

TERNARY HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN UNITAL TERNARY C^* -ALGEBRAS

M. ESHAGHI GORDJI*, Th. M. RASSIAS**

* Department of Mathematics, Semnan University,
P. O. Box 35195–363, Semnan, Iran

** Department of Mathematics, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Campus 15780 Athens, Greece
E-mail: madjid.eshaghi@gmail.com

Let A, B be two unital ternary C^* -algebras. We prove that every almost unital almost linear mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ which satisfies $h([3^n u 3^n v y]_A) = [h(3^n u)h(3^n v)h(y)]_B$ for all $u, v \in U(A)$, all $y \in A$, and all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, is a ternary homomorphism. Also, for a unital ternary C^* -algebra A of real rank zero, every almost unital almost linear continuous mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a ternary homomorphism when $h([3^n u 3^n v y]_A) = [h(3^n u)h(3^n v)h(y)]_B$ holds for all $u, v \in I_1(A_{SQ})$, all $y \in A$, and all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Furthermore, we investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of ternary homomorphisms between unital ternary C^* -algebras.

Key words: Ternary homomorphism, Ternary C^* -algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ternary algebraic operations were considered in the 19th century by several mathematicians and physicists such as Cayley [5] who introduced the notions of cubic matrix, which in turn ([1,7,23,24,33,35]) was generalized by Kapranov et al. [22].

Following the terminology of Ref. [8], a nonempty set G with a ternary operation $[.,.,.]: G^3 \rightarrow G$ is called a ternary groupoid and is denoted by $(G, [.,.,.])$. The ternary groupoid $(G, [.,.,.])$ is called commutative if $[x_1, x_2, x_3] = [x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}]$ for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in G$ and all permutations σ of $\{1, 2, 3\}$. If a binary operation \circ is defined on G such that $[x, y, z] = (x \circ y) \circ z$ for all $x, y, z \in G$, then we say that $[.,.,.]$ is derived from \circ .

We say that $(G, [.,.,.])$ is a ternary semigroup if the operation $[.,.,.]$ is associative, i.e., if $[[x, y, z], u, v] = [x, [y, z, u], v] = [x, y, [z, u, v]]$ holds for all $x, y, z, u, v \in G$ (see Ref. [2, 3, 13]).

A C^* -ternary algebra is a complex Banach space A , equipped with a ternary product $(X, Y, Z) \mapsto (X, Y, Z)$ of A^3 into A , which is C -linear in the outer variables, conjugate C -linear in the middle variable, and associative in the sense that $[x, y, [z, w, v]] = [x, [w, z, y], v] = [[x, y, z], w, v]$ and satisfies $\|[x, y, z]\| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \|z\|$ and $\|[x, x, x]\| = \|x\|^3$. If a C^* -ternary algebra $(A, [.,.,.])$ has an identity, i.e., an element $e \in A$ such that $x = [x, e, e] = [e, e, x]$ for all $x \in A$, then it is routine to verify that A , endowed with $xoy := [x, e, y]$ and $x^* := [e, x, e]$, is a unital C^* -algebra. Conversely, if (A, o) is a unital C^* -algebra, then $[x, y, z] := xoy^*oz$ makes A into a C^* -ternary algebra. A C -linear mapping $H: A \rightarrow B$ is called a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism if

$$H([x, y, z]) = [Hx, Hy, Hz],$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Ternary structures and their generalization the so-called n -ary structures, raise certain hops in view of their applications in physics [2, 10, 13, 23, 36].

The study of stability problems originated from a famous talk given by S. M. Ulam [34] in 1940: "under what condition does there exist a homomorphism near an approximate homomorphism?" In the next year 1941, D. H. Hyers [15] answered affirmatively the question of Ulam. This stability phenomenon is called the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional equation $g(x+y) = g(x) + g(y)$. A generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for approximately linear mappings was given by Th.M. Rassias [32].

The stability phenomenon that was introduced and proved by Th. M. Rassias is called Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability. The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem [6,9,11,12,14–18,20,27–31].

Throughout this paper, let A be a unital ternary C^* -algebra with unit e , and B a unital ternary Banach algebra with unit element e_B . Let $U(A)$ be the set of unitary elements in A , $A_{sa} := \{x \in A \mid x = x^*\}$, and $I_1(A_{sa}) = \{v \in A_{sa} \mid \|v\| = 1, v \in \text{Inv}(A)\}$. In this paper, we prove that every almost unital almost linear mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism when $h([3^n u 3^n v y]_A) = [h(3^n u)h(3^n v)h(y)]_B$ for all $u, v \in U(A)$, all $y \in A$, and all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Also, for a unital ternary C^* -algebra A of real rank zero, every almost unital almost linear continuous mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a ternary homomorphism when $h([3^n u 3^n v y]_A) = [h(3^n u)h(3^n v)h(y)]_B$ holds for all $u, v \in I_1(A_{sa})$, all $y \in A$, and all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Furthermore, we investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of ternary $*$ -homomorphisms between unital ternary C^* -algebras. Note that a unital ternary C^* -algebra is of real rank zero, if the set of invertible self-adjoint elements is dense in the set of self-adjoint elements [4]. We denote the algebraic center of A by $Z(A)$.

2. TERNARY HOMOMORPHISMS ON UNITAL TERNARY C^* -ALGEBRAS

Following the same approach as in [26], we obtain the next theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping such that $f(0) = 0$ and that*

$$f([3^n u 3^n v y]_A) = [f(3^n u)f(3^n v)f(y)]_B, \quad (2.1)$$

for all $u, v \in U(A)$, all $y \in A$, and all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Assume as well that there exists a function

$\phi: (A - \{0\})^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\tilde{\phi}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^{-n} \phi(3^n x, 3^n y) < \infty$ for all $x, y \in A - \{0\}$ and that

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y}{2}\right) - \mu f(x) - \mu f(y) \right\| \leq \phi(x, y) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $\mu \in T$ and all $x, y \in A$. If $\lim_n \frac{f(3^n e)}{3^n} \in I_1(B_{sa}) \cap Z(B)$, then the mapping $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a ternary homomorphism.

Proof. Set $\mu = 1$ in (2.2), it follows from Theorem 1 of [19] that there exists a unique additive mapping $h: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{3} (\tilde{\phi}(x, -x) + \tilde{\phi}(-x, 3x)) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x \in A - \{0\}$. This mapping is given by $h(x) = \lim_n \frac{f(3^n x)}{3^n}$ for all $x \in A$. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1 of [26], h is C -linear. It follows from (2.1) that

$$h([uvy]_A) = \lim_n \frac{f([3^n u 3^n v y]_A)}{9^n} = \lim_n \frac{[f(3^n u) f(3^n v) f(y)]_B}{9^n} = [h(u) h(v) f(y)]_B, \quad (2.4)$$

for all $u, v \in U(A)$, all $y \in A$.

Since h is additive, then by (2.4), we have $3^n h([uvy]_A) = h([uv(3^n y)]_A) = [h(u) h(v) f(3^n y)]_B$ for all $u, v \in U(A)$ and all $y \in A$.

Hence,

$$h([uvy]_A) = \lim_n [h(u) h(v) \frac{f(3^n y)}{3^n}]_B = [h(u) h(v) h(y)]_B \quad (2.5)$$

for all $u, v \in U(A)$ and all $y \in A$. By the assumption, we have $h(e) = \lim_n \frac{f(3^n e)}{3^n} \in U(B)$ hence, it follows by (2.4) and (2.5) that $[h(e) h(e) h(y)]_B = h([eey]_A) = [h(e) h(e) f(y)]_B$ for all $y \in A$. We denote the unit element of B by e_B . Since $h(e)$ belongs to $I_1(B_{sa})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h(y) &= [e_B e_B h(y)]_B = [[h(e)^{-1} e_B h(e)]_B e_B h(y)]_B = [h(e)^{-1} [e_B h(e) e_B]_B h(y)]_B = \\ &= [h(e)^{-1} [e_B e_B h(e)]_B h(y)]_B = [h(e)^{-1} e_B [e_B h(e) h(y)]_B]_B = \\ &= [h(e)^{-1} [e_B e_B h(e)]_B h(y)]_B = [h(e)^{-1} e_B [e_B h(e) h(y)]_B]_B = \\ &= [h(e)^{-1} e_B [[h(e)^{-1} e_B h(e)]_B h(e) h(y)]_B]_B = [h(e)^{-1} e_B [h(e)^{-1} e_B [h(e) h(e) h(y)]_B]_B]_B = \\ &= [h(e)^{-1} e_B [h(e)^{-1} e_B [h(e) h(e) f(y)]_B]_B]_B = [h(e)^{-1} e_B [[h(e)^{-1} e_B h(e)]_B h(e) f(y)]_B]_B = \\ &= [h(e)^{-1} [e_B e_B h(e)]_B h(y)]_B = [h(e)^{-1} e_B [e_B h(e) f(y)]_B]_B = [h(e)^{-1} [e_B h(e) e_B]_B f(y)]_B = \\ &= [[h(e)^{-1} e_B h(e)]_B e_B f(y)]_B = [e_B e_B f(y)]_B = \\ &= f(y), \quad \text{for all } y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

We have to show that f is a ternary homomorphism. For every $a, b \in A$, we define $a \diamond b := [aeb]_A$. Then $\diamond: A \times A \rightarrow A$ is a binary product for which (A, \diamond) may be considered as a (binary) C^* -algebra. Also, we have $a \in U(A, [\]_A)$ if and only if $a \in U((A, \diamond))$ for all $a \in A$. Now, let $a, b \in A$. By Theorem 4.1.7 of [21],

a, b are finite linear combinations of unitary elements, i.e., $a = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i, b = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j v_j (c_i, d_j \in C, u_i, v_j \in U(A))$, it

follows from (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} f([aby]_A) &= h([aby]_A) = h([\sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i (\sum_{j=1}^m d_j v_j y)])_A = \\ &= h\left([\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m c_i d_j u_i v_j y]_A\right) = h\left([\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m c_i d_j [u_i v_j y]_A]\right) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m c_i d_j h([u_i v_j y]_A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m c_i d_j [h(u_i) h(v_j) h(y)]_B = \\ &= [\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m c_i d_j h(u_i) h(v_j) h(y)]_B = [h(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i) h(\sum_{j=1}^m d_j v_j) h(y)]_B = \\ &= [h(a) h(b) h(y)]_B, \quad \text{for all } y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

Corollary 2.2. Let $p \in (0,1)$, $\theta \in [0,\infty)$ be real numbers. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping such that $f(0) = 0$ and that

$$f([3^n u 3^n v y]_A) = [f(3^n u) f(3^n v) f(y)]_B$$

for all $u, v \in U(A)$, all $y \in A$, and all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose that

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y}{2}\right) - \mu f(x) - \mu f(y) \right\| \leq \theta (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $\mu \in T$ and all $x, y \in A$. If $\lim_n \frac{f(3^n e)}{3^n} \in I_1(B_{sa})$, then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a ternary homomorphism.

Proof. Set $\phi(x, y) := (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ all $x, y \in A$. Then by Theorem 2.1 we get the desired result.

Theorem 2.3. Let A be a ternary C^* -algebra of real rank zero. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a continuous mapping such that $f(0) = 0$ and that

$$f([3^n u 3^n v y]_A) = [f(3^n u) f(3^n v) f(y)]_B \quad (2.6)$$

for all $u, v \in I_1(A_{sa})$ all $y \in A$, and all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose that there exists a function

$\phi : (A - \{0\})^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.2) and $\tilde{\phi}(x, y) < \infty$ for all $x, y \in A - \{0\}$. If $\lim_n \frac{f(3^n e)}{3^n} \in I_1(B_{sa})$, then

the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a ternary homomorphism.

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique C -linear mapping $h : A \rightarrow B$ satisfying (2.3). It follows from (2.6) that

$$h([uvy]_A) = \lim_n \frac{f([3^n u 3^n v y]_A)}{9^n} = \lim_n \frac{[f(3^n u) f(3^n v) f(y)]_B}{9^n} = [h(u) h(v) f(y)]_B \quad (2.7)$$

for all $u, v \in I_1(A_{sa})$, and all $y \in A$. By additivity of h and (2.7), we obtain that

$$3^n h([uvy]_A) = h([uv(3^n y)]_A) = [h(u) h(v) f(3^n y)]_B, \text{ for all } u, v \in I_1(A_{sa}) \text{ and all } y \in A.$$

Hence,

$$h([uvy]_A) = \lim_n [h(u) h(v) \frac{f(3^n y)}{3^n}]_B = [h(u) h(v) h(y)]_B, \text{ for all } u, v \in I_1(A_{sa}) \text{ and all } y \in A. \quad (2.8)$$

By the assumption, we have

$$h(e) = \lim_n \frac{f(3^n e)}{3^n} \in U(B).$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1, it follows from (2.7) and (2.8) that $h = f$ on A . So h is continuous. On the other hand A is real rank zero. One can easily show that $I_1(A_{sa})$ is dense in $\{x \in A_{sa} : \|x\| = 1\}$. Let $u, v \in \{x \in A_{sa} : \|x\| = 1\}$. There are $\{t_n\}, \{z_n\}$ in $I_1(A_{sa})$ such that $\lim_n t_n = u$, $\lim_n z_n = v$. Since h is continuous, it follows from (2.8) that

$$h([uvy]_A) = h(\lim_n (t_n z_n y)) = \lim_n h([(t_n z_n y)]_A) = \lim_n [h(t_n) h(z_n) h(y)]_B = [h(u) h(v) h(y)]_B, \quad (2.9)$$

for all $y \in A$. Now, let $a, b \in A$. Then we have $a = a_1 + ia_2$, $b = b_1 + ib_2$, where $a_1 := \frac{a+a^*}{2}$, $b_1 := \frac{b+b^*}{2}$ and $a_2 := \frac{a-a^*}{2i}$, $b_2 := \frac{b-b^*}{2i}$ are self-adjoint. First consider $a_2 = b_2 = 0$, $a_1, b_1 \neq 0$. Since h is C -linear, it follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} f([aby]_A) &= h([aby]_A) = h([a_1b_1y]_A) = h\left(\|a_1\| \|b_1\| \left[\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|} \frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|} y \right]_A\right) = \\ &= \|a_1\| \|b_1\| h\left(\left[\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|} \frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|} y \right]_A\right) = \|a_1\| \|b_1\| \left[h\left(\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|}\right) h\left(\frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B = \\ &= \left[h\left(\|a_1\| \frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|}\right) h\left(\|b_1\| \frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B = [h(a_1)h(b_1)h(y)]_B = [f(a)f(b)f(y)]_B, \text{ for all } y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider $a_1 = b_1 = 0$, $a_2, b_2 \neq 0$. Since h is C -linear, it follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} f([aby]_A) &= h([aby]_A) = h([ia_2ib_2y]_A) = -h\left(\|a_2\| \|b_2\| \left[\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|} \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|} y \right]_A\right) = \\ &= -\|a_2\| \|b_2\| h\left(\left[\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|} \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|} y \right]_A\right) = -\|a_2\| \|b_2\| \left[h\left(\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|}\right) h\left(\frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B = \\ &= \left[h\left(i\|a_2\| \frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|}\right) h\left(i\|b_2\| \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B = [h(ia_2)h(ib_2)h(y)]_B = \\ &= [f(a)f(b)f(y)]_B, \text{ for all } y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $a_2 = b_1 = 0$, $a_1, b_2 \neq 0$. Then by (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f([aby]_A) &= h([aby]_A) = h([a_1(ib_2)y]_A) = h\left(i\|a_1\| \|b_2\| \left[\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|} \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|} y \right]_A\right) = \\ &= i\|a_1\| \|b_2\| h\left(\left[\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|} \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|} y \right]_A\right) = i\|a_1\| \|b_2\| \left[h\left(\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|}\right) h\left(\frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B = \\ &= \left[h\left(\|a_1\| \frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|}\right) h\left(i\|b_2\| \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B = [h(a_1)h(ib_2)h(y)]_B = \\ &= [f(a)f(b)f(y)]_B, \text{ for all } y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$f([aby]_A) = [f(a)f(b)f(y)]_B,$$

for all $y \in A$ if $a_1 = b_2 = 0$, $a_2, b_1 \neq 0$. In the case that $b_2 = 0$, $a_1, a_2, b_1 \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f([aby]_A) &= h([aby]_A) = h([(a_1 + ia_2)b_1y]_A) = h([a_1b_1y]_A) + ih([a_2b_1y]_A) = \\ &= h\left(\|a_1\| \|b_1\| \left[\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|} \frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|} y \right]_A\right) + ih\left(\|a_2\| \|b_1\| \left[\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|} \frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|} y \right]_A\right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \|a_1\| \|b_1\| h\left(\left[\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|} \frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|} y\right]_A\right) + i \|a_2\| \|b_1\| h\left(\left[\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|} \frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|} y\right]_A\right) = \\
&= \|a_1\| \|b_1\| \left[h\left(\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|}\right) h\left(\frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B + i \|a_2\| \|b_1\| \left[h\left(\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|}\right) h\left(\frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B = \\
&= \left[h\left(\|a_1\| \frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|}\right) h\left(\|b_1\| \frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B + i \left[h\left(\|a_2\| \frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|}\right) h\left(\|b_1\| \frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B = \\
&= [h(a_1)h(b_1)h(y)]_B + i [h(a_2)h(b_1)h(y)]_B = [h(a_1 + ia_2)h(b_1)h(y)]_B = \\
&= [f(a)f(b)f(y)]_B, \quad \text{for all } y \in A.
\end{aligned}$$

By a same reasoning above, we can show that

$$f([aby]_A) = [f(a)f(b)f(y)]_B$$

for all $y \in A$ if $a_2 = 0, a_1, b_1, b_2 \neq 0$. Now consider $b_1 = 0, a_1, a_2, b_2 \neq 0$. Then by (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f([aby]_A) &= h([aby]_A) = h([(a_1 + ia_2)(ib_2)y]_A) = h([ia_1b_2y]_A) - h([a_2b_2y]_A) = \\
&= ih\left(\|a_1\| \|b_2\| \left[\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|} \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|} y\right]_A\right) - h\left(\|a_2\| \|b_2\| \left[\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|} \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|} y\right]_A\right) = \\
&= i \|a_1\| \|b_2\| h\left(\left[\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|} \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|} y\right]_A\right) - \|a_2\| \|b_2\| h\left(\left[\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|} \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|} y\right]_A\right) = \\
&= i \|a_1\| \|b_2\| \left[h\left(\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|}\right) h\left(\frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B - \|a_2\| \|b_2\| \left[h\left(\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|}\right) h\left(\frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B = \\
&= \left[h\left(\|a_1\| \frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|}\right) ih\left(\|b_2\| \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B + \left[ih\left(\|a_2\| \frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|}\right) ih\left(\|b_2\| \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}\right) h(y) \right]_B = \\
&= [h(a_1)ih(b_2)h(y)]_B + [ih(a_2)ih(b_2)h(y)]_B = [h(a_1 + ia_2)h(ib_2)h(y)]_B = \\
&= [f(a)f(b)f(y)]_B, \quad \text{for all } y \in A.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Also, by a same reasoning, we can see that

$$f([aby]_A) = [f(a)f(b)f(y)]_B, \quad \text{for all } y \in A \text{ if } a_1 = 0, a_2, b_1, b_2 \neq 0.$$

Finally consider that $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \neq 0$. Then by (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f([aby]_A) &= h([aby]_A) = h([(a_1 + ia_2)(b_1 + ib_2)y]_A) = \\
&= h([a_1b_1y]_A) + h([ia_1b_2y]_A) + h([ia_2b_1y]_A) - h([ia_2b_2y]_A) = \\
&= h\left(\|a_1\| \|b_1\| \left[\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|} \frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|} y\right]_A\right) + ih\left(\|a_1\| \|b_2\| \left[\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|} \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|} y\right]_A\right) + ih\left(\|a_2\| \|b_1\| \left[\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|} \frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|} y\right]_A\right) - h\left(\|a_2\| \|b_2\| \left[\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|} \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|} y\right]_A\right) = \\
&= \|a_1\| \|b_1\| h\left(\left[\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|} \frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|} y\right]_A\right) + i \|a_1\| \|b_2\| h\left(\left[\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|} \frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|} y\right]_A\right) +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +i\|a_2\|\|b_1\|h\left(\left[\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|}\frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|}y\right]_A\right) - \|a_2\|\|b_2\|h\left(\left[\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|}\frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}y\right]_A\right) = \\
& = \|a_1\|\|b_1\|\left[h\left(\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|}\right)h\left(\frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|}\right)h(y)\right]_B + i\|a_1\|\|b_2\|\left[h\left(\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|}\right)h\left(\frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}\right)h(y)\right]_B + \\
& + i\|a_2\|\|b_1\|\left[h\left(\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|}\right)h\left(\frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|}\right)h(y)\right]_B - \|a_2\|\|b_2\|\left[h\left(\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|}\right)h\left(\frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}\right)h(y)\right]_B = \\
& = \left[h\left(\|a_1\|\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|}\right)h\left(\|b_1\|\frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|}\right)h(y)\right]_B + \left[h\left(\|a_1\|\frac{a_1}{\|a_1\|}\right)ih\left(\|b_2\|\frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}\right)h(y)\right]_B + \\
& + \left[ih\left(\|a_2\|\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|}\right)h\left(\|b_1\|\frac{b_1}{\|b_1\|}\right)h(y)\right]_B + \left[ih\left(\|a_2\|\frac{a_2}{\|a_2\|}\right)ih\left(\|b_2\|\frac{b_2}{\|b_2\|}\right)h(y)\right]_B = \\
& = [h(a_1)h(b_1)h(y)]_B + [h(a_1)ih(b_2)h(y)]_B + [ih(a_2)h(b_1)h(y)]_B + [ih(a_2)ih(b_2)h(y)]_B = \\
& = [h(a_1 + ia_2)h(b_1 + ib_2)h(y)]_B = [f(a)f(b)f(y)]_B, \quad \text{for all } y \in A.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f([aby]_A) = [f(a)f(b)f(y)]_B$ for all $a, b, y \in A$ and f is ternary homomorphism.

Corollary 2.4. Let A be a ternary C^* -algebra of real rank zero. Let $p \in (0,1), \theta \in [0, \infty)$ be real numbers. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping such that $f(0) = 0$ and that

$$f([3^n u 3^n v y]_A) = [f(3^n u) f(3^n v) f(y)]_B \quad (2.11)$$

for all $u, v \in I_1(A_{sa})$, all $y \in A$, and all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose that

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{\mu x + \mu y}{2}\right) - \mu f(x) - \mu f(y) \right\| \leq \theta \left(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p \right)$$

for all $\mu \in T$ and all $x, y \in A$. If $\lim_n \frac{f(3^n e)}{3^n} \in U(B)$, then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a ternary homomorphism.

Proof. Set $\phi(x, y) := \left(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p \right)$ for all $x, y \in A$. Then by Theorem 2.3 we get the desired result.

REFERENCES

1. V. Abramov, R. Kerner and B. Le Roy, *Hypersymmetry a Z_3 graded generalization of supersymmetry*, J. Math. Phys., **38**, 1650, 1997.
2. F. Bagarello, G. Morchio, *Dynamics of mean-field spin models from basic results in abstract differential equations*, J. Stat. Phys., **66**, 849–866, 1992.
3. N. Bazunova, A. Borowiec and R. Kerner, *Universal differential calculus on ternary algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys., **67**, 195, 2004.
4. L. Brown and G. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, J. Funct. Anal., **99**, 131.149, 1991.
5. A. Cayley, *On the 34 concomitants of the ternary cubic*, Am. J. Math., **4**, 1, 1881.
6. S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London, 2002.
7. Y. L. Daletskii and L. A. Takhtajan, *Leibniz and Lie algebra structures for Nambu algebra*, Lett. Math. Phys., **39**, 127, 1997.
8. S. Duplij, *Ternary Hopf Algebras, Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, Part 1, 2 (Kyiv, 2001), 439448; Pr. Inst. Mat. Nats. Akad. Nauk Ukr. Mat. Zastos. 43, Part 1, 2; Nat. Akad. Nauk Ukraini, Inst. Mat. Kiev, 2002.
9. M. Eshaghi Gordji and H. Khodaei, *Solution and stability of generalized mixed type cubic, quadratic and additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis.-TMA., **71**, 5629–5643 (2009).

10. R. Farokhzad Rostami and S. A. R. Hosseinioun, *Perturbations of Jordan higher derivations in Banach ternary algebras: An alternative fixed point approach*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., **1**, 42–53, 2010.
11. Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **14**, 431–434, 1991.
12. P. Gavruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **184**, 431–436, 1994.
13. R. Haag, D. Kastler, *An algebraic approach to quantum field theory*, J. Math. Phys., **5**, 848–861, 1964.
14. D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998.
15. D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., **27**, 222–224, 1941.
16. D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias, *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Math., **44**, 125–153, 1992.
17. G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of ψ -additive mappings*, J. Approx. Theory, **72**, 131–137, 1993.
18. G. Isac, Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of ψ -additive mappings: Applications to nonlinear analysis*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **19**, 219–228, 1996.
19. K. Jun, Y. Lee, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **238**, 305–315, 1999.
20. S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Florida, 2001.
21. R.V. Kadison, J.R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, Elementary Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
22. M. Kapranov, I. M. Gelfand and A. Zelevinskii, *Discriminants, Resultants and Multidimensional Determinants*, Birkhuser, Berlin, 1994.
23. R. Kerner, *The cubic chessboard: Geometry and physics*, Class. Quantum Grav., **14**, A203, 1997.
24. R. Kerner, *Ternary Algebraic Structures and Their Applications in Physics* (Pierre et Marie Curie University, Paris, 2000; *Ternary algebraic structures and their applications in physics*, Proc. BTLF, 23rd International Conference on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, Dubna, Russia, 2000; <http://arxiv.org/list/math-ph/0011>).
25. H. Khodaei, Th. M. Rassias, *Approximately generalized additive functions in several variables*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., **1**, 22–41, 2010.
26. C. Park, D.-H. Boo and J.-S. An, *Homomorphisms between C^* -algebras and linear derivations on C^* -algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **337**, 2, 1415–1424, 2008.
27. C. Park, Y. Cho, M. Han, *Stability of functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Neumann type additive functional equations*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2007 (41820), 1–12.
28. C. Park and Th. M. Rassias, *On a generalized Trif's mapping in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **43**, 2, 323–356, 2006.
29. Th. M. Rassias (Ed.), *Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2000.
30. Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Math. Appl., **62**, 23–130, 2000.
31. Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of minimum points*, Mathematica, **45(68)**, 1, 93–104, 2003.
32. Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72**, 297–300, 1978.
33. L. A. Takhtajan, *On foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics*, Commun. Math. Phys., **160**, 295, 1994.
34. S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Chapter VI, science ed. Wiley, New York, 1940.
35. L. Vainerman and R. Kerner, *On special classes of n -algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **37**, 2553, 1996.
36. H. Zettl, *A characterization of ternary rings of operators*, Adv. Math., **48**, 117–143, 1983.

Received November 4, 2009