV, *Homological properties of modules over group algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **89**, pp. 390–426, 2004.
4. A.Ya. HELEMSKII, *The homology of Banach and topological algebras*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989.
5. A.Ya. HELEMSKII, *Banach and locally convex algebras*, Clarendon, Oxford, 1993.
6. E. HEWITT, K. ROSS, *Abstract harmonic analysis I*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1970.
7. A.T. LAU, J. PYM, *Concerning the second dual of the group algebra of a locally compact group*, J. London Math. Soc., **41**, pp. 445–460, 1990.
8. D. MALEKZADEH VARNOSFADERANI, *Derivations, multipliers and topological centers of certain Banach algebras related to locally compact groups*, PhD thesis, The University of Manitoba, 2017.
9. P. RAMSDEN, *Homological properties of semigroup algebras*, PhD thesis, The University of Leeds, 2008.
10. V. RUNDE, *Lectures on amenability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1774, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

Received January 24, 2020