

φ -MEANS OF SOME BANACH SUBSPACES ON A BANACH ALGEBRA

Ali GHAFFARI, Samaneh JAVADI

Semnan University, Department of Mathematics, Semnan, Iran
E-mail: aghaffari@semnan.ac.ir, s.javadi62@gmail.com

In this paper, among the other things, we study the concept of φ -amenability of a Banach algebra A , where φ is a nonzero multiplicative linear functional on A . We present a few results in the theory of φ -amenable Banach algebras, and we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for A^{**} to have a left invariant φ -mean. Let $Wap(A)$ be the Banach space of all weakly almost periodic functionals on A . Our second purpose in this paper is to present several characterizations of the existence of a left (right) invariant φ -mean on $Wap(A)$. Other results in this direction are also obtained.

Key words: Banach algebra, φ -amenability, φ -means, weak almost periodic, weak* topology.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of amenability for Banach algebras was first introduced by Johnson in [14]. According to Johnson's definition, a Banach algebra A is amenable if every derivation from A into the dual A -bimodule E^* is inner for all Banach A -bimodules E . This concept of amenability has occupied an important place in the research of Banach algebras, operator algebras and harmonic analysis.

In [17], Lau introduced and investigated a large class of Banach algebras which he called F -algebras. Later, F -algebras were termed Lau algebras. They are Banach algebras A such that the dual A^* is a von Neumann algebra and the identity of A^* is a multiplicative linear functional on A . The concept of left amenability for a Lau algebra has been extensively extended for an arbitrary Banach algebra by introducing the notion of φ -amenability. Let A be an arbitrary Banach algebra and φ be a character of A , that is a homomorphism from A onto C . A is called φ -amenable if there exists a bounded linear functional m on A^* satisfying $\langle m, \varphi \rangle = 1$ and $\langle m, f \cdot a \rangle = \varphi(a) \langle m, f \rangle$ for all $a \in A$ and $f \in A^*$. This concept considerably generalizes the notion of left amenability for Lau algebras. For more details on φ -amenability of a Banach algebra the interested reader is referred to [13], [16] and [18]. Recently the notion of α -amenable hypergroups was introduced and studied in [2], [3] and [8].

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the φ -amenability for certain Banach subspaces of dual Banach algebras. We continue our work [10] in the study of amenability of a Banach algebra A defined with respect to a character φ of A . Various necessary and sufficient conditions are found for a Banach algebra to possess a left invariant φ -mean. We prove that $Wap(A)$ has a left (right) invariant φ -mean if and only if a certain compact semitopological semigroup \bar{S} (to be defined) associated with $\{\lambda_a; a \in P_1(A, \varphi)\}$ contains a left (right) zero. Other results in this direction are also obtained. We obtain sufficient conditions and some necessary conditions about A to have a left invariant φ -mean.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this paper, the second dual A^{**} of a Banach algebra A will always be equipped with the first Arens product which is defined as follows. For $a, b \in A$, $f \in A^*$ and $m, n \in A^{**}$, the elements fa and mf of A^* and $mn \in A^{**}$ are defined by

$$\langle f \cdot a, b \rangle = \langle f, ab \rangle, \quad \langle n \cdot f, a \rangle = \langle n, f \cdot a \rangle, \quad \langle mn, f \rangle = \langle m, n \cdot f \rangle,$$

respectively. With this multiplication, A^{**} is a Banach algebra and A is a subalgebra of A^{**} [5]. We write A^*A for the closed linear span in A^* of $\{f \cdot a; f \in A^*, a \in A\}$. When A has a bounded right approximate identity the Cohen-Hewitt factorization theorem (Theorem 32.22 of [12]) shows that in fact $A^*A = \{f \cdot a; f \in A^*, a \in A\}$. A functional $f \in A^*$ for which $\{f \cdot a; \|a\| \leq 1\}$ is relatively compact in the weak (norm) topology of A^* is said to be weakly almost periodic (almost periodic). The set of weakly almost periodic (almost periodic) functionals on A is denoted by $Wap(A)$ ($Ap(A)$) (see [7] and [11]). If A is a Banach algebra, we shall denote by $B(Wap(A))$ the usual Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on $Wap(A)$. $B(Wap(A))$ is a semitopological semigroup under operator multiplication and the weak operator topology. We shall speak of any subsemigroup of $B(Wap(A))$ as a semigroup of operators.

Finally, we say that an element a of A is φ -maximal if it satisfies $\|a\| = \varphi(a) = 1$. Let $P_1(A, \varphi)$ denote the collection of all φ -maximal elements of A [15]. When A is an Lau algebra and φ is the identity of the von Neumann algebra A^* , the φ -maximal elements are precisely the positive linear functionals of norm 1 on A^* and hence span A . Let $X(A, \varphi)$ denote the closed linear span of $P_1(A, \varphi)$. If $f \in A^*$ and $a \in A$, we also consider $\lambda_a(f) = f \cdot a$. Throughout the paper, $\Delta(A)$ will denote the set of all homomorphisms from A onto C .

3. MAIN RESULTS

Let A be a Banach algebra and let X be a closed subspace of A^* . We say that X is invariant if $f \cdot a \in X$ whenever $f \in X$ and $a \in A$.

Definition 3.1. Let A be a Banach algebra and let X be a closed subspace of A^* with $\varphi \in X$ that is invariant. A continuous functional m on X is called a left invariant φ -mean on X if the following properties holds:

$$\langle m, \varphi \rangle = 1, \quad \langle m, f \cdot a \rangle = \varphi(a) \langle m, f \rangle \quad (f \in X, a \in A).$$

LEMMA 3.2. Let A be a Banach algebra and let $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. Suppose that A has a bounded approximate identity. Then A^{**} has a left invariant φ -mean if and only if $(A^*A)^*$ has a left invariant φ -mean.

Proof. Observe first that invariance properties of φ -means are transmitted by heredity onto subspaces. So $(A^*A)^*$ has a left invariant φ -mean.

To prove the converse, assume that n is a left invariant φ -mean on $(A^*A)^*$. Let $m \in A^{**}$ such that m extends n and $\|m\| = \|n\|$. Choose $a \in A$ with $\varphi(a) = 1$. Let $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a bounded approximate identity in A . For $f \in A^*$ and $b \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle am, f \cdot b \rangle &= \langle m, f \cdot ba \rangle = \langle n, f \cdot ba \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle n, f \cdot e_\alpha ba \rangle = \varphi(ba) \lim_\alpha \langle n, fe_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \varphi(b) \lim_\alpha \langle n, f \cdot e_\alpha a \rangle = \varphi(b) \langle n, f \cdot a \rangle = \varphi(b) \langle am, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that am is a left invariant φ -mean on A^* .

Let G be a locally compact group and let $LUC(G)$ denote the Banach space of all bounded left uniformly continuous functions on G . For $f \in L^\infty(G)$ and $\phi \in L^1(G)$ we know that $f\phi = \tilde{\phi} * f$ (where $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \Delta(x^{-1})\phi(x^{-1})$, Δ here being the modular function on G). Thus

$LUC(G) = L^1(G) * L^\infty(G) = L^\infty(G)L^1(G)$ [12]. Lemma 3.2 shows that $LUC(G)^*$ has a left invariant φ -mean if and only if $L^\infty(G)^*$ has a left invariant φ -mean.

Remark 3.3. Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity and $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. Suppose that A^{**} admits a left invariant φ -mean. By Theorem 1.4 in [16], there exists a bounded net $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ in A such that $\|aa_\alpha - \varphi(a)a\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in A$ and $\varphi(a) = 1$ for all $\alpha \in I$. Therefore $\|baa_\alpha - \varphi(a)ba\| \rightarrow 0$ for every $a, b \in A$. Conversely, suppose that there exists a bounded net $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ in A such that $\varphi(a_\alpha) = 1$ for all $\alpha \in I$ and $\|baa_\alpha - \varphi(a)ba_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $a, b \in A$. Then every weak* cluster point m of $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ in A^{**} clearly satisfies $\langle m, \varphi \rangle = 1$ and $\langle m, f \cdot a \rangle = \varphi(a)\langle m, f \rangle$ for all $f \in A^*A$ and $a \in A$. By Lemma 3.2, A^{**} has a left invariant φ -mean.

Suppose that for each $f \in A^*$ there exists $n_f \in A^{**}$ such that $\|n_f\| = \langle n_f, \varphi \rangle = 1$ and $\langle n_f, f \cdot ab \rangle = \varphi(ab)\langle n_f, f \rangle$ for all $a, b \in A$. There exists a net $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ in A such that $a_\alpha \rightarrow n_f$ in the weak* topology. Let $m_f = n_f n_f$. Then $\langle m_f, \varphi \rangle = \langle n_f, \varphi \rangle^2 = 1$ and $\|m_f\| = 1$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(b)\langle m_f, f \cdot a \rangle &= \varphi(b)\langle n_f n_f, f \cdot a \rangle = \lim_\alpha \varphi(b)\langle n_f, f \cdot aa_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \varphi(b)\varphi(aa_\alpha)\langle n_f, f \rangle = \lim_\alpha \varphi(a)\langle n_f, f \cdot ba_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \varphi(a)\langle n_f n_f, f \cdot b \rangle = \varphi(a)\langle m_f, f \cdot b \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for every $a, b \in A$. By Theorem 2.1 in [10], A admits a left invariant φ -mean of norm 1. Thus we have shown that a Banach algebra A has a left invariant φ -mean of norm 1 if and only if for each $f \in A^*$ there exists $n_f \in A^{**}$ such that $\|n_f\| = \langle n_f, \varphi \rangle = 1$ and $\langle n_f, f \cdot ab \rangle = \varphi(ab)\langle n_f, f \rangle$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Proposition 3.4. Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) There exists a left invariant φ -mean m with $\|m\| = 1$;
- (ii) if $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $k \geq 1$, $f_1, \dots, f_k \in A^*$ and $m_1, \dots, m_k, n_1, \dots, n_k \in A^{**}$, then

$$\sup\{\sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{Re}\langle (\varphi(m_i)n_i - \varphi(n_i)m_i)p, f_i \rangle; p \in A^{**}, \langle p, \varphi \rangle = 1, \|p\| < 1 + \varepsilon\} \geq 0;$$

- (iii) There exists a net $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ in A^{**} such that $\lim_\alpha \langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle = 1$ and $\|m_\alpha\| = 1$ for all α and for every $a \in A$, $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ in the weak* topology of A^{**} .

Proof. (i) implies (ii). Suppose that A^{**} admits a left invariant φ -mean of norm 1, say m . Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $k \geq 1$, $f_1, \dots, f_k \in A^*$ and $m_1, \dots, m_k, n_1, \dots, n_k \in A^{**}$. Since A is weak* dense in A^{**} [5], there are bounded nets $a_\alpha^i, b_\alpha^i \in A$, $\alpha \in I$, such that $a_\alpha^i \rightarrow m_i$, $b_\alpha^i \rightarrow n_i$ in the weak* topology of A^{**} . (Notice that we can assume that a_α^i, b_α^i have the same directed set I ; otherwise take the product of their directed sets.) Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \langle (\varphi(m_i)n_i - \varphi(n_i)m_i)m, f_i \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lim_\alpha \langle (\varphi(a_\alpha^i)b_\alpha^i - \varphi(b_\alpha^i)a_\alpha^i), m \cdot f_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lim_\alpha \varphi(a_\alpha^i)\langle m, f_i \cdot b_\alpha^i \rangle - \varphi(b_\alpha^i)\langle m, f_i \cdot a_\alpha^i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lim_\alpha \varphi(a_\alpha^i)\varphi(b_\alpha^i)(\langle m, f_i \rangle - \langle m, f_i \rangle) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have (i) implies (ii).

Conversely, suppose that (ii) holds. It is sufficient to show that

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{Re} \langle (\varphi(a_i)b_i - \varphi(b_i)a_i)c, f_i \rangle; c \in A, 1 - \varepsilon < \varphi(c) < 1 + \varepsilon, \|c\| < 1 + \varepsilon \right\} \geq 0,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $f_1, \dots, f_k \in A^*$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k \in A$ (see Theorem 2.1 in [10] and its proof). Let us assume on the contrary that there exist $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $f_1, \dots, f_k \in A^*$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k \in A$ such that

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{Re} \langle (\varphi(a_i)b_i - \varphi(b_i)a_i)c, f_i \rangle; 1 - \varepsilon < \varphi(c) < 1 + \varepsilon, \|c\| < 1 + \varepsilon \right\} < \beta < 0.$$

By assumption, we can choose $p \in A^{**}$ such that $\langle p, \varphi \rangle = 1$, $\|p\| < 1 + \varepsilon$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{Re} \langle (\varphi(a_i)b_i - \varphi(b_i)a_i)p, f_i \rangle \geq \frac{\beta}{2}.$$

By the Goldstine's theorem [21], there exists a net $\{c'_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in J}$ in A such that $c'_\gamma \rightarrow p$ in the weak* topology and $\|c'_\gamma\| \leq \|p\| < 1 + \varepsilon$ for all $\gamma \in J$. Put $c_\gamma = \overline{\varphi(c'_\gamma)}c'_\gamma$. For some $\gamma \in J$, we have $\|c_\gamma\| < 1 + \varepsilon$, $1 - \varepsilon < \varphi(c_\gamma) < 1 + \varepsilon$, $|\varphi(c_\gamma) - \langle p, \varphi \rangle| < \varepsilon$ and

$$|\langle (\varphi(a_i)b_i - \varphi(b_i)a_i)p, f_i \rangle - \langle (\varphi(a_i)b_i - \varphi(b_i)a_i)c_\gamma, f_i \rangle| < \frac{-\beta}{2k},$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. This shows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{Re} \langle (\varphi(a_i)b_i - \varphi(b_i)a_i)p, f_i \rangle < \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{Re} \langle (\varphi(a_i)b_i - \varphi(b_i)a_i)c_\gamma, f_i \rangle + \frac{-\beta}{2} < \frac{\beta}{2},$$

which is a contradiction. So (ii) implies (i).

Clearly, (i) implies (iii). Suppose that (iii) holds. If such a net $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ exists, then every weak* cluster point m of it in A^{**} clearly satisfies $\langle m, \varphi \rangle = 1$, $\|m\| = 1$ and $\langle m, f \cdot a \rangle = \varphi(a)\langle m, f \rangle$ for all $f \in A^*$ and $a \in A$. This completes the proof.

If S is a semigroup of operators on $Wap(A)$, the orbit $O(f)$ of an element f of $Wap(A)$ is defined to be $\{T(f); T \in S\}$. S will be called weakly almost periodic if each orbit has compact closure in the weak topology of $Wap(A)$. In the following theorem, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for $Wap(A)^*$ to have a left invariant φ -mean. Various necessary and sufficient conditions found for weakly almost periodic functions on a locally compact topological semigroup to possess a left invariant mean (see [9], [20], [23] and [24]).

THEOREM 3.5. *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. The closure \bar{S} of $S = \{\lambda_a; a \in P_1(A, \varphi)\}$ in the weak operator topology is a compact convex semitopological semigroup in the same topology. Moreover, among the following two properties, the implication (i) \rightarrow (ii) hold. If $X(A, \varphi) = A$, then (ii) \rightarrow (i).*

(i) $Wap(A)^*$ has a left invariant φ -mean $m \in \overline{P_1(A, \varphi)}^{w^*}$;

(ii) The semigroup \bar{S} has a left zero, that is, there exists some $S \in \bar{S}$ such that $SoT = S$ for any $T \in \bar{S}$.

Proof. For $f \in Wap(A)$, $\{f \cdot a; a \in P_1(A, \varphi)\}$ has compact closure in the weak topology of A^* . It follows that $\{\lambda_a(f); a \in P_1(A, \varphi)\}$ also has compact closure in the same topology or $\{\lambda_a; a \in P_1(A, \varphi)\}$ is weakly almost periodic. It is known that if S is weakly almost periodic, then the weak operator closure, \bar{S} of S in $B(Wap(A))$ is a compact semitopological semigroup with weak operator topology, see Theorem 3.1 in [6].

Next assume (i) holds and let $m \in \overline{P_1(A, \varphi)}^{w^*}$ be a left invariant φ -mean on $Wap(A)$. Choose a net $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ in $P_1(A, \varphi)$ with the property that $a_\alpha \rightarrow m$ and $aa_\alpha - a_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ in the weak^{*} topology of $Wap(A)^*$ for any $a \in P_1(A, \varphi)$. By compactness of \overline{S} , we can assume that λ_{a_α} converges to some $L_m \in \overline{S}$ in the weak operator topology, passing to a subnet if necessary. We claim that $L_m o T = L_m$ for all $T \in \overline{S}$. Let $a \in P_1(A, \varphi)$, $f \in Wap(A)$ and $p \in Wap(A)^*$. Choose a net $\{b_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$ in A with the property that $b_\beta \rightarrow p$ in the weak^{*} topology of $Wap(A)^*$ and $\|b_\beta\| \leq \|p\|$ for all $\beta \in J$ [5]. Hence $b_\beta f \rightarrow pf$ in the weak^{*} topology of $Wap(A)^*$. Since f is in $Wap(A)$, $\{a \cdot f; \|a\| \leq \|p\|\}$ is relatively weakly compact [7]. Now $b_\beta f, pf$ all belong to the weakly compact set $\overline{\{a \cdot f; \|a\| \leq \|p\|\}}$ on which the weak topology and the weak^{*} topology coincide. Hence $pf \in Wap(A)$. Since $pf \in Wap(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p, L_m o \lambda_a - L_m(f) \rangle &= \lim_\alpha \langle p, \lambda_{a_\alpha} o \lambda_a - \lambda_{a_\alpha}(f) \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle p, f \cdot (aa_\alpha - a_\alpha) \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle pf, aa_\alpha - a_\alpha \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $L_m o \lambda_a = L_m$. Since $\{\lambda_a; a \in P_1(A, \varphi)\}$ is dense in \overline{S} in the weak operator topology, we must have $L_m o T = L_m$ for any $T \in \overline{S}$ and (i) implies (ii).

Conversely assume (ii) and let S be a left zero of \overline{S} , then $So\lambda_a = S$ for any $a \in P_1(A, \varphi)$. There is a net $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ in $P_1(A, \varphi)$ such that $\lambda_{a_\alpha} \rightarrow S$ in the weak operator topology. By the Banach-Alaoglu's theorem [21], without loss of generality we may assume that $a_\alpha \rightarrow m$ in the weak^{*} topology of $Wap(A)^*$. We set out to prove that mm is a left invariant φ -mean on $Wap(A)$. Clearly $mm \in \overline{P_1(A, \varphi)}^{w^*}$. For every $f \in Wap(A)$ and $a \in P_1(A, \varphi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle mm, f \cdot a \rangle - \langle mm, f \rangle &= \langle m, m \cdot (f \cdot a) \rangle - \langle m, m \cdot f \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle m, f \cdot aa_\alpha \rangle - \langle m, f \cdot a_\alpha \rangle = \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle m, \lambda_{a_\alpha} o \lambda_a(f) \rangle - \langle m, \lambda_{a_\alpha}(f) \rangle = \\ &= \langle m, So\lambda_a(f) \rangle - \langle m, S(f) \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that mm is a left invariant φ -mean on $Wap(A)$.

We can replace the weak topology and the weak operator topology in the above Theorem by the strong topology and the strong operator topology, respectively, we have

THEOREM 3.6. *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. The closure \overline{S} of $S = \{\lambda_a; a \in P_1(A, \varphi)\}$ in the strong operator topology is a compact convex topological semigroup in the same topology. Moreover, among the following two properties, the implication (i) \rightarrow (ii) hold. If $X(A, \varphi) = A$, then (ii) \rightarrow (i).*

- (i) $Ap(A)^*$ has a left invariant φ -mean $m \in \overline{P_1(A, \varphi)}^{w^*}$;
- (ii) The semigroup \overline{S} has a left zero.

We introduce some additional concepts. A linear functional $m \in Wap(A)^*$ is called a right invariant φ -mean on $Wap(A)$ if $\langle m, \varphi \rangle = 1$ and $\langle m, af \rangle = \varphi(a)\langle m, f \rangle$ whenever $f \in Wap(A)$ and $a \in A$. A left invariant and right invariant φ -mean on $Wap(A)$ is called invariant φ -mean. Kaniuth, Lau and Pym in [15] showed that an element m of $Wap(A)^*$ is an invariant φ -mean for $Wap(A)$ if and only if there exists a bounded net $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ in A such that $\varphi(a_\alpha) = 1$ for all α and for each $a \in A$,

$$\|aa_\alpha - \varphi(a)a_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \|a_\alpha a - \varphi(a)a_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0.$$

THEOREM 3.7: *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. If $Wap(A)^*$ has a left invariant φ -mean $m \in \overline{P_1(A, \varphi)}^{w^*}$ and a right invariant φ -mean $n \in \overline{P_1(A, \varphi)}^{w^*}$, then the compact semitopological semigroup \bar{S} contains a left zero and a right zero. Moreover, $m = n$ and it is the unique invariant φ -mean on $Wap(A)$.*

Proof. Assume that $Wap(A)^*$ has a right invariant φ -mean $n \in \overline{P_1(A, \varphi)}^{w^*}$. There is a net $\{b_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$ in $P_1(A, \varphi)$ such that for all $a \in P_1(A, \varphi)$, $b_\beta a - b_\beta \rightarrow 0$ and also $b_\beta \rightarrow n$ in the weak* topology of $Wap(A)^*$. An argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5 shows that the semigroup \bar{S} has a right zero, say R_n . Since L_m is a left zero of \bar{S} and R_n is a right zero of \bar{S} , we have $L_m = L_m o R_n = R_n$. Let $\Phi: Wap(A)^* \rightarrow B(Wap(A))$ be defined by $\Phi(p)(f) = \langle p, f \rangle \varphi$. Clearly Φ is a bounded linear operator and an isometry. If $\Phi(n) \notin \bar{S}$, then Theorem 3.4 in [21] implies that there is a p in $Wap(A)^*$, an f in $Wap(A)$, an c in R , and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle p, f b_\beta \rangle \leq c < c + \varepsilon \leq \operatorname{Re} \langle p, \Phi(n)(f) \rangle = \operatorname{Re} \langle n, f \rangle \langle p, \varphi \rangle.$$

for all $\beta \in J$. We conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle np, f \rangle \leq c < c + \varepsilon \leq \operatorname{Re} \langle n, f \rangle \langle p, \varphi \rangle$$

By Goldstein's theorem [21], there exists a net $\{c_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in L}$ in A such that $c_\gamma \rightarrow p$ in the weak* topology of $Wap(A)^*$. Since $Wap(A)^*$ is Arens regular [7], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \langle n, f \rangle \langle p, \varphi \rangle &= \lim_{\gamma} \operatorname{Re} \langle n, f \rangle \varphi(c_\gamma) = \lim_{\gamma} \operatorname{Re} \langle n, c_\gamma f \rangle = \operatorname{Re} \langle np, f \rangle \\ &< \operatorname{Re} \langle n, f \rangle \langle p, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. We conclude that $\Phi(n) \in \bar{S}$. Clearly $\Phi(n)$ is a right zero and so $\Phi(n) = R_n$. For each $a \in A$, $f \in Wap(A)$ and $b \in A$,

$$\langle na \cdot f, b \rangle = \langle n, a \cdot (f \cdot b) \rangle = \varphi(a) \langle n, f \cdot b \rangle = \varphi(a) \langle n \cdot f, b \rangle.$$

Therefore $na \cdot f = \varphi(a)n \cdot f$. This shows that

$$\langle mn, a \cdot f \rangle = \langle m, n \cdot (a \cdot f) \rangle = \varphi(a) \langle m, n \cdot f \rangle = \varphi(a) \langle mn, f \rangle,$$

and so mn is a right invariant φ -mean in $\overline{P_1(A, \varphi)}^{w^*}$. Consequently

$$L_m(f) = \Phi(mn)(f) = \Phi(n)(f) = \langle n, f \rangle \varphi$$

for every $f \in Wap(A)$. Hence $m = mn = n$ is the unique invariant φ -mean on $Wap(A)$.

As a simple application of the preceding theorems, let G be a locally compact group. Consider the Banach space $W(G)$ of all weakly almost periodic functions on G with supremum norm [4]. It is known that $W(G) = Wap(L^1(G))$ [22]. By the Ryll-Nardzewski theorem, $W(G)$ has a left and right invariant mean [19]. By Theorem 3.7, there exists exactly one invariant mean on $W(G)$.

Remark 3.8. (i). Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. If $Wap(A)^*$ has a right invariant φ -mean $n \in \overline{P_1(A, \varphi)}^{w^*}$, then \bar{S} has a right zero, say R_n . Let $C_b(\bar{S})$ be the space of bounded continuous functions on \bar{S} with usual sup norm. It is easily checked that the map M , where $M(f) = f(R_n)$, is a left invariant mean on $C_b(\bar{S})$ [4]. The reader is referred to [4] for more information on amenability of semigroups. Conversely, let $C_b(\bar{S})$ have a left invariant mean. Then the map $(S, T) \rightarrow SoT$ of $\bar{S} \times \bar{S} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ is an A -representation

(see [1] for details). By theorem 1 in [1], there exists some $T \in \bar{S}$ such that $SoT = S$ for every $S \in \bar{S}$. Thus \bar{S} has a right zero and so $Wap(A)^*$ has a right invariant φ -mean.

(ii). Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. Under the weak topology, $P_1(A, \varphi)$ is a semitopological semigroup. If $C_b(P_1(A, \varphi))$ has a left invariant mean, then $C_b(\bar{S})$ has a left invariant mean (see Lemma 2.1 in [6]). Therefore $Wap(A)^*$ has a right invariant φ -mean.

COROLLARY 3.9. *Let A be a reflexive Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. If A^{**} has a left invariant φ -mean $m \in \overline{P_1(A, \varphi)}^w$ and a right invariant φ -mean $n \in \overline{P_1(A, \varphi)}^w$, then $m = n$ and it is the unique invariant φ -mean on A^* .*

Proof. Assume that A is a reflexive Banach algebra. For $f \in A^*$, $\{f \cdot a; \|a\| \leq 1\}$ has compact closure in the weak* topology of A^* . As A is reflexive, $\{f \cdot a; \|a\| \leq 1\}$ has compact closure in the weak topology [21]. Therefore $A^* = Wap(A)$. By Theorem 3.7, A has a unique invariant φ -mean.

THEOREM 3.10. *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$.*

(i) *Let M be the set of all left invariant φ -means on $Ap(A)$. Then M is a compact convex topological semigroup under Arens product and the weak* topology of $Ap(A)^*$;*

(ii) *Let M be the set of all left invariant φ -means on $Wap(A)$. Then M is a compact convex semitopological semigroup under Arens product and the weak* topology of $Wap(A)^*$.*

Proof. (i) M is clearly a convex semigroup under Arens product and is weak* compact in $Ap(A)^*$. All we need is to show that the map $(m, n) \mapsto mn$ is continuous. Let (m_α, n_α) be a net in $M \times M$ converging to (m, n) in $M \times M$. Let f be any element in $Ap(A)$. Since $n_\alpha \rightarrow n$ weak*, we conclude that $n_\alpha f \rightarrow nf$ weak* and hence norm. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle m_\alpha n_\alpha, f \rangle - \langle mn, f \rangle| &\leq |\langle m_\alpha, n_\alpha \cdot f \rangle - \langle m_\alpha, n \cdot f \rangle| + |\langle m_\alpha, n \cdot f \rangle - \langle m, n \cdot f \rangle| \\ &\leq \|m_\alpha\| \|n_\alpha \cdot f - n \cdot f\| + |\langle m_\alpha, n \cdot f \rangle - \langle m, n \cdot f \rangle| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) A similar argument as above implies this part.

REFERENCES

1. L. N. ARGABRIGHT, *Invariant means and fixed points: A sequel to Mitchell's paper*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **130**, 127–130 (1968).
2. A. AZIMIFARD, *α -amenable hypergroups*, Math. Z., **265**, 971–982 (2010).
3. A. AZIMIFARD, *On the α -amenability of hypergroups*, Monatsh Math., **115**, 1–13 (2008).
4. J. F. BERGLUND, H. D. JUNGHEHN, P. MILNES, *Analysis on semigroups, function spaces, compactifications, representations*, New York, 1989.
5. H. G. DALES, *Banach algebra and automatic continuity*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. Ser., Clarendon Press, 2000.
6. K. deLEEuw, I. GLICKSBERG, *Applications of almost periodic compactifications*, Acta Math., **105**, 63–97 (1961).
7. DUNCAN, S. A. R. HOSSEINIUN, *The second dual of a Banach algebra*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **84**, 309–325 (1979).
8. F. FILBIR, R. LASSER, R. SZWARC, *Reiter's condition P_1 and approximate identities for hypergroups*, Monatsh Math., **143**, 189–203 (2004).
9. B. FORREST, *Invariant means, right ideals and the structure of semitopological semigroups*, Semigroup Forum, **40**, 325–361 (1990).
10. A. GHAFFARI, A. ALINEJAD, *φ -amenability of Banach algebras*, Submitted.
11. A. GHAFFARI, *Strongly and weakly almost periodic linear maps on semigroup algebras*, Semigroup Forum, **76**, 95–106(2008).
12. E. HEWITT, K. A. ROSS, *Abstract Harmonic analysis*, Vol. I, II, Springer Verlage, Berlin, 1963, 1970.
13. Z. HU, M. S. MONFARED, T. TRAYNOR, *On character amenable Banach algebras*, Studia Math., **193**, 53–78 (2009).
14. B. E. JOHNSON, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **127** (1972).

15. E. KANIUTH, A. T. LAU, J. PYM, *On character amenability of Banach algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **344**, 942–955 (2008).
16. E. KANIUTH, A. T. LAU, J. PYM, *On ϕ -amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **144**, 85–96 (2008).
17. A. T. LAU, *Analysis on a class of Banach algebras with applications to harmonic analysis on locally compact groups and semigroups*, Fund. Math., **118**, 161–175 (1983).
18. M. S. MONFARED, *Character amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **144**, 697–706 (2008).
19. A. L. T. PATERSON, *Amenability*, Amer. Math. Soc. Math. Survey and Monographs 29, Providence, Rhode Island, 1988.
20. J. P. PIER, *Amenable locally compact groups*, John Wiley And Sons, New York, 1984.
21. W. RUDIN, *Functional analysis*, McGraw Hill, New York, 1991.
22. A. ULGER, *Continuity of weakly almost periodic functionals on $L^1(G)$* , Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 2, **37**, 495–497 (1986).
23. James C. S. WONG, *On the semigroup of probability measures of a locally compact semigroup. II*, Canad. Math. Bull., **30**, 273–281 (1987).
24. James C. S. WONG, *Topological semigroups and representations. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **200**, 89–109 (1974).

Received November 14, 2011