

A SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR ALL FRACTIONAL $[a, b]$ -FACTORS IN GRAPHS

Jiashang JIANG

Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, School of Science, Mengxi Road 2, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212003, P. R. China
Corresponding author: Jiashang JIANG, E-mail: jjiashangjust@163.com, jjiashang@163.com

Abstract. Let G a graph, and let $g(x)$ and $f(x)$ be two nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ with $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for every $x \in V(G)$. We say that G has all fractional (g, f) -factors if G has a fractional r -factor for any $r: V(G) \rightarrow N^+$ with $g(x) \leq r(x) \leq f(x)$ for every $x \in V(G)$. If $g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b$ for each $x \in V(G)$, then all fractional (g, f) -factors are called all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors. In this paper, we verify that $G - I$ admits all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors for any independent set I of G if

$$\alpha(G) \leq \frac{4a(\delta(G) - b + 1)}{(b + 1)^2 + 4a}.$$

Furthermore, it is shown that the result is sharp.

Key words: graph, minimum degree, independence number, fractional factor, all fractional factors.

1. INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered in this paper will be finite undirected simple graphs. For a graph G , we denote the vertex set of G by $V(G)$ and the edge set of G by $E(G)$. For every $x \in V(G)$, we use $d_G(x)$ to denote the degree of x in G , and write $\delta(G) = \min\{d_G(x) : x \in V(G)\}$. For every $x \in V(G)$, we denote by $N_G(x)$ the set of vertices of G adjacent to x , and write $N_G[x] = N_G(x) \cup \{x\}$. For any vertex subset S of G , $G[S]$ denotes the subgraph of G induced by S , and we write $G - S = G[V(G) \setminus S]$ and $N_G(S) = \bigcup_{x \in S} N_G(x)$. For two disjoint vertex subsets S and T of G , we use $e_G(S, T)$ to denote the number of edges that join a vertex in S and a vertex in T . We denote by $\alpha(G)$ the independence number of G . Let r be a real number. Recall that $\lfloor r \rfloor$ is the greatest integer with $\lfloor r \rfloor \leq r$.

Let $g(x)$ and $f(x)$ be two nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ with $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for every $x \in V(G)$. A spanning subgraph F of G is called a (g, f) -factor of G if F satisfies $g(x) \leq d_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for every $x \in V(F)$. If $g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b$ for any $x \in V(F)$, then a (g, f) -factor is called an $[a, b]$ -factor. A $[k, k]$ -factor is simply called a k -factor.

If $g(x) \leq \sum_{e \ni x} h(e) \leq f(x)$ holds for every $x \in V(G)$, then we call graph F with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set E_h a fractional (g, f) -factor of G with indicator function h , where $h: E(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function and $E_h = \{e : e \in E(G), h(e) > 0\}$. If $g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b$ for every $x \in V(G)$, then a fractional (g, f) -factor is said to be a fractional $[a, b]$ -factor. A fractional $[a, b]$ -factor is called a fractional k -factor if $a = b = k$. If G has a fractional r -factor for any $r: V(G) \rightarrow N^+$ with $g(x) \leq r(x) \leq f(x)$ for every $x \in V(G)$, then we say that G has all fractional (g, f) -factors. If $g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b$ for each $x \in V(G)$, then all fractional (g, f) -factors are called all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors.

Many authors studied factors [1–6], fractional factors [7–11] and all fractional factors [12, 13] of graphs. Cai and Liu [10] showed a minimum degree and independence number condition for a graph to have a fractional k -factor.

THEOREM 1 [10]. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 1$, and let G be a graph. If*

$$\alpha(G) \leq \frac{4k(\delta(G) - k)}{(k + 1)^2},$$

then G admits a fractional k -factor.

Zhou, Xu and Sun [11] improved Theorem 1, and obtained the following result.

THEOREM 2 [11]. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 1$, and let G be a graph. If*

$$\alpha(G) \leq \frac{4k(\delta(G) - k + 1)}{k^2 + 6k + 1},$$

then $G - I$ admits a fractional k -factor for any independent set I of G .

Lu [12] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for graphs to have all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors, and obtained a neighborhood union condition for graphs to have all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors.

THEOREM 3 [12]. *Let G be a graph and $a \leq b$ be two positive integers. Then G has all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors if and only if*

$$\theta_G(S, T) = a|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - b|T| \geq 0$$

for any subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq b - 1\}$.

THEOREM 4 [12]. *Let a and b be two positive integers with $a \leq b$, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \geq \frac{2(a+b)(a+b-1)}{a}$, $\delta(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)^2 + 4b}{4a}$. If*

$$N_G(x) \cup N_G(y) \geq \frac{bn}{a+b}$$

for any two nonadjacent vertices x and y in G , then G admits all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors.

Zhou and Sun [13] posed a new neighborhood union condition for the existence of all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors in graphs.

THEOREM 5 [13]. *Let a, b, r be three integers with $1 \leq a \leq b$ and $r \geq 2$. Let G be a graph of order n with $n > \frac{(a+b)(r(a+b)-2)}{a}$. If*

$$\delta(G) \geq \frac{(r-1)b^2}{a}$$

and

$$|N_G(x_1) \cup N_G(x_2) \cup \cdots \cup N_G(x_r)| \geq \frac{bn}{a+b}$$

for any independent subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$ in G , then G admits all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors.

In this paper, we proceed to study the existence of all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors in graphs. Motivated by Theorems 1 and 2, we obtain a sufficient condition for the existence of all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors in vertices deleted graphs, which is shown in the following.

THEOREM 6. *Let a and b be two integers with $1 \leq a \leq b$, and let G be a graph. If*

$$\alpha(G) \leq \frac{4a(\delta(G) - b + 1)}{(b + 1)^2 + 4a},$$

then $G - I$ has all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors for any independent set I of G .

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 6

Proof of Theorem 6. We write $H = G - I$. Suppose that the result does not hold. By Theorem 3, there exists a vertex subset S of H satisfying

$$\theta_H(S, T) = a|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) - b|T| < 0, \quad (1)$$

where $T = \{x : x \in V(H) \setminus S, d_{H-S}(x) \leq b - 1\}$.

Obviously, $T \neq \emptyset$ by (1). Let $h = \min\{d_{H-S}(x) : x \in T\}$. It follows from the definition of T that $0 \leq h \leq b - 1$. We choose $x_1 \in T$ with $d_{H-S}(x_1) = h$. Thus, we have

$$\delta(H) \leq d_H(x_1) \leq d_{H-S}(x_1) + |S| = h + |S|. \quad (2)$$

Note that $H = G - I$. Hence, we obtain $\delta(H) \geq \delta(G) - |I|$. Combining this with (2), we get

$$|S| \geq \delta(G) - h - |I|. \quad (3)$$

Now we choose $y_1 \in T$ such that y_1 is the vertex with the least degree in $G[T]$. We write $N_1 = N_G[y_1] \cap T$ and $T_1 = T$. For $i \geq 2$, we write $T_i = T - \bigcup_{1 \leq j < i} N_j$ if $T - \bigcup_{1 \leq j < i} N_j \neq \emptyset$. Then choose $y_i \in T_i$ such that y_i is the vertex with the least degree in $G[T_i]$, and write $N_i = N_G[y_i] \cap T_i$. We continue these procedures until we reach the situation in which $T_i = \emptyset$ for some i , say for $i = r + 1$. Then it follows from the above definition that $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\}$ is an independent set of G . Note that $T \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, we have $r \geq 1$.

We write $|N_i| = n_i$. According to the definition of N_i , the following properties hold.

$$\alpha(G[T]) \geq r \quad (4)$$

and

$$|T| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i. \quad (5)$$

It follows from the choice of y_i that all vertices in N_i have degree at least $n_i - 1$ in $G[T_i]$. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \left(\sum_{x \in N_i} d_{G[T_i]}(x) \right) \geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i(n_i - 1). \quad (6)$$

Using (6), we obtain

$$\sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) = \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-I-S}(x) \geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i(n_i - 1) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} e_G(N_i, N_j) \geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i(n_i - 1). \quad (7)$$

Note that $\alpha(G[T]) \leq \alpha(G)$. Combining this with (4), we get

$$\alpha(G) \geq \alpha(G[T]) \geq r. \quad (8)$$

In terms of (1), (3), (5) and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 > \theta_H(S, T) &= a|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) - b|T| \\ &\geq a(\delta(G) - h - |I|) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i(n_i - 1) - b \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i \\ &= a(\delta(G) - h - |I|) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i(n_i - b - 1), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$0 > a(\delta(G) - h - |I|) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i(n_i - b - 1). \quad (9)$$

It is obvious that $n_i(n_i - b - 1) \geq -\frac{(b+1)^2}{4}$. Combining this with (8), (9), $0 \leq h \leq b-1$ and $|I| \leq \alpha(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 > a(\delta(G) - h - |I|) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i(n_i - b - 1) &\geq \\ &\geq a(\delta(G) - h - \alpha(G)) - \frac{(b+1)^2}{4} r \geq \\ &\geq a(\delta(G) - h - \alpha(G)) - \frac{(b+1)^2}{4} \alpha(G) = \\ &= a(\delta(G) - h) - \frac{(b+1)^2 + 4a}{4} \alpha(G) \geq \\ &\geq a(\delta(G) - b + 1) - \frac{(b+1)^2 + 4a}{4} \alpha(G), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\alpha(G) > \frac{4a(\delta(G) - b + 1)}{(b+1)^2 + 4a},$$

which contradicts that $\alpha(G) \leq \frac{4a(\delta(G) - b + 1)}{(b+1)^2 + 4a}$. Theorem 6 is proved.

3. REMARK

In Theorem 6, the bound in the hypothesis

$$\alpha(G) \leq \frac{4a(\delta(G) - b + 1)}{(b+1)^2 + 4a}$$

is best possible, which cannot be replaced by

$$\alpha(G) \leq \frac{4a(\delta(G) - b + 1)}{(b + 1)^2 + 4a} + 1.$$

We can show this by constructing a graph $G = K_m \vee (m + 1)K_{a+1}$, where \vee means “join” and

$m = \left\lfloor \frac{4a(\delta(G) - b + 1)}{(b + 1)^2 + 4a} \right\rfloor$. It is obvious that

$$\frac{4a(\delta(G) - b + 1)}{(b + 1)^2 + 4a} < \alpha(G) = m + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{4a(\delta(G) - b + 1)}{(b + 1)^2 + 4a} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq \frac{4a(\delta(G) - b + 1)}{(b + 1)^2 + 4a} + 1.$$

We choose a vertex in every K_{a+1} , say for y_i , $1 \leq i \leq m + 1$. Write $I = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+1}\}$. It is easy to see that I is an independent set of G . Let $H = G - I = K_m \vee (m + 1)K_a$, $S = V(K_m)$ and $T = V((m + 1)K_a)$.

Clearly, $|S| = m$, $|T| = (m + 1)a$, $\sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) = (m + 1)a(a - 1)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_H(S, T) &= a|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) - b|T| \\ &= am + (m + 1)a(a - 1) - (m + 1)ab \\ &= (m + 1)a(a - b) - a \leq -a < 0. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of Theorem 3, $H = G - I$ does not admit all fractional $[a, b]$ -factor.

REFERENCES

1. L. XIONG, *Characterization of forbidden subgraphs for the existence of even factors in a graph*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, **223**, pp. 135–139, 2017.
2. O. FAVARON, M. KOUIDER, *Even factors of large size*, Journal of Graph Theory, **77**, pp. 58–67, 2014.
3. H. LIU, G. LIU, *Neighbor set for the existence of (g, f, n) -critical graphs*, Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, **34**, pp. 39–49, 2011.
4. W. SHIU, G. LIU, *k -factors of regular graphs*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, **24**, pp. 1213–1226, 2008.
5. S. ZHOU, *Some results about component factors in graphs*, doi: 10.1051/ro/2017045.
6. S. ZHOU, *Remarks on orthogonal factorizations of digraphs*, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, **91**, pp. 2109–2117, 2014.
7. S. ZHOU, Q. BIAN, *An existence theorem on fractional deleted graphs*, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, **71**, pp. 125–133, 2015.
8. W. GAO, W. WANG, *New isolated toughness condition for fractional (g, f, n) -critical graphs*, Colloquium Mathematicum, **147**, pp. 55–66, 2017.
9. S. ZHOU, F. YANG, Z. SUN, *A neighborhood condition for fractional ID - $[a, b]$ -factor-critical graphs*, Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory, **36**, pp. 409–418, 2016.
10. J. CAI, G. LIU, *Stability number and fractional f -factors in graphs*, Ars Combinatoria, **80**, pp. 141–146, 2006.
11. S. ZHOU, L. XU, Z. SUN, *Independence number and minimum degree for fractional ID - k -factor-critical graphs*, Aequationes Mathematicae, **84**, pp. 71–76, 2012.
12. H. LU, *Simplified existence theorems on all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, **161**, pp. 2075–2078, 2013.
13. S. ZHOU, Z. SUN, *On all fractional (a, b, k) -critical graphs*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, **30**, pp. 696–702, 2014.

Received June 20, 2017