

## NOTES ON THE ISOMORPHIC MODULAR GROUP ALGEBRAS OF P-SPLITTING AND P-MIXED ABELIAN GROUPS

Peter DANCHEV

Mathematics Department, Algebra Section, University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria  
E-mail: [pvdanchev@yahoo.com](mailto:pvdanchev@yahoo.com)

We give new proofs of two isomorphism theorems for commutative modular group algebras published in (Comm. Alg., 2000), (Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., 2002) and (Le Matem., 2006) by usage of a crucial isomorphism relation established in (Proc. Roman. Acad. Sci., Ser. A – Math., 2006).

*Key words:* isomorphisms, direct factors, simply presented groups,  $p$ -mixed groups,  $p$ -splitting groups.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 20C07, 16S34, 16U60, 20K21.

Throughout the present paper, let  $KG$  be the group algebra of a multiplicative abelian group  $G$  with  $p$ -primary component  $G_p$  over a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . Denote by  $S(KG)$  the  $p$ -component of torsion of the group  $V(KG)$  of all normalized (i.e. of augmentation 1) units. In [3] and, more generally, in [4] we proved the following.

**Theorem A.** *If  $G$  is  $p$ -splitting, that is,  $G_p$  is a direct factor of  $G$ ,  $G_p$  is simply presented and  $K$  is perfect, then  $S(KG)/G_p$  is simply presented. Moreover,  $S(KG)$  is simply presented with a direct factor  $G_p$ . In particular, if  $G$  is in addition  $p$ -mixed, that is, the only torsion is  $p$ -torsion,  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(KG)$  with simply presented complement.*

With the aid of this statement, the following isomorphism assertion was also proved there.

**Theorem B.** *If  $G$  is  $p$ -splitting whose  $G_p$  is simply presented and  $KH \cong KG$  as  $K$ -algebras for some other group  $H$ , then  $H_p \cong G_p$ . In particular, if in addition  $G$  is  $p$ -mixed,  $H \cong G$ .* Likewise, in [2] we established the following affirmation.

**Theorem C.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a coproduct of countable abelian groups and  $K$  is perfect. Then  $S(KG)/G_p$  is a coproduct of countable abelian groups and  $G_p$  is a direct factor of  $S(KG)$ . Thus  $S(KG)$  is a coproduct of countable abelian groups. Moreover, if  $KH \cong KG$  as  $K$ -algebras for some group  $H$ , then  $H_p \cong G_p$ . In particular, if  $G$  is in addition  $p$ -mixed,  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(KG)$  with the same complementary factor. Thus  $V(KG)$  is a coproduct of countable abelian groups. Moreover, if  $KH \cong KG$  as  $K$ -algebras for some group  $H$ , there exists a coproduct of countable abelian  $p$ -groups  $T$  such that  $H \times T \cong G \times T$ . Thus  $H$  is a coproduct of  $p$ -mixed countable abelian groups.*

With this at hand, we obtained in [5] the following isomorphism claim.

**Theorem D.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -mixed coproduct with finite torsion-free rank of countable abelian groups and  $KH \cong KG$  as  $K$ -algebras for another group  $H$ . Then  $H \cong G$ .*

Our aim in this article is to give an independent smooth confirmation of both Theorems B and D by using the following simple but significant technicality of [6].

**Proposition E.**  *$KG \cong KH$  as  $K$ -algebras implies that  $K(S(KG)/G_p) \cong K(S(KH)/H_p)$  as  $K$ -algebras.*

And so, we are now prepared to give the desired proofs, which are, indeed, more direct than the existing ones and give another strategy in the advantage of greater clarity.

**Proof of Theorem B.** Without loss of generality we may assume that  $KG = KH$  because  $KG \cong KH$  yields that  $KG = KH_I$  for some  $H_I \cong H$ . According to Theorem A we have that  $S(KG)/G_p$  is simply presented, hence Proposition E combined with [9] lead us to  $S(KH)/H_p$  is simply presented. Since  $H_p$  is balanced in  $S(KH)$ , it is its direct factor with simply presented complement. But by Theorem A we have  $S(KG) = S(KH)$  is simply presented, whence so is  $H_p$  as a direct factor. Furthermore, [8] applies to get that the maximal divisible subgroups of  $G_p$  and  $H_p$  are respectively isomorphic as well as the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants of  $G_p$  and  $H_p$  are respectively equal. Consequently,  $G_p$  and  $H_p$  are isomorphic.

Next, if  $G$  is  $p$ -mixed,  $KG = KH$  obviously implies that  $H$  is  $p$ -mixed. Besides,  $G = G_p \times M$  for some subgroup  $M$  secures that  $V(FG) = S(FG) \times V(FM)$  and hence  $V(FH) = S(FH) \times V(FM)$ . Since by what we have shown above  $H_p$  is a direct factor of  $S(KH)$ , it is readily seen that  $H_p$  is a direct factor of  $V(KH)$ , thus of  $H$  (cf. [1] and [4] too). Finally,  $G \cong G_p \times (G/G_p)$  and  $H \cong H_p \times (H/H_p)$ . Employing [8], we deduce that  $G/G_p \cong H/H_p$ . That is why,  $G \cong H$  and we are done.

As usual, we denote by  $I(KG; G_p)$  the relative augmentation ideal of  $KG$  with respect to  $G_p$ .

If the isomorphism implication  $G_p \cong H_p \Rightarrow (I + I(KG; G_p))/G_p \cong (I + I(KG; H_p))/H_p$  holds true, another idea for a proof without Proposition E may be like this: Owing to Theorem A, we find that  $S(KG) = S(KH)$  is simply presented. So, we apply [7] to infer that  $H_p$  is simply presented. Henceforth, we can copy the idea from the preceding proof to get  $G_p \cong H_p$ . Furthermore, observing that  $S(KG) = I + I(KG; G_p)$  and  $S(KH) = I + I(KH; H_p)$ , where  $KG = KH$ , by what we have assumed above we derive that  $S(KG)/G_p = (I + I(KG; G_p))/G_p \cong (I + I(KG; H_p))/H_p = S(KH)/H_p$  and thus, in virtue of Theorem A,  $S(KH)/H_p$  is simply presented. Further, the proof goes on as in the foregoing proof.

**Proof of Theorem D.** Invoking to Theorem C,  $S(KG)/G_p$  is a direct sum of countable groups. But Proposition E along with [9] allows us to conclude that  $S(KH)/H_p$  is also a direct sum of countable groups. The balanced property of  $H_p$  in  $S(KH)$  insures that  $H_p$  is a direct factor of  $S(KH)$ . But, because  $H$  is  $p$ -mixed as is  $G$ , it is immediate that  $V(KH) = HS(KH)$  and thus  $H$  is a direct factor of  $V(KH)$ . Again Theorem C enables us that both  $V(KH)$  and  $H$  are direct sums of countable groups. Hereafter, the proof goes on in the same way as in [5].  $\square$

With the aid of Theorem C the same idea for proof of Theorem D, without Proposition E, may also be demonstrated.

**Remark.** Results (a) and (c) from [10] imitated in a weaker form our theorems in [3] and [4] (compare with the statements presented above) as well as results from [7].

## REFERENCES

1. DANCHEV, P., *Isomorphism of commutative group algebras of mixed splitting groups*, Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., **51**, 1-2, pp. 13-16, 1998.
2. DANCHEV, P., *Modular group algebras of coproducts of countable abelian groups*, Hokkaido Math. J., **29**, 2, pp. 255-262, 2000.
3. DANCHEV, P., *Isomorphism of modular group algebras of totally projective abelian groups*, Commun. Algebra, **28**, 5, pp. 2521-2531, 2000.
4. DANCHEV, P., *Invariants for group algebras of splitting abelian groups with simply presented components*, Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., **55**, 2, pp. 5-8, 2002.
5. DANCHEV, P., *Isomorphism of modular group algebras of finite torsion-free rank coproducts of  $p$ -mixed countable abelian groups*, Le Matem., **61**, 1, pp. 157-162, 2006.
6. DANCHEV, P., *Invariant properties of quotient groups in commutative modular group algebras*, Proc. Roman. Acad. Ser. A – Math., **7**, 3, pp. 173-176, 2006.
7. HILL, P., ULLERY, W., *On commutative group algebras of mixed groups*, Commun. Algebra, **25**, 12, pp. 4029-4038, 1997.
8. MAY, W., *Commutative group algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **136**, 1, pp. 139-149, 1969.
9. MAY, W., *Modular group algebras of simply presented abelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **104**, 2, pp. 403-409, 1988.
10. MAY, W., MOLLOV, T., NACHEV, N., *Isomorphism of modular group algebras of  $p$ -mixed abelian group*, Proc. Conf. dedicated to 60 years anniversary of the IMI of the Bulg. Acad. Sci., Sofia, July 6-8, 2007.

Received: September 20, 2007